

Game-based cryptography in HOL

Andreas Lochbihler and S. Reza Sefidgar and Bhargav Bhatt

October 11, 2017

Abstract

In this AFP entry, we show how to specify game-based cryptographic security notions and formally prove secure several cryptographic constructions from the literature using the CryptHOL framework. Among others, we formalise the notions of a random oracle, a pseudo-random function, an unpredictable function, and of encryption schemes that are indistinguishable under chosen plaintext and/or ciphertext attacks. We prove the random-permutation/random-function switching lemma, security of the Elgamal and hashed Elgamal public-key encryption scheme and correctness and security of several constructions with pseudo-random functions.

Our proofs follow the game-hopping style advocated by Shoup [4] and Bellare and Rogaway [2], from which most of the examples have been taken. We generalise some of their results such that they can be reused in other proofs. Thanks to CryptHOL’s integration with Isabelle’s parametricity infrastructure, many simple hops are easily justified using the theory of representation independence.

Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 Specifying security using games | 3 |
| 1.1 The DDH game | 3 |
| 1.2 The LCDH game | 4 |
| 1.3 The IND-CCA2 game for public-key encryption | 5 |
| 1.3.1 Single-user setting | 6 |
| 1.3.2 Multi-user setting | 7 |
| 1.4 The IND-CCA2 security for symmetric encryption schemes . | 9 |
| 1.5 The IND-CPA game for symmetric encryption schemes . . . | 10 |
| 1.6 The IND-CPA game for public-key encryption with oracle access | 12 |
| 1.7 The IND-CPA game (public key, single instance) | 13 |
| 1.8 Strongly existentially unforgeable signature scheme | 14 |
| 1.8.1 Single-user setting | 15 |
| 1.8.2 Multi-user setting | 17 |
| 1.9 Pseudo-random function | 18 |

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.10 | Pseudo-random function | 19 |
| 1.11 | Random permutation | 19 |
| 1.12 | Reducing games with many adversary guesses to games with single guesses | 20 |
| 1.13 | Unpredictable function | 28 |
| 2 | Cryptographic constructions and their security | 30 |
| 2.1 | Elgamal encryption scheme | 30 |
| 2.2 | Hashed Elgamal in the Random Oracle Model | 33 |
| 2.3 | The random-permutation random-function switching lemma . | 42 |
| 2.4 | Extending the input length of a PRF using a universal hash function | 46 |
| 2.5 | IND-CPA from PRF | 53 |
| 2.6 | IND-CCA from a PRF and an unpredictable function | 64 |

1 Specifying security using games

```
theory Diffie-Hellman imports
  CryptHOL.Cyclic-Group-SPMF
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
begin

  1.1 The DDH game

  locale ddh =
    fixes G :: 'grp cyclic-group (structure)
  begin

    type-synonym 'grp' adversary = 'grp' ⇒ 'grp' ⇒ 'grp' ⇒ bool spmf

    definition ddh-0 :: 'grp adversary ⇒ bool spmf
    where ddh-0 A = do {
      x ← sample-uniform (order G);
      y ← sample-uniform (order G);
      A (g (^) x) (g (^) y) (g (^) (x * y))
    }

    definition ddh-1 :: 'grp adversary ⇒ bool spmf
    where ddh-1 A = do {
      x ← sample-uniform (order G);
      y ← sample-uniform (order G);
      z ← sample-uniform (order G);
      A (g (^) x) (g (^) y) (g (^) z)
    }

    definition advantage :: 'grp adversary ⇒ real
    where advantage A = |spmf (ddh-0 A) True - spmf (ddh-1 A) True|

    definition lossless :: 'grp adversary ⇒ bool
    where lossless A ↔ (∀α β γ. lossless-spmf (A α β γ))

    lemma lossless-ddh-0:
      [ lossless A; 0 < order G ]
      ==> lossless-spmf (ddh-0 A)
    by(auto simp add: lossless-def ddh-0-def split-def Let-def)

    lemma lossless-ddh-1:
      [ lossless A; 0 < order G ]
      ==> lossless-spmf (ddh-1 A)
    by(auto simp add: lossless-def ddh-1-def split-def Let-def)

  end
```

1.2 The LCDH game

```

locale lcdh =
  fixes  $\mathcal{G} :: 'grp \text{ cyclic-group } (\text{structure})$ 
begin

  type-synonym ' $grp$ ' adversary = ' $grp$ '  $\Rightarrow$  ' $grp$ '  $\Rightarrow$  ' $grp$ ' set spmf

  definition lcdh :: ' $grp$  adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf
  where lcdh  $\mathcal{A}$  = do {
     $x \leftarrow \text{sample-uniform } (\text{order } \mathcal{G});$ 
     $y \leftarrow \text{sample-uniform } (\text{order } \mathcal{G});$ 
     $zs \leftarrow \mathcal{A} (\mathbf{g} (^) x) (\mathbf{g} (^) y);$ 
     $\text{return-spmf } (\mathbf{g} (^) (x * y)) \in zs$ 
  }

  definition advantage :: ' $grp$  adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real
  where advantage  $\mathcal{A}$  = spmf (lcdh  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True

  definition lossless :: ' $grp$  adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where lossless  $\mathcal{A}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \alpha \beta. \text{lossless-spmf } (\mathcal{A} \alpha \beta)$ )

  lemma lossless-lcdh:
     $\llbracket \text{lossless } \mathcal{A}; 0 < \text{order } \mathcal{G} \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{lcdh } \mathcal{A})$ 
  by(auto simp add: lossless-def lcdh-def split-def Let-def)

  end

  end

theory IND-CCA2 imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
  CryptHOL.Negligible
  CryptHOL.Environment-Functor
begin

  locale pk-enc =
    fixes key-gen :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey  $\times$  'dkey) spmf — probabilistic
    and encrypt :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'ekey  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher spmf — probabilistic
    and decrypt :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'dkey  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher  $\Rightarrow$  'plain option — deterministic, but
    not used
    and valid-plain :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool — checks whether a plain text is
    valid, i.e., has the right format

```

1.3 The IND-CCA2 game for public-key encryption

We model an IND-CCA2 security game in the multi-user setting as described in [1].

```

locale ind-cca2 = pk-enc +
  constrains key-gen :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey  $\times$  'dkey) spmf
  and encrypt :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'ekey  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher spmf
  and decrypt :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'dkey  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher  $\Rightarrow$  'plain option
  and valid-plain :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

type-synonym ('ekey', 'dkey', 'cipher') state-oracle = ('ekey'  $\times$  'dkey'  $\times$  'cipher'
list) option

fun decrypt-oracle
  :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher
   $\Rightarrow$  ('plain option  $\times$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle) spmf
where
  decrypt-oracle  $\eta$  None cipher = return-spmf (None, None)
  | decrypt-oracle  $\eta$  (Some (ekey, dkey, cstars)) cipher = return-spmf
    (if cipher  $\in$  set cstars then None else decrypt  $\eta$  dkey cipher, Some (ekey, dkey,
cstars))

fun ekey-oracle
  :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle  $\Rightarrow$  unit  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey  $\times$  ('ekey, 'dkey,
'cipher) state-oracle) spmf
where
  ekey-oracle  $\eta$  None - = do {
    (ekey, dkey)  $\leftarrow$  key-gen  $\eta$ ;
    return-spmf (ekey, Some (ekey, dkey, []))
  }
  | ekey-oracle  $\eta$  (Some (ekey, rest)) - = return-spmf (ekey, Some (ekey, rest))

lemma ekey-oracle-conv:
  ekey-oracle  $\eta$   $\sigma$  x =
  (case  $\sigma$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  map-spmf ( $\lambda$ (ekey, dkey). (ekey, Some (ekey, dkey, [])))
  (key-gen  $\eta$ )
  | Some (ekey, rest)  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf (ekey, Some (ekey, rest)))
  by(cases  $\sigma$ )(auto simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf split-def)

context notes bind-spmf-cong[fundef-cong] begin
function encrypt-oracle
  :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  security  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\times$  'plain
   $\Rightarrow$  ('cipher  $\times$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle) spmf
where
  encrypt-oracle b  $\eta$  None m01 = do { (-,  $\sigma$ )  $\leftarrow$  ekey-oracle  $\eta$  None (); encrypt-oracle
  b  $\eta$   $\sigma$  m01 }
  | encrypt-oracle b  $\eta$  (Some (ekey, dkey, cstars)) (m0, m1) =
    (if valid-plain  $\eta$  m0  $\wedge$  valid-plain  $\eta$  m1 then do {
```

```

let pb = (if b then m0 else m1);
cstar ← encrypt  $\eta$  ekey pb;
return-spmf (cstar, Some (ekey, dkey, cstar # cstars))
} else return-spmf None)
by pat-completeness auto
termination by(relation Wellfounded.measure ( $\lambda(b, \eta, \sigma, m01)$ . case  $\sigma$  of None
⇒ 1 | - ⇒ 0)) auto
end

```

1.3.1 Single-user setting

```

type-synonym ('plain', 'cipher') call1 = unit + 'cipher' + 'plain' × 'plain'
type-synonym ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') ret1 = 'ekey' + 'plain' option + 'cipher'

```

```

definition oracle1 :: bool ⇒ security
  ⇒ (('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle, ('plain, 'cipher) call1, ('ekey, 'plain,
  'cipher) ret1) oracle'
where oracle1 b  $\eta$  = ekey-oracle  $\eta$  ⊕O (decrypt-oracle  $\eta$  ⊕O encrypt-oracle b  $\eta$ )

```

```

lemma oracle1-simp [simp]:
  oracle1 b  $\eta$  s (Inl x) = map-spmf (apfst Inl) (ekey-oracle  $\eta$  s x)
  oracle1 b  $\eta$  s (Inr (Inl y)) = map-spmf (apfst (Inr o Inl)) (decrypt-oracle  $\eta$  s y)
  oracle1 b  $\eta$  s (Inr (Inr z)) = map-spmf (apfst (Inr o Inr)) (encrypt-oracle b  $\eta$ 
  s z)
by(simp-all add: oracle1-def spmf.map-comp apfst-compose o-def)

```

```

type-synonym ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversary1' =
  (bool, ('plain', 'cipher') call1, ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') ret1) gpv
type-synonym ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversary1 =
  security ⇒ ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversary1'

```

```

definition ind-cca21 :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1 ⇒ security ⇒ bool spmf
where
  ind-cca21  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$  = TRY do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    (guess, s) ← exec-gpv (oracle1 b  $\eta$ ) ( $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$ ) None;
    return-spmf (guess = b)
  } ELSE coin-spmf

```

```

definition advantage1 :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1 ⇒ advantage
where advantage1  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$  = |spmf (ind-cca21  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$ ) True − 1/2|

```

```

lemma advantage1-nonneg: advantage1  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$  ≥ 0 by(simp add: advantage1-def)

```

```

abbreviation secure-for1 :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1 ⇒ bool
where secure-for1  $\mathcal{A}$  ≡ negligible (advantage1  $\mathcal{A}$ )

```

```

definition ibounded-by1' :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1' ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where ibounded-by1'  $\mathcal{A}$  q = interaction-any-bounded-by  $\mathcal{A}$  q

```

```

abbreviation i bounded-by1 :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1  $\Rightarrow$  (security  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where i bounded-by1  $\equiv$  rel-envir i bounded-by1

definition lossless1' :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lossless1'  $\mathcal{A}$  = lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\mathcal{A}$ 

abbreviation lossless1 :: ('ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversary1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lossless1  $\equiv$  pred-envir lossless1

lemma lossless-decrypt-oracle [simp]: lossless-spmf (decrypt-oracle  $\eta$   $\sigma$  cipher)
by(cases ( $\eta$ ,  $\sigma$ , cipher) rule: decrypt-oracle.cases) simp-all

lemma lossless-ekey-oracle [simp]:
  lossless-spmf (ekey-oracle  $\eta$   $\sigma$   $x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\sigma = \text{None} \longrightarrow$  lossless-spmf (key-gen  $\eta$ ))
by(cases ( $\eta$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ ) rule: ekey-oracle.cases)(auto)

lemma lossless-encrypt-oracle [simp]:
   $\llbracket \sigma = \text{None} \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{key-gen } \eta);$ 
   $\wedge \text{ekey } m. \text{valid-plain } \eta m \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{encrypt } \eta \text{ ekey } m) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{encrypt-oracle } b \eta \sigma (m0, m1)) \longleftrightarrow \text{valid-plain } \eta m0 \wedge$ 
   $\text{valid-plain } \eta m1$ 
apply(cases ( $b$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\sigma$ , ( $m0$ ,  $m1$ )) rule: encrypt-oracle.cases)
apply(auto simp add: split-beta dest: lossless-spmfD-set-spmf-nonempty split: if-split-asm)
done

```

1.3.2 Multi-user setting

```

definition oraclen :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  security
   $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle, 'i  $\times$  ('plain, 'cipher) call1, ('ekey,
  'plain, 'cipher) ret1) oracle'
where oraclen  $b$   $\eta$  = family-oracle ( $\lambda$ - $. \text{oracle}_1 b \eta$ )

```

```

lemma oraclen-apply [simp]:
  oraclen  $b$   $\eta$   $s$  ( $i$ ,  $x$ ) = map-spmf (apsnd (fun-upd  $s$   $i$ )) (oracle1  $b$   $\eta$  ( $s$   $i$ )  $x$ )
by(simp add: oraclen-def)

```

```

type-synonym ('i, 'ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversaryn' =
  (bool, 'i  $\times$  ('plain', 'cipher') call1, ('ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') ret1) gpv
type-synonym ('i, 'ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversaryn =
  security  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'ekey', 'plain', 'cipher') adversaryn'

```

```

definition ind-cca2n :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  security  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  spmf
where
  ind-cca2n  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$  = TRY do {
     $b \leftarrow \text{coin-spmf};$ 
    ( $\text{guess}, \sigma$ )  $\leftarrow \text{exec-gpv} (\text{oracle}_n b \eta) (\mathcal{A} \eta) (\lambda$ - $. \text{None});$ 

```

```

    return-spmf (guess = b)
} ELSE coin-spmf

definition advantagen :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  advantage
where advantagen  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$  = |spmf (ind-cca2n  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$ ) True - 1/2|

lemma advantagen-nonneg: advantagen  $\mathcal{A}$   $\eta$   $\geq$  0 by(simp add: advantagen-def)

abbreviation secure-forn :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where secure-forn  $\mathcal{A}$   $\equiv$  negligible (advantagen  $\mathcal{A}$ )

definition ibounded-byn' :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn'  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where ibounded-byn'  $\mathcal{A}$  q = interaction-any-bounded-by  $\mathcal{A}$  q

abbreviation ibounded-byn :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  (security
 $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where ibounded-byn  $\equiv$  rel-envir ibounded-byn'

definition losslessn' :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where losslessn'  $\mathcal{A}$  = lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\mathcal{A}$ 

abbreviation losslessn :: ('i, 'ekey, 'plain, 'cipher) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where losslessn  $\equiv$  pred-envir losslessn'

definition cipher-queries :: ('i  $\Rightarrow$  ('ekey, 'dkey, 'cipher) state-oracle)  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher
set
where cipher-queries ose = ( $\bigcup$ (-, -, ciphers)  $\in$  ran ose. set ciphers)

lemma cipher-queriesI:
 $\llbracket$  ose n = Some (ek, dk, ciphers); x  $\in$  set ciphers  $\rrbracket \implies$  x  $\in$  cipher-queries ose
by(auto simp add: cipher-queries-def ran-def)

lemma cipher-queriesE:
assumes x  $\in$  cipher-queries ose
obtains (cipher-queries) n ek dk ciphers where ose n = Some (ek, dk, ciphers)
x  $\in$  set ciphers
using assms by(auto simp add: cipher-queries-def ran-def)

lemma cipher-queries-updE:
assumes x  $\in$  cipher-queries (ose(n  $\mapsto$  (ek, dk, ciphers)))
obtains (old) x  $\in$  cipher-queries ose x  $\notin$  set ciphers | (new) x  $\in$  set ciphers
using assms by(cases x  $\in$  set ciphers)(fastforce elim!: cipher-queriesE split: if-split-asm
intro: cipher-queriesI)+

lemma cipher-queries-empty [simp]: cipher-queries Map.empty = {}
by(simp add: cipher-queries-def)

end

```

end

1.4 The IND-CCA2 security for symmetric encryption schemes

```

theory IND-CCA2-sym imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
begin

locale ind-cca =
  fixes key-gen :: 'key spmf
  and encrypt :: 'key ⇒ 'message ⇒ 'cipher spmf
  and decrypt :: 'key ⇒ 'cipher ⇒ 'message option
  and msg-predicate :: 'message ⇒ bool
begin

type-synonym ('message', 'cipher') adversary =
  (bool, 'message' × 'message' + 'cipher', 'cipher' option + 'message' option) gpv

definition oracle-encrypt :: 'key ⇒ bool ⇒ ('message × 'message, 'cipher option,
  'cipher set) callee
where
  oracle-encrypt k b L = (λ(msg1, msg0).
    (case msg-predicate msg1 ∧ msg-predicate msg0 of
      True ⇒ do {
        c ← encrypt k (if b then msg1 else msg0);
        return-spmf (Some c, {c} ∪ L)
      }
      | False ⇒ return-spmf (None, L)))

lemma lossless-oracle-encrypt [simp]:
  assumes lossless-spmf (encrypt k m1) and lossless-spmf (encrypt k m0)
  shows lossless-spmf (oracle-encrypt k b L (m1, m0))
using assms by (simp add: oracle-encrypt-def split: bool.split)

definition oracle-decrypt :: 'key ⇒ ('cipher, 'message option, 'cipher set) callee
where
  oracle-decrypt k L c = return-spmf (if c ∈ L then None else decrypt k c, L)

lemma lossless-oracle-decrypt [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-decrypt k L c)
by (simp add: oracle-decrypt-def)

definition game :: ('message, 'cipher) adversary ⇒ bool spmf
where
  game A = do {
    key ← key-gen;
    b ← coin-spmf;
    (b', L') ← exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt key b ⊕_O oracle-decrypt key) A {};
    return-spmf (b = b')
  }

```

```

        }

definition advantage :: ('message, 'cipher) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real
where advantage  $\mathcal{A}$  =  $|spmf(\text{game } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True} - 1 / 2|$ 

lemma advantage-nonneg:  $0 \leq \text{advantage } \mathcal{A}$  by(simp add: advantage-def)

end

end

theory IND-CPA imports
  CryptHOL.Generative-Probabilistic-Value
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
  CryptHOL.Negligible
begin

```

1.5 The IND-CPA game for symmetric encryption schemes

```

locale ind-cpa =
  fixes key-gen :: 'key spmf — probabilistic
  and encrypt :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher spmf — probabilistic
  and decrypt :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher  $\Rightarrow$  'plain option — deterministic, but not used
  and valid-plain :: 'plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool — checks whether a plain text is valid, i.e., has
  the right format
begin

```

We cannot incorporate the predicate *valid-plain* in the type '*plain*' of plaintexts, because the single '*plain*' must contain plaintexts for all values of the security parameter, as HOL does not have dependent types. Consequently, the oracle has to ensure that the received plaintexts are valid.

```

type-synonym ('plain', 'cipher', 'state) adversary =
  (('plain'  $\times$  'plain')  $\times$  'state, 'plain', 'cipher') gpv
   $\times$  ('cipher'  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  (bool, 'plain', 'cipher') gpv)

definition encrypt-oracle :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  unit  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  ('cipher  $\times$  unit) spmf
where
  encrypt-oracle key  $\sigma$  plain = do {
    cipher  $\leftarrow$  encrypt key plain;
    return-spmf (cipher, ())
  }

definition ind-cpa :: ('plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf
where
  ind-cpa  $\mathcal{A}$  = do {
    let ( $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ ) =  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
    key  $\leftarrow$  key-gen;
    b  $\leftarrow$  coin-spmf;
  }

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& (guess, -) \leftarrow exec-gpv (encrypt-oracle key) (do \{ \\
& \quad ((m0, m1), \sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}1; \\
& \quad if valid-plain m0 \wedge valid-plain m1 then do \{ \\
& \quad \quad cipher \leftarrow lift-spmf (encrypt key (if b then m0 else m1)); \\
& \quad \quad \mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma \\
& \quad \} else lift-spmf coin-spmf \\
& \quad \}) (); \\
& \quad return-spmf (guess = b) \\
& \}
\end{aligned}$$

definition advantage :: ('plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real
where advantage  $\mathcal{A}$  =  $|spmf(ind-cpa \mathcal{A}) True - 1/2|$ 

lemma advantage-nonneg: advantage  $\mathcal{A} \geq 0$  by(simp add: advantage-def)

definition ibounded-by :: ('plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
ibounded-by =  $(\lambda(\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2) q.$ 
 $(\exists q1 q2. interaction-any-bounded-by \mathcal{A}1 q1 \wedge (\forall cipher \sigma. interaction-any-bounded-by$ 
 $(\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma) q2) \wedge q1 + q2 \leq q))$ 

lemma ibounded-byE [consumes 1, case-names ibounded-by, elim?]:
assumes ibounded-by ( $\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2$ )  $q$ 
obtains  $q1 q2$ 
where  $q1 + q2 \leq q$ 
and interaction-any-bounded-by  $\mathcal{A}1 q1$ 
and  $\bigwedge cipher \sigma. interaction-any-bounded-by (\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma) q2$ 
using assms by(auto simp add: ibounded-by-def)

lemma ibounded-byI [intro?]:
 $\llbracket interaction-any-bounded-by \mathcal{A}1 q1; \bigwedge cipher \sigma. interaction-any-bounded-by (\mathcal{A}2$ 
 $cipher \sigma) q2; q1 + q2 \leq q \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies ibounded-by (\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2) q$ 
by(auto simp add: ibounded-by-def)

definition lossless :: ('plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lossless =  $(\lambda(\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2). lossless-gpv \mathcal{I}\text{-full } \mathcal{A}1 \wedge (\forall cipher \sigma. lossless-gpv$ 
 $\mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma)))$ 

end

end

theory IND-CPA-PK imports
CryptHOL.Computational-Model
CryptHOL.Negligible
begin

```

1.6 The IND-CPA game for public-key encryption with oracle access

```
locale ind-cpa-pk =
  fixes key-gen :: ('pubkey × 'privkey, 'call, 'ret) gpv — probabilistic
  and aencrypt :: 'pubkey ⇒ 'plain ⇒ ('cipher, 'call, 'ret) gpv — probabilistic w/
access to an oracle
  and adecrypt :: 'privkey ⇒ 'cipher ⇒ ('plain, 'call, 'ret) gpv — not used
  and valid-plains :: 'plain ⇒ 'plain ⇒ bool — checks whether a pair of plaintexts
is valid, i.e., they have the right format
```

```
begin
```

We cannot incorporate the predicate *valid-plain* in the type '*plain*' of plaintexts, because the single '*plain*' must contain plaintexts for all values of the security parameter, as HOL does not have dependent types. Consequently, the game has to ensure that the received plaintexts are valid.

```
type-synonym ('pubkey', 'plain', 'cipher', 'call', 'ret', 'state) adversary =
  ('pubkey' ⇒ (('plain' × 'plain') × 'state, 'call', 'ret') gpv)
  × ('cipher' ⇒ 'state ⇒ (bool, 'call', 'ret') gpv)
```

```
fun ind-cpa :: ('pubkey, 'plain, 'cipher, 'call, 'ret, 'state) adversary ⇒ (bool, 'call,
'ret) gpv
```

```
where
```

```
ind-cpa (A1, A2) = TRY do {
  (pk, sk) ← key-gen;
  b ← lift-spmf coin-spmf;
  ((m0, m1), σ) ← (A1 pk);
  assert-gpv (valid-plains m0 m1);
  cipher ← aencrypt pk (if b then m0 else m1);
  guess ← A2 cipher σ;
  Done (guess = b)
} ELSE lift-spmf coin-spmf
```

```
definition advantage :: ('σ ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 'σ) spmf) ⇒ 'σ ⇒ ('pubkey, 'plain,
'cipher, 'call, 'ret, 'state) adversary ⇒ real
```

```
where advantage oracle σ A = |spmf (run-gpv oracle (ind-cpa A) σ) True − 1/2|
```

```
lemma advantage-nonneg: advantage oracle σ A ≥ 0 by (simp add: advantage-def)
```

```
definition ibounded-by :: ('call ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('pubkey, 'plain, 'cipher, 'call, 'ret,
'state) adversary ⇒ enat ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

```
ibounded-by consider = (λ(A1, A2) q.
  (exists q1 q2. (∀ pk. interaction-bounded-by consider (A1 pk) q1) ∧ (∀ cipher σ.
  interaction-bounded-by consider (A2 cipher σ) q2) ∧ q1 + q2 ≤ q))
```

```
lemma ibounded-by'E [consumes 1, case-names ibounded-by', elim?]:
```

```
assumes ibounded-by consider (A1, A2) q
obtains q1 q2
```

```

where  $q1 + q2 \leq q$ 
and  $\bigwedge pk. interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (\mathcal{A}1 pk) q1$ 
and  $\bigwedge cipher \sigma. interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma) q2$ 
using assms by(auto simp add: ibounded-by-def)

lemma ibounded-byI [intro?]:

$$[\bigwedge pk. interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (\mathcal{A}1 pk) q1; \bigwedge cipher \sigma. interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma) q2; q1 + q2 \leq q]$$


$$\implies ibounded\text{-}by consider (\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2) q$$

by(auto simp add: ibounded-by-def)

definition lossless :: ('pubkey, 'plain, 'cipher, 'call, 'ret, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lossless =  $(\lambda(\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2). (\forall pk. lossless\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I}\text{-}full (\mathcal{A}1 pk)) \wedge (\forall cipher \sigma. lossless\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I}\text{-}full (\mathcal{A}2 cipher \sigma)))$ 

end

end

```

```

theory IND-CPA-PK-Single imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
begin

```

1.7 The IND-CPA game (public key, single instance)

```

locale ind-cpa =
  fixes key-gen :: ('pub-key  $\times$  'priv-key) spmf — probabilistic
  and aencrypt :: 'pub-key  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher spmf — probabilistic
  and adecrypt :: 'priv-key  $\Rightarrow$  'cipher  $\Rightarrow$  'plain option — deterministic, but not
  used
  and valid-plains :: 'plain  $\Rightarrow$  'plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool — checks whether a pair of plaintexts
  is valid, i.e., they both have the right format
begin

```

We cannot incorporate the predicate *valid-plain* in the type '*plain*' of plaintexts, because the single '*plain*' must contain plaintexts for all values of the security parameter, as HOL does not have dependent types. Consequently, the oracle has to ensure that the received plaintexts are valid.

```

type-synonym ('pub-key', 'plain', 'cipher', 'state) adversary =
  ('pub-key'  $\Rightarrow$  (('plain'  $\times$  'plain')  $\times$  'state) spmf)
   $\times$  ('cipher'  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf)

```

```

primrec ind-cpa :: ('pub-key, 'plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf
where
  ind-cpa ( $\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2$ ) = TRY do {
     $(pk, sk) \leftarrow key\text{-}gen;$ 
     $((m0, m1), \sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}1 pk;$ 
    - :: unit  $\leftarrow assert\text{-}spmf (valid\text{-}plains m0 m1);$ 
  }

```

```

 $b \leftarrow \text{coin-spmf};$ 
 $\text{cipher} \leftarrow \text{aencrypt } pk \ (\text{if } b \text{ then } m0 \text{ else } m1);$ 
 $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}2 \ \text{cipher } \sigma;$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (b = b')$ 
 $\} \text{ ELSE } \text{coin-spmf}$ 

declare ind-cpa.simps [simp del]

definition advantage :: ('pub-key, 'plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real
where advantage  $\mathcal{A} = |\text{spmf } (\text{ind-cpa } \mathcal{A}) \ True - 1/2|$ 

definition lossless :: ('pub-key, 'plain, 'cipher, 'state) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  lossless  $\mathcal{A} \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $((\forall pk. \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{fst } \mathcal{A} \ pk)) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall \text{cipher } \sigma. \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{snd } \mathcal{A} \ \text{cipher } \sigma)))$ 

lemma lossless-ind-cpa:
   $\llbracket \text{lossless } \mathcal{A}; \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{key-gen}) \rrbracket \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{ind-cpa } \mathcal{A})$ 
by(auto simp add: lossless-def ind-cpa-def split-def Let-def)

end

end

```

```

theory SUF-CMA imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
  CryptHOL.Negligible
  CryptHOL.Environment-Functor
begin

```

1.8 Strongly existentially unforgeable signature scheme

```

locale sig-scheme =
  fixes key-gen :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('vkey  $\times$  'sigkey) spmf
  and sign :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'sigkey  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  'signature spmf
  and verify :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'vkey  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  'signature  $\Rightarrow$  bool — verification
  is deterministic
  and valid-message :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale suf-cma = sig-scheme +
  constrains key-gen :: security  $\Rightarrow$  ('vkey  $\times$  'sigkey) spmf
  and sign :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'sigkey  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  'signature spmf
  and verify :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'vkey  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  'signature  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and valid-message :: security  $\Rightarrow$  'message  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

type-synonym ('vkey', 'sigkey', 'message', 'signature') state-oracle

```

```

= ('vkey' × 'sigkey' × ('message' × 'signature') list) option

fun vkey-oracle :: security ⇒ (('vkey', 'sigkey', 'message', 'signature) state-oracle,
unit, 'vkey) oracle'
where
  vkey-oracle η None - = do {
    (vkey, sigkey) ← key-gen η;
    return-spmf (vkey, Some (vkey, sigkey, []))
  }
  | ∫ log. vkey-oracle η (Some (vkey, sigkey, log)) - = return-spmf (vkey, Some (vkey,
sigkey, log))

context notes bind-spmf-cong[fundef-cong] begin
function sign-oracle
  :: security ⇒ (('vkey', 'sigkey', 'message', 'signature) state-oracle, 'message', 'signature)
oracle'
where
  sign-oracle η None m = do { (-, σ) ← vkey-oracle η None (); sign-oracle η σ m
}
  | ∫ log. sign-oracle η (Some (vkey, skey, log)) m =
  (if valid-message η m then do {
    sig ← sign η skey m;
    return-spmf (sig, Some (vkey, skey, (m, sig) # log))
  } else return-pmf None)
  by pat-completeness auto
termination by(relation Wellfounded.measure (λ(η, σ, m). case σ of None ⇒ 1
| - ⇒ 0)) auto
end

lemma lossless-vkey-oracle [simp]:
  lossless-spmf (vkey-oracle η σ x) ↔ (σ = None → lossless-spmf (key-gen η))
by(cases (η, σ, x) rule: vkey-oracle.cases) auto

lemma lossless-sign-oracle [simp]:
  [ σ = None ⇒ lossless-spmf (key-gen η);
  ∫ skey m. valid-message η m ⇒ lossless-spmf (sign η skey m) ]
  ⇒ lossless-spmf (sign-oracle η σ m) ↔ valid-message η m
apply(cases (η, σ, m) rule: sign-oracle.cases)
apply(auto simp add: lossless-spmfD-set-spmf-nonempty)
done

lemma lossless-sign-oracle-Some: fixes log shows
  lossless-spmf (sign-oracle η (Some (vkey, skey, log)) m) ↔ lossless-spmf (sign
η skey m) ∧ valid-message η m
by(simp)

```

1.8.1 Single-user setting

type-synonym 'message' call₁ = unit + 'message'

```

type-synonym ('vkey', 'signature') ret1 = 'vkey' + 'signature'

definition oracle1 :: security
  ⇒ (('vkey', 'sigkey', 'message', 'signature) state-oracle, 'message call1, ('vkey,
  'signature) ret1) oracle'
where oracle1 η = vkey-oracle η ⊕O sign-oracle η

lemma oracle1-simp [simp]:
  oracle1 η s (Inl x) = map-spmf (apfst Inl) (vkey-oracle η s x)
  oracle1 η s (Inr y) = map-spmf (apfst Inr) (sign-oracle η s y)
by(simp-all add: oracle1-def)

type-synonym ('vkey', 'message', 'signature') adversary1' =
  (('message' × 'signature'), 'message' call1, ('vkey', 'signature') ret1) gpv
type-synonym ('vkey', 'message', 'signature') adversary1 =
  security ⇒ ('vkey', 'message', 'signature') adversary1

definition suf-cma1 :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1 ⇒ security ⇒ bool
  spmf
where
  ∫ log. suf-cma1 A η = do {
    ((m, sig), σ) ← exec-gpv (oracle1 η) (A η) None;
    return-spmf (
      case σ of None ⇒ False
      | Some (vkey, skey, log) ⇒ verify η vkey m sig ∧ (m, sig) ∉ set log)
  }

definition advantage1 :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1 ⇒ advantage
where advantage1 A η = spmf (suf-cma1 A η) True

lemma advantage1-nonneg: advantage1 A η ≥ 0 by(simp add: advantage1-def
  pmf-nonneg)

abbreviation secure-for1 :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1 ⇒ bool
where secure-for1 A ≡ negligible (advantage1 A)

definition ibounded-by1' :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1' ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where ibounded-by1' A q = (interaction-any-bounded-by A q)

abbreviation ibounded-by1 :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1 ⇒ (security
  ⇒ nat) ⇒ bool
where ibounded-by1 ≡ rel-envir ibounded-by1

definition lossless1' :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1' ⇒ bool
where lossless1' A = (lossless-gpv I-full A)

abbreviation lossless1 :: ('vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary1 ⇒ bool
where lossless1 ≡ pred-envir lossless1'

```

1.8.2 Multi-user setting

```

definition oraclen :: security
   $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow ('vkey, 'sigkey, 'message, 'signature) state-oracle, 'i \times 'message call_1,$ 
   $('vkey, 'signature) ret_1) oracle'$ 
where oraclen  $\eta = family-oracle (\lambda-. oracle_1 \eta)$ 

lemma oraclen-apply [simp]:
  oraclen  $\eta s (i, x) = map-spmf (apsnd (fun-upd s i)) (oracle_1 \eta (s i) x)$ 
by(simp add: oraclen-def)

type-synonym ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn' =
  ('i  $\times$  'message'  $\times$  'signature'), 'i  $\times$  'message' call1, ('vkey', 'signature') ret1)
  gpv
type-synonym ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn =
  security  $\Rightarrow ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversary_n'$ 

definition suf-cman :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  security  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool spmf
where
   $\bigwedge log. suf-cma_n \mathcal{A} \eta = do \{$ 
     $((i, m, sig), \sigma) \leftarrow exec-gpv (oracle_n \eta) (\mathcal{A} \eta) (\lambda-. None);$ 
    return-spmf (
      case  $\sigma i$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  False
      | Some (vkey, skey, log)  $\Rightarrow$  verify  $\eta vkey m sig \wedge (m, sig) \notin set log$ 
    )
  }

definition advantagen :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  advantage
where advantagen  $\mathcal{A} \eta = spmf (suf-cma_n \mathcal{A} \eta) True$ 

lemma advantagen-nonneg: advantagen  $\mathcal{A} \eta \geq 0$  by(simp add: advantagen-def
  pmf-nonneg)

abbreviation secure-forn :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where secure-forn  $\mathcal{A} \equiv negligible (advantage_n \mathcal{A})$ 

definition ibounded-byn' :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn'  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool
where ibounded-byn'  $\mathcal{A} q = (interaction-any-bounded-by \mathcal{A} q)$ 

abbreviation ibounded-byn :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  (security
   $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where ibounded-byn  $\equiv rel-envir ibounded-by_n'$ 

definition losslessn' :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where losslessn'  $\mathcal{A} = (lossless-gpv \mathcal{I}\text{-full } \mathcal{A})$ 

abbreviation losslessn :: ('i, 'vkey, 'message, 'signature) adversaryn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where losslessn  $\equiv pred-envir lossless_n'$ 

```

```

end

end

theory Pseudo-Random-Function imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
begin

```

1.9 Pseudo-random function

```

locale random-function =
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
begin

type-synonym ('b,'a') dict = 'b → 'a'

definition random-oracle :: ('b, 'a) dict ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('a × ('b, 'a) dict) spmf
where
  random-oracle σ x =
    (case σ x of Some y ⇒ return-spmf (y, σ)
     | None ⇒ p ≈ (λy. return-spmf (y, σ(x ↦ y)))))

definition forgetful-random-oracle :: unit ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('a × unit) spmf
where
  forgetful-random-oracle σ x = p ≈ (λy. return-spmf (y, ()))

lemma weight-random-oracle [simp]:
  weight-spmf p = 1 ⇒ weight-spmf (random-oracle σ x) = 1
by(simp add: random-oracle-def weight-bind-spmf o-def split: option.split)

lemma lossless-random-oracle [simp]:
  lossless-spmf p ⇒ lossless-spmf (random-oracle σ x)
by(simp add: lossless-spmf-def)

sublocale finite: callee-invariant-on random-oracle λσ. finite (dom σ) I-full
by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: random-oracle-def split: option.splits)

lemma card-dom-random-oracle:
  assumes interaction-any-bounded-by A q
  and (y, σ') ∈ set-spmf (exec-gpv random-oracle A σ)
  and fin: finite (dom σ)
  shows card (dom σ') ≤ q + card (dom σ)
by(rule finite.interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count[OF assms(1–2)])
  (auto simp add: random-oracle-def fin card-insert-if simp del: fun-upd-apply split:
option.split-asm)

end

```

1.10 Pseudo-random function

```

locale prf =
  fixes key-gen :: 'key spmf
  and prf :: 'key ⇒ 'domain ⇒ 'range
  and rand :: 'range spmf
begin

  sublocale random-function rand .

  definition prf-oracle :: 'key ⇒ unit ⇒ 'domain ⇒ ('range × unit) spmf
  where prf-oracle key σ x = return-spmf (prf key x, ())

  type-synonym ('domain', 'range') adversary = (bool, 'domain', 'range') gpv

  definition game-0 :: ('domain, 'range) adversary ⇒ bool spmf
  where
    game-0 A = do {
      key ← key-gen;
      (b, -) ← exec-gpv (prf-oracle key) A ();
      return-spmf b
    }

  definition game-1 :: ('domain, 'range) adversary ⇒ bool spmf
  where
    game-1 A = do {
      (b, -) ← exec-gpv random-oracle A empty;
      return-spmf b
    }

  definition advantage :: ('domain, 'range) adversary ⇒ real
  where advantage A = |spmf (game-0 A) True − spmf (game-1 A) True|

  lemma advantage-nonneg: advantage A ≥ 0
  by(simp add: advantage-def)

  abbreviation lossless :: ('domain, 'range) adversary ⇒ bool
  where lossless ≡ lossless-gpv I-full

  abbreviation (input) ibounded-by :: ('domain, 'range) adversary ⇒ enat ⇒ bool
  where ibounded-by ≡ interaction-any-bounded-by

end

end

```

1.11 Random permutation

```

theory Pseudo-Random-Permutation imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model

```

```

begin

locale random-permutation =
  fixes A :: 'b set
begin

definition random-permutation :: ('a → 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('b × ('a → 'b)) spmf
where
  random-permutation σ x =
    (case σ x of Some y ⇒ return-spmf (y, σ)
     | None ⇒ spmf-of-set (A – ran σ) ≪= (λy. return-spmf (y, σ(x ↦ y)))

lemma weight-random-oracle [simp]:
  [| finite A; A – ran σ ≠ {} |] ⇒ weight-spmf (random-permutation σ x) = 1
  by(simp add: random-permutation-def weight-bind-spmf o-def split: option.split)

lemma lossless-random-oracle [simp]:
  [| finite A; A – ran σ ≠ {} |] ⇒ lossless-spmf (random-permutation σ x)
  by(simp add: lossless-spmf-def)

sublocale finite: callee-invariant-on random-permutation λσ. finite (dom σ) I-full
by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: random-permutation-def split: option.splits)

lemma card-dom-random-oracle:
  assumes interaction-any-bounded-by A q
  and (y, σ') ∈ set-spmf (exec-gpv random-permutation A σ)
  and fin: finite (dom σ)
  shows card (dom σ') ≤ q + card (dom σ)
  by(rule finite.interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count[OF assms(1–2)])
    (auto simp add: random-permutation-def fin card-insert-if simp del: fun-upd-apply
split: option.split-asm)

end

end

```

1.12 Reducing games with many adversary guesses to games with single guesses

```

theory Guessing-Many-One imports
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
  CryptHOL.GPV-Bisim
begin

locale guessing-many-one =
  fixes init :: ('c-o × 'c-a × 's) spmf
  and oracle :: 'c-o ⇒ 's ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 's) spmf
  and eval :: 'c-o ⇒ 'c-a ⇒ 's ⇒ 'guess ⇒ bool spmf
begin

```

type-synonym ('c-a', 'guess', 'call', 'ret') adversary-single = 'c-a' \Rightarrow ('guess', 'call', 'ret') gpv

definition game-single :: ('c-a, 'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-single \Rightarrow bool spmf
where

```
game-single A = do {
  (c-o, c-a, s)  $\leftarrow$  init;
  (guess, s')  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv (oracle c-o) (A c-a) s;
  eval c-o c-a s' guess
}
```

definition advantage-single :: ('c-a, 'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-single \Rightarrow real
where advantage-single A = spmf (game-single A) True

type-synonym ('c-a', 'guess', 'call', 'ret') adversary-many = 'c-a' \Rightarrow (unit, 'call'
 $+ \text{guess}', \text{ret}' + \text{unit})$ gpv

definition eval-oracle :: 'c-o \Rightarrow 'c-a \Rightarrow bool \times 's \Rightarrow 'guess \Rightarrow (unit \times (bool \times 's)) spmf
where

```
eval-oracle c-o c-a = ( $\lambda(b, s')$  guess. map-spmf ( $\lambda b'. (((), (b \vee b', s')))$  (eval c-o  

c-a s' guess)))
```

definition game-multi :: ('c-a, 'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-many \Rightarrow bool spmf
where

```
game-multi A = do {
  (c-o, c-a, s)  $\leftarrow$  init;
  (-, (b, -))  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv
  ( $\dagger(\text{oracle } c-o) \oplus_O \text{eval-oracle } c-o c-a$ )
  (A c-a)
  (False, s);
  return-spmf b
}
```

definition advantage-multi :: ('c-a, 'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-many \Rightarrow real
where advantage-multi A = spmf (game-multi A) True

type-synonym 'guess' reduction-state = 'guess' + nat

primrec process-call :: 'guess reduction-state \Rightarrow 'call \Rightarrow ('ret option \times 'guess
reduction-state, 'call, 'ret) gpv

where

```
process-call (Inr j) x = do {
  ret  $\leftarrow$  Pause x Done;
  Done (Some ret, Inr j)
}
```

```

| process-call (Inl guess) x = Done (None, Inl guess)

primrec process-guess :: 'guess reduction-state  $\Rightarrow$  'guess  $\Rightarrow$  (unit option  $\times$  'guess reduction-state, 'call, 'ret) gpv
where
  process-guess (Inr j) guess = Done (if  $j > 0$  then (Some (), Inr ( $j - 1$ )) else
  (None, Inl guess))
| process-guess (Inl guess) - = Done (None, Inl guess)

abbreviation reduction-oracle :: 'guess + nat  $\Rightarrow$  'call + 'guess  $\Rightarrow$  (('ret + unit)
option  $\times$  ('guess + nat), 'call, 'ret) gpv
where reduction-oracle  $\equiv$  plus-intercept-stop process-call process-guess

definition reduction :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('c-a, 'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-many  $\Rightarrow$  ('c-a,
'guess, 'call, 'ret) adversary-single
where
  reduction q  $\mathcal{A}$  c-a = do {
    j-star  $\leftarrow$  lift-spmf (spmf-of-set {.. $< q$ });
    (-, s)  $\leftarrow$  inline-stop reduction-oracle ( $\mathcal{A}$  c-a) (Inr j-star);
    Done (projl s)
  }

lemma many-single-reduction:
  assumes bound:  $\bigwedge c\text{-}a c\text{-}o s. (c\text{-}o, c\text{-}a, s) \in set\text{-}spmf init \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by$ 
  ( $Not \circ isl$ ) ( $\mathcal{A}$  c-a) q
  and lossless-oracle:  $\bigwedge c\text{-}a c\text{-}o s s' x. (c\text{-}o, c\text{-}a, s) \in set\text{-}spmf init \implies lossless\text{-}spmf$ 
  (oracle c-o s' x)
  and lossless-eval:  $\bigwedge c\text{-}a c\text{-}o s s' guess. (c\text{-}o, c\text{-}a, s) \in set\text{-}spmf init \implies lossless\text{-}spmf$ 
  (eval c-o c-a s' guess)
  shows advantage-multi  $\mathcal{A} \leq$  advantage-single (reduction q  $\mathcal{A}$ ) * q
  including lifting-syntax
proof -
  def eval-oracle'  $\equiv \lambda c\text{-}o c\text{-}a ((id, occ :: nat option), s') guess.$ 
  map-spmf ( $\lambda b'. case occ of Some j_0 \Rightarrow (((), (Suc id, Some j_0), s')$ 
   $| None \Rightarrow (((), (Suc id, (if b' then Some id else None)),$ 
  s')))
  (eval c-o c-a s' guess)
  let ?multi'-body =  $\lambda c\text{-}o c\text{-}a s. exec\text{-}gpv (\dagger(oracle c\text{-}o) \oplus_O eval\text{-}oracle' c\text{-}o c\text{-}a)$ 
  ( $\mathcal{A}$  c-a) ((0, None), s)
  def game-multi'  $\equiv \lambda c\text{-}o c\text{-}a s. do \{$ 
  (-, ((id, j_0), s' :: 's))  $\leftarrow$  ?multi'-body c-o c-a s;
  return-spmf ( $j_0 \neq None$ ) }

define initialize :: ('c-o  $\Rightarrow$  'c-a  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf)  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf where
  initialize body = do {
    (c-o, c-a, s)  $\leftarrow$  init;
    j_s  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set {.. $< q$ };
    body c-o c-a s j_s } for body
  define body2 where body2 c-o c-a s j_s = do {
```

```

 $(-, (id, j_0), s') \leftarrow ?multi'\text{-body } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s;$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (j_0 = \text{Some } j_s) \} \text{ for } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s \ j_s$ 
let ?game2 = initialize body2

def stop-oracle  $\equiv \lambda c\text{-o}.$ 
 $(\lambda(idgs, s) \ x. \ \text{case } idgs \text{ of } Inr \ - \Rightarrow \text{map-spmf } (\lambda(y, s). (\text{Some } y, (idgs, s)))$ 
 $(\text{oracle } c\text{-o } s \ x) \mid Inl \ - \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } (\text{None}, (idgs, s)) \oplus_O^S$ 
 $(\lambda(idgs, s) \ \text{guess} :: 'guess. \text{return-spmf } (\text{case } idgs \text{ of } Inr 0 \Rightarrow (\text{None}, Inl (\text{guess}, s), s) \mid Inr (\text{Suc } i) \Rightarrow (\text{Some } (), Inr i, s) \mid Inl \ - \Rightarrow (\text{None}, idgs, s)))$ 
define body3 where body3  $c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s \ j_s = \text{do } \{$ 
 $(- :: \text{unit option}, idgs, -) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv-stop } (\text{stop-oracle } c\text{-o}) (\mathcal{A} \ c\text{-a}) (Inr j_s, s);$ 
 $(b' :: \text{bool}) \leftarrow \text{case } idgs \text{ of } Inr \ - \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } \text{False} \mid Inl (g, s') \Rightarrow \text{eval } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s' \ g;$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } b' \} \text{ for } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s \ j_s$ 
let ?game3 = initialize body3

{ define S :: bool  $\Rightarrow \text{nat } \times \text{nat option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where S  $\equiv \lambda b' (id, occ). b' \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists j_0. \ occ = \text{Some } j_0)$ 
let ?S = rel-prod S op =
 $\text{define initial} :: \text{nat } \times \text{nat option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where initial  $= (0, \text{None})$ 
define result :: nat  $\times \text{nat option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where result p  $= (\text{snd } p \neq \text{None})$ 
for p
have [transfer-rule]:  $(S \ == \ op =) \ (\lambda b. b) \ \text{result by} (\text{simp add: rel-fun-def result-def } S\text{-def})$ 
have [transfer-rule]: S False initial by (simp add: S-def initial-def)

have eval-oracle'[transfer-rule]:
 $(op \ == \ op \ == \ ?S \ == \ op \ == \ rel-spmf \ (\text{rel-prod } op = ?S))$ 
eval-oracle eval-oracle'
unfolding eval-oracle-def[abs-def] eval-oracle'-def[abs-def]
by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def S-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI split: option.split)

have game-multi': game-multi  $\mathcal{A} = \text{bind-spmf init } (\lambda(c\text{-o}, c\text{-a}, s). \ game-multi'$ 
 $c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s)$ 
unfolding game-multi-def game-multi'-def initial-def[symmetric]
by (rewrite in case-prod  $\triangleleft$  in bind-spmf - (case-prod  $\triangleleft$ ) in - = bind-spmf -  $\triangleleft$  split-def)
 $(\text{fold result-def; transfer-prover}) \}$ 
moreover
have spmf (game-multi' c-o c-a s) True = spmf (bind-spmf (spmf-of-set \{..<q\})
 $(\text{body2 } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } s)) \ True * q$ 
if (c-o, c-a, s)  $\in$  set-spmf init for c-o c-a s
proof -
have bnd: interaction-bounded-by (Not o isl) (\mathcal{A} c-a) q using bound that by
blast

```

```

have bound-occ:  $j_s < q$  if that:  $(((), (id, Some j_s), s') \in set-spmf (?multi'-body c-o c-a s))$ 
for  $s' id j_s$ 
proof -
  have  $id \leq q$ 
  by(rule oi-True.interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count[OF bnd that, where count=fst o fst, simplified])
    (auto simp add: eval-oracle'-def split: plus-oracle-split-asm option.split-asm)
  moreover let  $?I = \lambda((id, occ), s'). case occ of None \Rightarrow True | Some j_s \Rightarrow j_s < id$ 
    have callee-invariant  $(\dagger(\text{oracle } c-o) \oplus_O \text{eval-oracle}' c-o c-a) ?I$ 
    by(clar simp simp add: split-def intro!: conjI[OF callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle-const])
      (unfold-locales; auto simp add: eval-oracle'-def split: option.split-asm)
    from callee-invariant-on.exec-gpv-invariant[OF this that] have  $j_s < id$  by
      simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed

let  $?M = measure (\text{measure-spmf (?multi'-body c-o c-a s)})$ 
have spmf (game-multi' c-o c-a s) True =  $?M \{(u, (id, j_0), s'). j_0 \neq \text{None}\}$ 
  by(auto simp add: game-multi'-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric]
split-def spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def)
also have  $\{(u, (id, j_0), s'). j_0 \neq \text{None}\} =$ 
   $\{(((), (id, Some j_s), s') | j_s < q\} \cup \{(((), (id, Some j_s), s') | j_s \geq q\}$ 
is - = ?A by auto
also have  $?M \dots = ?M ?A$ 
by (rule measure-spmf.measure-zero-union)(auto simp add: measure-spmf-zero-iff dest: bound-occ)
also have  $\dots = measure (\text{measure-spmf (pair-spmf (spmf-of-set \{.. < q\}) (?multi'-body c-o c-a s)))}$ 
   $\{(j_s, (), (id, j_0), s') | j_s < q\} = Some j_s * q$ 
is - = measure ?M' ?B
proof -
  have  $?B = \{(j_s, (), (id, j_0), s') | j_s < q\} \cup \{(j_s, (), (id, j_0), s') | j_s \geq q\}$  is - = ?Set1
Set2
  by auto
  then have  $?B = measure ?M' (?Set1 \cup ?Set2)$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = measure ?M' ?Set1$ 
by (rule measure-spmf.measure-zero-union)(auto simp add: measure-spmf-zero-iff)
also have  $\dots = (\sum_{j \in \{0..<q\}} measure ?M' \{j\} \times \{(((), (id, Some j), s') | s' id. True)\})$ 
  by(subst measure-spmf.finite-measure-finite-Union[symmetric])
    (auto intro!: arg-cong2[where f=measure] simp add: disjoint-family-on-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\sum_{j \in \{0..<q\}} 1 / q * measure (\text{measure-spmf (?multi'-body c-o c-a s)}) \{(((), (id, Some j), s') | s' id. True)\})$ 
  by(simp add: measure-pair-spmf-times spmf-conv-measure-spmf[symmetric])

```

```

 $\text{spmf-of-set})$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = 1 / q * \text{measure} (\text{measure-spmf} (\text{?multi}'\text{-body} c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s))$ 
 $\{(((), (id, \text{Some } j_s), s') | j_s < q\}$ 
 $\text{unfolding sum-distrib-left[symmetric]}$ 
 $\text{by (subst measure-spmf.finite-measure-finite-Union[symmetric])}$ 
 $\text{(auto intro!: arg-cong2[where f=measure] simp add: disjoint-family-on-def)}$ 
 $\text{finally show ?thesis by simp}$ 
 $\text{qed}$ 
 $\text{also have } ?B = (\lambda(j_s, -, (-, j_0), -). j_0 = \text{Some } j_s) -` \{ \text{True} \}$ 
 $\text{by (auto simp add: vimage-def)}$ 
 $\text{also have } rw2: \text{measure } ?M' \dots = \text{spmf} (\text{bind-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set} \{.. < q\})$ 
 $(\text{body2 } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s)) \text{ True}$ 
 $\text{by (simp add: body2-def[abs-def] measure-map-spmf[symmetric] map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf}$ 
 $\text{split-def pair-spmf-alt-def spmf-conv-measure-spmf[symmetric])}$ 
 $\text{finally show ?thesis .}$ 
 $\text{qed}$ 
 $\text{hence spmf} (\text{bind-spmf init} (\lambda(c\text{-a}, c\text{-o}, s). \text{game-multi}' c\text{-a} c\text{-o} s)) \text{ True} = \text{spmf}$ 
 $?game2 \text{ True} * q$ 
 $\text{unfolding initialize-def spmf-bind[where p=init]}$ 
 $\text{by (auto intro!: integral-cong-AE simp del: integral-mult-left-zero simp add:}$ 
 $\text{integral-mult-left-zero[symmetric])}$ 

 $\text{moreover}$ 
 $\text{have ord-spmf op} \longrightarrow (\text{body2 } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s j_s) (\text{body3 } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s j_s)$ 
 $\text{if init: } (c\text{-o}, c\text{-a}, s) \in \text{set-spmf init} \text{ and } j_s: j_s < \text{Suc } q \text{ for } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s j_s$ 
 $\text{proof -}$ 
 $\text{define oracle2' where } \text{oracle2'} \equiv \lambda(b, (id, gs), s) \text{ guess. if } id = j_s \text{ then do } \{$ 
 $b' :: \text{bool} \leftarrow \text{eval } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s \text{ guess};$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (((), (\text{Some } b', (\text{Suc } id, \text{Some } (\text{guess}, s)), s)))$ 
 $\} \text{ else return-spmf } (((), (b, (\text{Suc } id, gs), s)))$ 

 $\text{let } ?R = \lambda((id1, j_0), s1) (b', (id2, gs), s2). s1 = s2 \wedge id1 = id2 \wedge (j_0 =$ 
 $\text{Some } j_s \longrightarrow b' = \text{Some } \text{True}) \wedge (id2 \leq j_s \longrightarrow b' = \text{None})$ 
 $\text{from init have rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod op} = ?R)$ 
 $\text{(exec-gpv} (\text{extend-state-oracle} (\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O \text{eval-oracle}' c\text{-o} c\text{-a}) (\mathcal{A} c\text{-a})$ 
 $(((), \text{None}), s))$ 
 $\text{(exec-gpv} (\text{extend-state-oracle} (\text{oracle } c\text{-o})) \oplus_O \text{oracle2'})$ 
 $(\mathcal{A} c\text{-a}) (\text{None}, (0, \text{None}), s))$ 
 $\text{by (intro exec-gpv-oracle-bisim[where X=?R])(auto simp add: oracle2'-def}$ 
 $\text{eval-oracle}'-def spmf-rel-map map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] rel-spmf-return-spmf2$ 
 $\text{lossless-eval o-def intro!: rel-spmf-reflI split: option.split-asm plus-oracle-split if-split-asm})$ 
 $\text{then have rel-spmf} (\text{op} \longrightarrow) (\text{body2 } c\text{-o} c\text{-a} s j_s)$ 
 $\text{(do } \{$ 
 $(-, b', -, -) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv} (\dagger\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O \text{oracle2'}) (\mathcal{A} c\text{-a}) (\text{None}, (0,$ 
 $\text{None}), s);$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (b' = \text{Some } \text{True}) \})$ 
 $\text{(is rel-spmf - - ?body2')}$ 

— We do not get equality here because the right hand side may return True even when the bad event has happened before the  $j_s$ -th iteration.


```

```

unfolding body2-def by(rule rel-spmf-bindI) clarsimp
also
  let ?guess-oracle =  $\lambda((id, gs), s). \text{guess. return-spmf } (((), Suc id, \text{if } id = j_s \text{ then Some } (\text{guess}, s) \text{ else } gs), s)$ 
  let ?I =  $\lambda(idgs, s). \text{case } idgs \text{ of } (-, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid (i, \text{Some } -) \Rightarrow j_s < i$ 
  interpret I: callee-invariant-on  $\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O ?\text{guess-oracle} ?I \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ 
    by(simp)(unfold-locales; auto split: option.split)

  let ?f =  $\lambda s. \text{case } snd \text{ (fst } s) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf False} \mid \text{Some } a \Rightarrow \text{eval } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } (snd a) \text{ (fst } a)$ 
    let ?X =  $\lambda j_s (b1, (id1, gs1), s1) (b2, (id2, gs2), s2). b1 = b2 \wedge id1 = id2 \wedge gs1 = gs2 \wedge s1 = s2 \wedge (b2 = \text{None} \leftrightarrow gs2 = \text{None}) \wedge (id2 \leq j_s \rightarrow b2 = \text{None})$ 
    have ?body2' = do {
      (a, r, s)  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv ( $\lambda(r, s) x. \text{do } \{$ 
        (y, s')  $\leftarrow$   $(\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O ?\text{guess-oracle}) s x;$ 
         $\text{if } ?I s' \wedge r = \text{None} \text{ then map-spmf } (\lambda r. (y, \text{Some } r, s')) (?f s') \text{ else return-spmf } (y, r, s')$ 
       $\}$ 
       $(\mathcal{A} \text{ } c\text{-a}) (\text{None}, (0, \text{None}), s);$ 
       $\text{case } r \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow ?f s' \ggg \text{return-spmf} \mid \text{Some } r' \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } r' \}$ 
    unfolding oracle2'-def spmf-rel-eq[symmetric]
    by(rule rel-spmf-bindI[OF exec-gpv-oracle-bisim'[where X=?X j_s]])
      (auto simp add: bind-map-spmf o-def spmf.map-comp split-beta conj-comms
      map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] spmf-rel-map rel-spmf-reflI cong: conj-cong
      split: plus-oracle-split)
    also have ... = do {
      us'  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv ( $\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O ?\text{guess-oracle}) (\mathcal{A} \text{ } c\text{-a}) ((0, \text{None}), s);$ 
      (b' :: bool)  $\leftarrow$  ?f (snd us');
       $\text{return-spmf } b'$ 
    (is - = ?body2')
    by(rule I.exec-gpv-bind-materialize[symmetric])(auto split: plus-oracle-split-asm
    option.split-asm)
    also have ... = do {
      us'  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv-stop (lift-stop-oracle ( $\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o}) \oplus_O ?\text{guess-oracle}$ )) ( $\mathcal{A} \text{ } c\text{-a}$ ) ((0, None), s);
      (b' :: bool)  $\leftarrow$  ?f (snd us');
       $\text{return-spmf } b'$ 
    supply lift-stop-oracle-transfer[transfer-rule] gpv-stop-transfer[transfer-rule]
    exec-gpv-parametric'[transfer-rule]
    by transfer simp
    also let ?S =  $\lambda((id1, gs1), s1) ((id2, gs2), s2). gs1 = gs2 \wedge (gs2 = \text{None} \rightarrow s1 = s2 \wedge id1 = id2) \wedge (gs1 = \text{None} \leftrightarrow id1 \leq j_s)$ 
    have ord-spmf op  $\rightarrow \dots$  (exec-gpv-stop (( $\lambda((id, gs), s) x. \text{case } gs \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{lift-stop-oracle } (\dagger(\text{oracle } c\text{-o})) ((id, gs), s) x \mid \text{Some } - \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } (\text{None}, ((id, gs), s))) \oplus_O S$ 
       $(\lambda((id, gs), s) \text{ guess. return-spmf } (\text{if } id \geq j_s \text{ then None else Some } ()),$ 
       $(\text{Suc } id, \text{if } id = j_s \text{ then Some } (\text{guess}, s) \text{ else } gs, s)))$ 
       $(\mathcal{A} \text{ } c\text{-a}) ((0, \text{None}), s) \ggg$ 

```

```

 $(\lambda us'. \text{case } snd (\text{fst} (snd us')) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } \text{False} \mid \text{Some } a \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{eval } c\text{-o } c\text{-a } (snd a) (\text{fst } a)))$ 
unfolding body3-def stop-oracle-def
by(rule ord-spmf-exec-gpv-stop[where stop =  $\lambda((id, guess), -)$ . guess  $\neq$  None
and  $S=?S$ , THEN ord-spmf-bindI])
(auto split: prod.split-asm plus-oracle-split-asm split!: plus-oracle-stop-split
simp del: not-None-eq simp add: spmf.map-comp o-def apfst-compose ord-spmf-map-spmf1
ord-spmf-map-spmf2 split-beta ord-spmf-return-spmf2 intro!: ord-spmf-reflI)
also let ?X =  $\lambda((id, gs), s1) (idgs, s2)$ .  $s1 = s2 \wedge (\text{case } (gs, idgs) \text{ of } (\text{None},$ 
Inr id'})  $\Rightarrow id' = j_s - id \wedge id \leq j_s \mid (\text{Some } gs, \text{Inl } gs') \Rightarrow gs = gs' \wedge id > j_s \mid -$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{False})$ 
have ... = body3 c-o c-a s j_s unfolding body3-def spmf-rel-eq[symmetric]
stop-oracle-def
by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim'[where X=?X, THEN rel-spmf-bindI])
(auto split: option.split-asm plus-oracle-stop-split nat.splits split!: sum.split
simp add: spmf-rel-map intro!: rel-spmf-reflI)
finally show ?thesis by(rule pmf.rel-mono-strong)(auto elim!: option.rel-cases
ord-option.cases)
qed
{ then have ord-spmf (op  $\longrightarrow$ ) ?game2 ?game3
by(clarsimp simp add: initialize-def intro!: ord-spmf-bind-reflI)
also
let ?X =  $\lambda(gsid, s) (gid, s')$ .  $s = s' \wedge \text{rel-sum } (\lambda(g, s1) g'). g = g' \wedge s1 = s'$ 
op = gsid gid
have rel-spmf (op  $\longrightarrow$ ) ?game3 (game-single (reduction q A))
unfolding body3-def stop-oracle-def game-single-def reduction-def split-def
initialize-def
apply(clarsimp simp add: bind-map-spmf exec-gpv-bind exec-gpv-inline intro!:
rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
apply(rule rel-spmf-bindI[OF exec-gpv-oracle-bisim'[where X=?X]])
apply(auto split: plus-oracle-stop-split elim!: rel-sum.cases simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf [symmetric]
split-def spmf-rel-map rel-spmf-reflI rel-spmf-return-spmf1 lossless-eval split: nat.split)
done
finally have ord-spmf op  $\longrightarrow$  ?game2 (game-single (reduction q A))
by(rule pmf.rel-mono-strong)(auto elim!: option.rel-cases ord-option.cases)
from this[THEN ord-spmf-measureD, of {True}]
have spmf ?game2 True  $\leq$  spmf (game-single (reduction q A)) True unfolding
spmf-conv-measure-spmf
by(rule ord-le-eq-trans)(auto intro: arg-cong2[where f=measure]) }
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding advantage-multi-def advantage-single-def
by(simp add: mult-right-mono)
qed
end
end

```

1.13 Unpredictable function

```

theory Unpredictable-Function imports
  Guessing-Many-One
begin

locale upf =
  fixes key-gen :: 'key spmf
  and hash :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'hash
begin

type-synonym ('x, 'hash) adversary = (unit, 'x' + ('x'  $\times$  'hash'), 'hash' +
  unit) gpv

definition oracle-hash :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  ('x, 'hash, 'x set) callee
where
  oracle-hash k = ( $\lambda L\ y.$  do {
    let t = hash k y;
    let L = insert y L;
    return-spmf (t, L)
  })

definition oracle-flag :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'hash, unit, bool  $\times$  'x set) callee
where
  oracle-flag = ( $\lambda key\ (flg,\ L).$  (y, t).
    return-spmf (((), (flg  $\vee$  (t = (hash key y)  $\wedge$  y  $\notin$  L), L)))

abbreviation oracle :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  ('x + 'x  $\times$  'hash, 'hash + unit, bool  $\times$  'x set) callee
where oracle key  $\equiv$   $\dagger$ (oracle-hash key)  $\oplus_O$  oracle-flag key

definition game :: ('x, 'hash) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf
where
  game A = do {
    key  $\leftarrow$  key-gen;
    (-, (flag', L'))  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv (oracle key) A (False, {});
    return-spmf flag'
  }

definition advantage :: ('x, 'hash) adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real
where advantage A = spmf (game A) True

type-synonym ('x, 'hash) adversary1 = ('x'  $\times$  'hash', 'x', 'hash') gpv

definition game1 :: ('x, 'hash) adversary1  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf
where
  game1 A = do {
    key  $\leftarrow$  key-gen;
    ((m, h), L)  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv (oracle-hash key) A {};
    return-spmf (h = hash key m  $\wedge$  m  $\notin$  L)
  }

```

```

definition advantage1 :: ('x, 'hash) adversary1  $\Rightarrow$  real
  where advantage1  $\mathcal{A}$  = spmf (game1  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True

lemma advantage-advantage1:
  assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by (Not o isl)  $\mathcal{A}$  q
  shows advantage  $\mathcal{A}$   $\leq$  advantage1 (guessing-many-one.reduction q ( $\lambda$ - :: unit.
 $\mathcal{A}$ ) ()) * q
  proof -
    let ?init = map-spmf ( $\lambda$ key. (key, (), {})) key-gen
    let ?oracle =  $\lambda$ key . oracle-hash key
    let ?eval =  $\lambda$ key (- :: unit) L (x, h). return-spmf (h = hash key x  $\wedge$  x  $\notin$  L)

    interpret guessing-many-one ?init ?oracle ?eval .

    have [simp]: oracle-flag key = eval-oracle key () for key
      by(simp add: oracle-flag-def eval-oracle-def fun-eq-iff)
    have game  $\mathcal{A}$  = game-multi ( $\lambda$ -,  $\mathcal{A}$ )
      by(auto simp add: game-multi-def game-def bind-map-spmf intro!: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl])
    hence advantage  $\mathcal{A}$  = advantage-multi ( $\lambda$ -,  $\mathcal{A}$ ) by(simp add: advantage-def
    advantage-multi-def)
    also have ...  $\leq$  advantage-single (reduction q ( $\lambda$ -,  $\mathcal{A}$ )) * q using bound
      by(rule many-single-reduction)(auto simp add: oracle-hash-def)
    also have advantage-single (reduction q ( $\lambda$ -,  $\mathcal{A}$ )) = advantage1 (reduction q ( $\lambda$ -,
 $\mathcal{A}$ ) ()) for  $\mathcal{A}$ 
      unfolding advantage1-def advantage-single-def
      by(auto simp add: game1-def game-single-def bind-map-spmf o-def intro!: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl] arg-cong2[where f=spmf])
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed

  end

end

theory Security-Spec imports
  Diffie-Hellman
  IND-CCA2
  IND-CCA2-sym
  IND-CPA
  IND-CPA-PK
  IND-CPA-PK-Single
  SUF-CMA
  Pseudo-Random-Function
  Pseudo-Random-Permutation
  Unpredictable-Function
begin

```

```
end
```

2 Cryptographic constructions and their security

```
theory Elgamal imports
  CryptHOL.Cyclic-Group-SPMF
  CryptHOL.Computational-Model
  Diffie-Hellman
  IND-CPA-PK-Single
  CryptHOL.Negligible
begin
```

2.1 Elgamal encryption scheme

```
locale elgamal-base =
  fixes  $\mathcal{G}$  :: 'grp cyclic-group (structure)
begin

type-synonym 'grp' pub-key = 'grp'
type-synonym 'grp' priv-key = nat
type-synonym 'grp' plain = 'grp'
type-synonym 'grp' cipher = 'grp'  $\times$  'grp'

definition key-gen :: ('grp pub-key  $\times$  'grp priv-key) spmf
where
  key-gen = do {
     $x \leftarrow$  sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ );
    return-spmf ( $\mathbf{g}(\wedge)$   $x, x$ )
  }

lemma key-gen-alt:
  key-gen = map-spmf ( $\lambda x. (\mathbf{g}(\wedge) x, x)$ ) (sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ ))
by(simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf key-gen-def)

definition aencrypt :: 'grp pub-key  $\Rightarrow$  'grp  $\Rightarrow$  'grp cipher spmf
where
  aencrypt  $\alpha$  msg = do {
     $y \leftarrow$  sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ );
    return-spmf ( $\mathbf{g}(\wedge)$   $y, (\alpha(\wedge) y) \otimes msg$ )
  }

lemma aencrypt-alt:
  aencrypt  $\alpha$  msg = map-spmf ( $\lambda y. (\mathbf{g}(\wedge) y, (\alpha(\wedge) y) \otimes msg)$ ) (sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ ))
by(simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf aencrypt-def)

definition adecrypt :: 'grp priv-key  $\Rightarrow$  'grp cipher  $\Rightarrow$  'grp option
where
```

```

adecrypt x = ( $\lambda(\beta, \zeta). \text{Some } (\zeta \otimes (\text{inv } (\beta \wedge) x)))$ )

abbreviation valid-plains :: 'grp  $\Rightarrow$  'grp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where valid-plains msg1 msg2  $\equiv$  msg1  $\in$  carrier  $\mathcal{G}$   $\wedge$  msg2  $\in$  carrier  $\mathcal{G}$ 

sublocale ind-cpa: ind-cpa key-gen aencrypt adecrypt valid-plains .
sublocale ddh: ddh  $\mathcal{G}$  .

fun elgamal-adversary :: ('grp pub-key, 'grp plain, 'grp cipher, 'state) ind-cpa.adversary
 $\Rightarrow$  'grp ddh.adversary
where
  elgamal-adversary ( $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ )  $\alpha \beta \gamma = \text{TRY do } \{$ 
    b  $\leftarrow$  coin-spmf;
    ((msg1, msg2),  $\sigma$ )  $\leftarrow$   $\mathcal{A}_1 \alpha$ ;
    (* have to check that the attacker actually sends two elements from the group;
    otherwise flip a coin *)
    - :: unit  $\leftarrow$  assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
    guess  $\leftarrow$   $\mathcal{A}_2 (\beta, \gamma \otimes (\text{if } b \text{ then msg1 else msg2})) \sigma$ ;
    return-spmf (guess = b)
  } ELSE coin-spmf

end

locale elgamal = elgamal-base + cyclic-group  $\mathcal{G}$  +
  assumes finite-group: finite (carrier  $\mathcal{G}$ )
begin

theorem advantage-elgamal: ind-cpa.advantage  $\mathcal{A} = \text{ddh.advantage } (\text{elgamal-adversary } \mathcal{A})$ 
  including monad-normalisation
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  where  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  by(cases  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  note [simp] = this order-gt-0-iff-finite finite-group try-spmf-bind-out split-def
  o-def spmf-of-set bind-map-spmf weight-spmf-le-1 scale-bind-spmf bind-spmf-const
  and [cong] = bind-spmf-cong-simp
  have ddh.ddh-1 (elgamal-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ) = TRY do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ );
    y  $\leftarrow$  sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ );
    ((msg1, msg2),  $\sigma$ )  $\leftarrow$   $\mathcal{A}_1 (\mathbf{g} \wedge x)$ ;
    - :: unit  $\leftarrow$  assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
    b  $\leftarrow$  coin-spmf;
    z  $\leftarrow$  map-spmf ( $\lambda z. \mathbf{g} \wedge z \otimes (\text{if } b \text{ then msg1 else msg2}))$  (sample-uniform
    (order  $\mathcal{G}$ ));
    guess  $\leftarrow$   $\mathcal{A}_2 (\mathbf{g} \wedge y, z) \sigma$ ;
    return-spmf (guess  $\longleftrightarrow$  b)
  } ELSE coin-spmf
  by(simp add: ddh.ddh-1-def)
  also have ... = TRY do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  sample-uniform (order  $\mathcal{G}$ );
  }

```

```

 $y \leftarrow \text{sample-uniform}(\text{order } \mathcal{G});$ 
 $((msg1, msg2), \sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}1(\mathbf{g}(\wedge)x);$ 
 $\text{-} :: \text{unit} \leftarrow \text{assert-spmf}(\text{valid-plains } msg1 \text{ } msg2);$ 
 $z \leftarrow \text{map-spmf}(\lambda z. \mathbf{g}(\wedge)z)(\text{sample-uniform}(\text{order } \mathcal{G}));$ 
 $\text{guess} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}2(\mathbf{g}(\wedge)y, z)\sigma;$ 
 $\text{map-spmf}(op = \text{guess}) \text{ } \text{coin-spmf}$ 
 $\} \text{ } \text{ELSE } \text{ } \text{coin-spmf}$ 
 $\text{by}(simp \text{ add: sample-uniform-one-time-pad map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf} [\text{where } p=\text{coin-spmf}])$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{coin-spmf}$ 
 $\text{by}(simp \text{ add: map-eq-const-coin-spmf try-bind-spmf-lossless2'})$ 
 $\text{also have } ddh.ddh-0 \text{ (elgamal-adversary } \mathcal{A}) = \text{ind-cpa.ind-cpa } \mathcal{A}$ 
 $\text{by}(simp \text{ add: ddh.ddh-0-def IND-CPA-PK-Single.ind-cpa.ind-cpa-def key-gen-def } a encrypt-def nat-pow-pow eq-commute)$ 
 $\text{ultimately show } ?thesis \text{ by}(simp \text{ add: ddh.advantage-def ind-cpa.advantage-def})$ 
qed

end

locale elgamal-asympt =
fixes  $\mathcal{G} :: \text{security} \Rightarrow \text{'grp cyclic-group}$ 
assumes elgamal:  $\bigwedge \eta. \text{elgamal}(\mathcal{G} \eta)$ 
begin

sublocale elgamal  $\mathcal{G} \eta$  for  $\eta$  by(simp add: elgamal)

theorem elgamal-secure:
negligible  $(\lambda \eta. \text{ind-cpa.advantage } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta))$  if negligible  $(\lambda \eta. ddh.advantage \eta (\text{elgamal-adversary } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta)))$ 
by(simp add: advantage-elgamal that)

end

context elgamal-base begin

lemma lossless-key-gen [simp]: lossless-spmf(key-gen)  $\longleftrightarrow 0 < \text{order } \mathcal{G}$ 
by(simp add: key-gen-def Let-def)

lemma lossless-aencrypt [simp]:
lossless-spmf(aencrypt key plain)  $\longleftrightarrow 0 < \text{order } \mathcal{G}$ 
by(simp add: aencrypt-def Let-def)

lemma lossless-elgamal-adversary:
 $\llbracket \text{ind-cpa.lossless } \mathcal{A}; 0 < \text{order } \mathcal{G} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies ddh.lossless(\text{elgamal-adversary } \mathcal{A})$ 
by(cases  $\mathcal{A}$ )(simp add: ddh.lossless-def ind-cpa.lossless-def Let-def split-def)

end

```

```
end
```

2.2 Hashed Elgamal in the Random Oracle Model

```
theory Hashed-Elgamal imports
  CryptHOL.GPV-Bisim
  CryptHOL.Cyclic-Group-SPMF
  CryptHOL.List-Bits
  IND-CPA-PK
  Diffie-Hellman
begin

type-synonym bitstring = bool list

locale hash-oracle = fixes len :: nat begin

type-synonym 'a state = 'a → bitstring

definition oracle :: 'a state ⇒ 'a ⇒ (bitstring × 'a state) spmf
where
  oracle σ x =
  (case σ x of None ⇒ do {
    bs ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
    return-spmf (bs, σ(x ↪ bs))
  } | Some bs ⇒ return-spmf (bs, σ))

abbreviation (input) initial :: 'a state where initial ≡ empty

inductive invariant :: 'a state ⇒ bool
where
  invariant: [ finite (dom σ); length ` ran σ ⊆ {len} ] ⇒ invariant σ

lemma invariant-initial [simp]: invariant initial
by(rule invariant.intros) auto

lemma invariant-update [simp]: [ invariant σ; length bs = len ] ⇒ invariant
(σ(x ↪ bs))
by(auto simp add: invariant.simps ran-def)

lemma invariant [intro!, simp]: callee-invariant oracle invariant
by unfold-locales(simp-all add: oracle-def in-nlists-UNIV split: option.split-asm)

lemma invariant-in-dom [simp]: callee-invariant oracle (λσ. x ∈ dom σ)
by unfold-locales(simp-all add: oracle-def split: option.split-asm)

lemma lossless-oracle [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle σ x)
by(simp add: oracle-def split: option.split)

lemma card-dom-state:
```

```

assumes  $\sigma': (x, \sigma') \in \text{set-spmf} (\text{exec-gpv oracle gpv } \sigma)$ 
and  $\text{ibound}: \text{interaction-any-bounded-by gpv } n$ 
shows  $\text{card} (\text{dom } \sigma') \leq n + \text{card} (\text{dom } \sigma)$ 
proof(cases finite (dom  $\sigma$ ))
  case True
    interpret callee-invariant-on oracle  $\lambda\sigma. \text{finite} (\text{dom } \sigma) \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ 
      by unfold-locales(auto simp add: oracle-def split: option.split-asm)
    from ibound  $\sigma' \dashv \text{True}$  show ?thesis
      by(rule interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count)(auto simp add: oracle-def card-insert-if
simp del: fun-upd-apply split: option.split-asm)
  next
    case False
      interpret callee-invariant-on oracle  $\lambda\sigma'. \text{dom } \sigma \subseteq \text{dom } \sigma' \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ 
        by unfold-locales(auto simp add: oracle-def split: option.split-asm)
      from  $\sigma'$  have  $\text{dom } \sigma \subseteq \text{dom } \sigma'$  by(rule exec-gpv-invariant) simp-all
      with False have infinite (dom  $\sigma')$  by(auto intro: finite-subset)
      with False show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

locale elgamal-base =
  fixes  $\mathcal{G} :: \text{'grp cyclic-group (structure)'}$ 
  and len-plain :: nat
begin

sublocale hash: hash-oracle len-plain .
abbreviation hash :: 'grp  $\Rightarrow$  (bitstring, 'grp, bitstring) gpv
where hash  $x \equiv$  Pause  $x$  Done

type-synonym 'grp' pub-key = 'grp'
type-synonym 'grp' priv-key = nat
type-synonym plain = bitstring
type-synonym 'grp' cipher = 'grp'  $\times$  bitstring

definition key-gen :: ('grp pub-key  $\times$  'grp priv-key) spmf
where
key-gen = do {
   $x \leftarrow \text{sample-uniform} (\text{order } \mathcal{G});$ 
  return-spmf (g (^) x, x)
}

definition aencrypt :: 'grp pub-key  $\Rightarrow$  plain  $\Rightarrow$  ('grp cipher, 'grp, bitstring) gpv
where
aencrypt  $\alpha$  msg = do {
   $y \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf} (\text{sample-uniform} (\text{order } \mathcal{G}));$ 
   $h \leftarrow \text{hash} (\alpha (^) y);$ 
  Done (g (^) y, h [⊕] msg)
}

```

```

definition adecrypt :: 'grp priv-key  $\Rightarrow$  'grp cipher  $\Rightarrow$  (plain, 'grp, bitstring) gpv
where
  adecrypt  $x = (\lambda(\beta, \zeta). \text{do } \{$ 
     $h \leftarrow \text{hash } (\beta \wedge) x;$ 
     $\text{Done } (\zeta \oplus) h$ 
   $\})$ 

definition valid-plains :: plain  $\Rightarrow$  plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where valid-plains msg1 msg2  $\longleftrightarrow$  length msg1 = len-plain  $\wedge$  length msg2 = len-plain

lemma lossless-aencrypt [simp]: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (aencrypt  $\alpha$  msg)  $\longleftrightarrow$  0 < order  $\mathcal{G}$ 
by(simp add: aencrypt-def Let-def)

lemma interaction-bounded-by-aencrypt [interaction-bound, simp]:
  interaction-bounded-by ( $\lambda\_. \text{True}$ ) (aencrypt  $\alpha$  msg) 1
unfolding aencrypt-def by interaction-bound(simp add: one-enat-def SUP-le-iff)

sublocale ind-cpa: ind-cpa-pk lift-spmf key-gen aencrypt adecrypt valid-plains .
sublocale lcdh: lcdh  $\mathcal{G}$  .

fun elgamal-adversary
  :: ('grp pub-key, plain, 'grp cipher, 'grp, bitstring, 'state) ind-cpa.adversary
   $\Rightarrow$  'grp lcdh.adversary
where
  elgamal-adversary ( $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ )  $\alpha$   $\beta = \text{do } \{$ 
    (((msg1, msg2),  $\sigma$ ), s)  $\leftarrow \text{exec-gpv hash.oracle } (\mathcal{A}_1 \alpha) \text{hash.initial};$ 
    (* have to check that the attacker actually sends an element from the group;
    otherwise stop early *)
    TRY do {
      - :: unit  $\leftarrow \text{assert-spmf } (\text{valid-plains msg1 msg2});$ 
       $h' \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists UNIV len-plain});$ 
      (guess, s')  $\leftarrow \text{exec-gpv hash.oracle } (\mathcal{A}_2 (\beta, h') \sigma) s;$ 
      return-spmf (dom s')
    } ELSE return-spmf (dom s)
  }

end

locale elgamal = elgamal-base +
  assumes cyclic-group: cyclic-group  $\mathcal{G}$ 
begin

interpretation cyclic-group  $\mathcal{G}$  by(fact cyclic-group)

lemma advantage-elgamal:
  includes lifting-syntax

```

```

assumes finite-group: finite (carrier  $\mathcal{G}$ )
and lossless: ind-cpa.lossless  $\mathcal{A}$ 
shows ind-cpa.advantage hash.oracle hash.initial  $\mathcal{A} \leq \text{lcdh.advantage}(\text{elgamal-adversary } \mathcal{A})$ 
proof -
  note [cong del] = if-weak-cong and [split del] = if-split
  and [simp] = map-lift-spmf gpv.map-id lossless-weight-spmfD map-spmf-bind-spmf
  bind-spmf-const
  obtain  $\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_2$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  [simp]:  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  by(cases  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  interpret cyclic-group: cyclic-group  $\mathcal{G}$  by(rule cyclic-group)
  from finite-group have [simp]: order  $\mathcal{G} > 0$  using order-gt-0-iff-finite by(simp)
  from lossless have lossless1 [simp]:  $\bigwedge \text{pk. lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ pk})$ 
  and lossless2 [simp]:  $\bigwedge \sigma \text{ cipher. lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\mathcal{A}_2 \sigma \text{ cipher})$ 
  by(auto simp add: ind-cpa.lossless-def)

```

We change the adversary's oracle to record the queries made by the adversary

```

def hash-oracle'  $\equiv \lambda \sigma \ x. \text{do} \{$ 
   $h \leftarrow \text{hash } x;$ 
  Done ( $h, \text{insert } x \ \sigma$ )
}
have [simp]: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\text{hash-oracle}' \sigma x)$  for  $\sigma \ x$  by(simp add: hash-oracle'-def)
have [simp]: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\text{inline hash-oracle}' (\mathcal{A}_1 \alpha) s)$  for  $\alpha \ s$ 
  by(rule lossless-inline[where  $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ ]) simp-all
def game0  $\equiv \text{TRY do} \{$ 
  ( $\text{pk}, -) \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf key-gen};$ 
   $b \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf coin-spmf};$ 
  (((msg1, msg2),  $\sigma$ ),  $s$ )  $\leftarrow \text{inline hash-oracle}' (\mathcal{A}_1 \text{ pk}) \ \{\};$ 
  assert-gpv (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
  cipher  $\leftarrow \text{aencrypt pk } (\text{if } b \text{ then msg1 else msg2});$ 
  (guess,  $s'$ )  $\leftarrow \text{inline hash-oracle}' (\mathcal{A}_2 \text{ cipher } \sigma) \ s;$ 
  Done (guess =  $b$ )
}
ELSE lift-spmf coin-spmf
{
  def cr  $\equiv \lambda - :: \text{unit. } \lambda - :: 'a \text{ set. True}$ 
  have [transfer-rule]: cr () {} by(simp add: cr-def)
  have [transfer-rule]: ( $op ==> cr ==> cr$ )  $(\lambda - \sigma. \sigma)$  insert by(simp add:
  rel-fun-def cr-def)
  have [transfer-rule]: ( $cr ==> op ==> rel-gpv (rel-prod op = cr) \ op =$ )
  id-oracle hash-oracle'
  unfolding hash-oracle'-def id-oracle-def[abs-def] bind-gpv-Pause bind-rpv-Done
  by transfer-prover
  have ind-cpa.ind-cpa  $\mathcal{A} = \text{game0 unfolding game0-def } \mathcal{A}$  ind-cpa-pk.ind-cpa.simps
  by(transfer fixing:  $\mathcal{G}$  len-plain  $\mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_2$ )(simp add: bind-map-gpv o-def ind-cpa-pk.ind-cpa.simps
  split-def)
  note game0 = this
  have game0-alt-def: game0 = do {
     $x \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf (sample-uniform (order } \mathcal{G}))$ ;
  }

```

```

 $b \leftarrow lift-spmf\ coin-spmf;$ 
 $((msg1, msg2), \sigma), s \leftarrow inline\ hash-oracle' (\mathcal{A}1 (\mathbf{g} (^) x)) \{\};$ 
 $TRY\ do\ \{$ 
 $\quad - :: unit \leftarrow assert-gpv\ (valid-plains\ msg1\ msg2);$ 
 $\quad cipher \leftarrow aencrypt\ (\mathbf{g} (^) x)\ (if\ b\ then\ msg1\ else\ msg2);$ 
 $\quad (guess, s') \leftarrow inline\ hash-oracle' (\mathcal{A}2\ cipher\ \sigma)\ s;$ 
 $\quad Done\ (guess = b)$ 
 $\} ELSE\ lift-spmf\ coin-spmf$ 
 $\}$ 
by(simp add: split-def game0-def key-gen-def lift-spmf-bind-spmf bind-gpv-assoc
try-gpv-bind-lossless[symmetric])

def hash-oracle''  $\equiv \lambda(s, \sigma)\ (x :: 'a).\ do\ \{$ 
 $\quad (h, \sigma') \leftarrow case\ \sigma\ x\ of$ 
 $\quad \quad None \Rightarrow bind-spmf\ (spmf-of-set\ (nlists\ UNIV\ len-plain))\ (\lambda bs.\ return-spmf$ 
 $(bs, \sigma(x \mapsto bs)))$ 
 $\quad \quad | Some\ (bs :: bitstring) \Rightarrow return-spmf\ (bs, \sigma);$ 
 $\quad \quad return-spmf\ (h, insert\ x\ s, \sigma')$ 
 $\quad \}$ 
have *: exec-gpv hash.oracle (inline hash-oracle'  $\mathcal{A}\ s$ )  $\sigma =$ 
 $map-spmf\ (\lambda(a, b, c).\ ((a, b), c))\ (exec-gpv\ hash-oracle''\ \mathcal{A}\ (s, \sigma))$  for  $\mathcal{A}\ \sigma\ s$ 
by(simp add: hash-oracle'-def hash-oracle''-def hash.oracle-def Let-def exec-gpv-inline
exec-gpv-bind o-def split-def cong del: option.case-cong-weak)
have [simp]: lossless-spmf (hash-oracle'' s plain) for s plain
by(simp add: hash-oracle''-def Let-def split: prod.split option.split)
have [simp]: lossless-spmf (exec-gpv hash-oracle'' ( $\mathcal{A}1\ \alpha$ ) s) for s  $\alpha$ 
by(rule lossless-exec-gpv[where  $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}$ -full]) simp-all
have [simp]: lossless-spmf (exec-gpv hash-oracle'' ( $\mathcal{A}2\ \sigma\ cipher$ ) s) for  $\sigma\ cipher$ 
 $s$ 
by(rule lossless-exec-gpv[where  $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}$ -full]) simp-all

let ?sample =  $\lambda f.\ bind-spmf\ (sample-uniform\ (order\ \mathcal{G}))\ (\lambda x.\ bind-spmf\ (sample-uniform$ 
 $(order\ \mathcal{G}))\ (f\ x))$ 
def game1  $\equiv \lambda(x :: nat)\ (y :: nat).\ do\ \{$ 
 $\quad b \leftarrow coin-spmf;$ 
 $\quad (((msg1, msg2), \sigma), (s, s-h)) \leftarrow exec-gpv\ hash-oracle''\ (\mathcal{A}1\ (\mathbf{g} (^) x))\ (\{\},$ 
 $hash.initial);$ 
 $\quad TRY\ do\ \{$ 
 $\quad \quad - :: unit \leftarrow assert-spmf\ (valid-plains\ msg1\ msg2);$ 
 $\quad \quad (h, s-h') \leftarrow hash.oracle\ s-h\ (\mathbf{g} (^) (x * y));$ 
 $\quad \quad let\ cipher = (\mathbf{g} (^) y, h [\oplus]\ (if\ b\ then\ msg1\ else\ msg2));$ 
 $\quad \quad (guess, (s', s-h'')) \leftarrow exec-gpv\ hash-oracle''\ (\mathcal{A}2\ cipher\ \sigma)\ (s, s-h');$ 
 $\quad \quad return-spmf\ (guess = b, \mathbf{g} (^) (x * y) \in s')$ 
 $\quad \} ELSE\ do\ \{$ 
 $\quad \quad b \leftarrow coin-spmf;$ 
 $\quad \quad return-spmf\ (b, \mathbf{g} (^) (x * y) \in s)$ 
 $\quad \}$ 
 $\quad \}$ 
have game01: run-gpv hash.oracle game0 hash.initial = map-spmf fst (?sample

```

```

game1)
  apply(simp add: exec-gpv-bind split-def bind-gpv-assoc aencrypt-def game0-alt-def
game1-def o-def bind-map-spmf if-distrib * try-bind-assert-gpv try-bind-assert-spmf
lossless-inline[where I=I-full] bind-rpv-def nat-pow-pow del: bind-spmf-const)
  including monad-normalisation by(simp add: bind-rpv-def nat-pow-pow)

def game2 ≡ λ(x :: nat) (y :: nat). do {
  b ← coin-spmf;
  (((msg1, msg2), σ), (s, s-h)) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A1 (g (^) x)) ({}),
hash.initial);
  TRY do {
    - :: unit ← assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
    h ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len-plain);
    (* We do not do the lookup in s-h here, so the rest differs only if the adversary
guessed y *)
    let cipher = (g (^) y, h [⊕] (if b then msg1 else msg2));
    (guess, (s', s-h')) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A2 cipher σ) (s, s-h);
    return-spmf (guess = b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ s')
  } ELSE do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    return-spmf (b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ s)
  }
}
interpret inv'': callee-invariant-on hash-oracle'' λ(s, s-h). s = dom s-h I-full
  by unfold-locales(auto simp add: hash-oracle''-def split: option.split-asm if-split)
have in-encrypt-oracle: callee-invariant hash-oracle'' (λ(s, -). x ∈ s) for x
  by unfold-locales(auto simp add: hash-oracle''-def)

{ fix x y :: nat
  let ?bad = λ(s, s-h). g (^) (x * y) ∈ s
  let ?X = λ(s, s-h) (s', s-h'). s = dom s-h ∧ s' = s ∧ s-h = s-h'(g (^) (x * y))
:= None)
  have bisim:
    rel-spmf (λ(a, s1') (b, s2'). ?bad s1' = ?bad s2' ∧ (¬ ?bad s2' → a = b ∧
?X s1' s2'))
      (hash-oracle'' s1 plain) (hash-oracle'' s2 plain)
  if ?X s1 s2 for s1 s2 plain using that
  by(auto split: prod.splits intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refl simp add: hash-oracle''-def
rel-spmf-return-spmf2 fun-upd-twist split: option.split dest!: fun-upd-eqD)
  have inv: callee-invariant hash-oracle'' ?bad
    by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: hash-oracle''-def split: option.split-asm)
    have rel-spmf (λ(win, bad) (win', bad')). bad = bad' ∧ (¬ bad' → win =
win')) (game2 x y) (game1 x y)
    unfolding game1-def game2-def
    apply(clarsimp simp add: split-def o-def hash.oracle-def rel-spmf-bind-refl
if-distrib intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refl simp del: bind-spmf-const)
    apply(rule rel-spmf-try-spmf)
    subgoal for b msg1 msg2 σ s s-h
      apply(rule rel-spmf-bind-refl)

```

```

apply(drule inv''.exec-gpv-invariant; clarsimp)
apply(cases s-h (g (^) (x * y)))
subgoal — case None
  apply(clarsimp intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
  apply(rule rel-spmf-bindI)
    apply(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-full[OF -- bisim inv inv, where
R=λ(x, s1) (y, s2). ?bad s1 = ?bad s2 ∧ (¬ ?bad s2 → x = y)];clarsimp simp
add: fun-upd-idem; fail)
      applyclarsimp
      done
    subgoal by(auto intro!: rel-spmf-bindI1 rel-spmf-bindI2 lossless-exec-gpv[where
I=I-full] dest!: callee-invariant-on.exec-gpv-invariant[OF in-encrypt-oracle])
      done
    subgoal by(rule rel-spmf-reflI) simp
      done }
  hence rel-spmf (λ(win, bad) (win', bad')). (bad ↔ bad') ∧ (¬ bad' → win
↔ win')) (?sample game2) (?sample game1)
  by(intro rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
  hence |measure (measure-spmf (?sample game2)) {(x, -). x} – measure (measure-spmf
(?sample game1)) {(y, -). y}|
    ≤ measure (measure-spmf (?sample game2)) {(-, bad). bad}
  unfolding split-def by(rule fundamental-lemma)
  moreover have measure (measure-spmf (?sample game2)) {(x, -). x} = spmf
(map-spmf fst (?sample game2)) True
  and measure (measure-spmf (?sample game1)) {(y, -). y} = spmf (map-spmf
fst (?sample game1)) True
  and measure (measure-spmf (?sample game2)) {(-, bad). bad} = spmf (map-spmf
snd (?sample game2)) True
  unfolding spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf by(rule arg-cong2[where
f=measure]; fastforce) +
  ultimately have hop23: |spmf (map-spmf fst (?sample game2)) True – spmf
(map-spmf fst (?sample game1)) True| ≤ spmf (map-spmf snd (?sample game2))
True by simp

def game3 ≡ λf :: - ⇒ - ⇒ - ⇒ bitstring spmf ⇒ - spmf. λ(x :: nat) (y :: nat).
do {
  b ← coin-spmf;
  (((msg1, msg2), σ), (s, s-h)) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A1 (g (^) x)) ({}),
hash.initial);
  TRY do {
    - :: unit ← assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
    h' ← f b msg1 msg2 (spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len-plain));
    let cipher = (g (^) y, h');
    (guess, (s', s-h')) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A2 cipher σ) (s, s-h);
    return-spmf (guess = b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ s')
  } ELSE do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    return-spmf (b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ s)
  }
}

```

```

}

let ?f = λb msg1 msg2. map-spmf (λh. (if b then msg1 else msg2) [⊕] h)
have game2 x y = game3 ?f x y for x y
  unfolding game2-def game3-def by(simp add: Let-def bind-map-spmf xor-list-commute
o-def nat-pow-pow)
also have game3 ?f x y = game3 (λ- - - x. x) x y for x y
  unfolding game3-def
  by(auto intro!: try-spmf-cong bind-spmf-cong[OF refl] if-cong[OF refl] simp add:
split-def one-time-pad valid-plains-def simp del: map-spmf-of-set-inj-on bind-spmf-const
split: if-split)
finally have game23: game2 x y = game3 (λ- - - x. x) x y for x y .

def hash-oracle''' ≡ λ(σ :: 'a ⇒ -). hash.oracle σ
{ def bisim ≡ λσ (s :: 'a set, σ' :: 'a → bitstring). s = dom σ ∧ σ = σ'
have [transfer-rule]: bisim Map-empty ({}, Map-empty) by(simp add: bisim-def)
have [transfer-rule]: (bisim ==⇒ op ==⇒ rel-spmf (rel-prod op = bisim))
hash-oracle''' hash-oracle"
  by(auto simp add: hash-oracle"-def split-def hash-oracle'''-def spmf-rel-map
hash.oracle-def rel-fun-def bisim-def split: option.split intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refl)
  have * [transfer-rule]: (bisim ==⇒ op =) dom fst by(simp add: bisim-def
rel-fun-def)
  have * [transfer-rule]: (bisim ==⇒ op =) (λx. x) snd by(simp add: rel-fun-def
bisim-def)
  have game3 (λ- - - x. x) x y = do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    (((msg1, msg2), σ), s) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle''' (A1 (g (^) x)) hash.initial;
    TRY do {
      - :: unit ← assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
      h' ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len-plain);
      let cipher = (g (^) y, h');
      (guess, s') ← exec-gpv hash-oracle''' (A2 cipher σ) s;
      return-spmf (guess = b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ dom s')
    } ELSE do {
      b ← coin-spmf;
      return-spmf (b, g (^) (x * y) ∈ dom s)
    }
  } for x y
  unfolding game3-def Map-empty-def[symmetric] split-def fst-conv snd-conv
prod.collapse
  by(transfer fixing: A1 G len-plain x y A2) simp
moreover have map-spmf snd (... x y) = do {
  zs ← elgamal-adversary A (g (^) x) (g (^) y);
  return-spmf (g (^) (x * y) ∈ zs)
} for x y
by(simp add: o-def split-def hash-oracle'''-def map-try-spmf map-scale-spmf)
(simp add: o-def map-try-spmf map-scale-spmf map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf [symmetric]
spmf.map-comp map-const-spmf-of-set)
ultimately have map-spmf snd (?sample (game3 (λ- - - x. x))) = lcdh.lcdh
(elgamal-adversary A)

```

```

    by(simp add: o-def lcdh.lcdh-def Let-def nat-pow-pow) }
then have game2-snd: map-spmf snd (?sample game2) = lcdh.lcdh (elgamal-adversary
A)
using game23 by(simp add: o-def)

have map-spmf fst (game3 (λ--- x. x) x y) = do {
  (((msg1, msg2), σ), (s, s-h)) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A1 (g (^) x)) ({}),
  hash.initial);
  TRY do {
    - :: unit ← assert-spmf (valid-plains msg1 msg2);
    h' ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len-plain);
    (guess, (s', s-h')) ← exec-gpv hash-oracle'' (A2 (g (^) y, h') σ) (s, s-h);
    map-spmf (op = guess) coin-spmf
  } ELSE coin-spmf
} for x y
including monad-normalisation
by(simp add: game3-def o-def split-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf try-spmf-bind-out
weight-spmf-le-1 scale-bind-spmf try-spmf-bind-out1 bind-scale-spmf)
then have game3-fst: map-spmf fst (game3 (λ--- x. x) x y) = coin-spmf for
x y
by(simp add: o-def if-distrib spmf.map-comp map-eq-const-coin-spmf split-def)

have ind-cpa.advantage hash.oracle hash.initial A = |spmf (map-spmf fst (?sample
game1)) True - 1 / 2|
  using game0 by(simp add: ind-cpa-pk.advantage-def game01 o-def)
also have ... = |1 / 2 - spmf (map-spmf fst (?sample game1)) True|
  by(simp add: abs-minus-commute)
also have 1 / 2 = spmf (map-spmf fst (?sample game2)) True
  by(simp add: game23 o-def game3-fst spmf-of-set)
also note hop23 also note game2-snd
finally show ?thesis by(simp add: lcdh.advantage-def)
qed

end

context elgamal-base begin

lemma lossless-key-gen [simp]: lossless-spmf key-gen ↔ 0 < order G
by(simp add: key-gen-def Let-def)

lemma lossless-elgamal-adversary:
  [ ind-cpa.lossless A; ∀η. 0 < order G ]
  ⇒ lcdh.lossless (elgamal-adversary A)
by(cases A)(auto simp add: lcdh.lossless-def ind-cpa.lossless-def split-def Let-def
intro!: lossless-exec-gpv[where I=I-full] lossless-inline)

end

end

```

2.3 The random-permutation random-function switching lemma

```

theory RP-RF imports
  Pseudo-Random-Function
  Pseudo-Random-Permutation
  CryptHOL.GPV-Bisim
begin

lemma rp-resample:
  assumes B ⊆ A ∪ C A ∩ C = {} C ⊆ B and finB: finite B
  shows bind-spmf (spmf-of-set B) (λx. if x ∈ A then spmf-of-set C else return-spmf
  x) = spmf-of-set C
proof(cases C = {} ∨ A ∩ B = {})
  case False
    define A' where A' ≡ A ∩ B
    from False have C: C ≠ {} and A': A' ≠ {} by(auto simp add: A'-def)
    have B: B = A' ∪ C using assms by(auto simp add: A'-def)
    with finB have finA: finite A' and finC: finite C by simp-all
    from assms have A'C: A' ∩ C = {} by(auto simp add: A'-def)
    have bind-spmf (spmf-of-set B) (λx. if x ∈ A then spmf-of-set C else return-spmf
    x) =
      bind-spmf (spmf-of-set B) (λx. if x ∈ A' then spmf-of-set C else return-spmf
    x)
      by(rule bind-spmf-cong[OF refl])(simp add: set-spmf-of-set finB A'-def)
    also have ... = spmf-of-set C (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof(rule spmf-eqI)
      fix i
      have (∑ x∈C. spmf (if x ∈ A' then spmf-of-set C else return-spmf x) i) =
        indicator C i using finA finC
        by(simp add: disjoint-notin1[OF A'C] indicator-single-Some sum-mult-indicator[of
        C λ-. 1 :: real λ-. - λx. x, simplified] split: split-indicator cong: conj-cong sum.cong)
      then show spmf ?lhs i = spmf ?rhs i using B finA finC A'C C A'
        by(simp add: spmf-bind integral-spmf-of-set sum-Un spmf-of-set field-simps)(simp
        add: field-simps card-Un-disjoint)
      qed
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed(use assms in (auto 4 3 cong: bind-spmf-cong-simp simp add: subsetD bind-spmf-const
    spmf-of-set-empty disjoint-notin1 intro!: arg-cong[where f=spmf-of-set])))

locale rp-rf =
  rp: random-permutation A +
  rf: random-function spmf-of-set A
  for A :: 'a set
  +
  assumes finite-A: finite A
  and nonempty-A: A ≠ {}
begin

type-synonym 'a' adversary = (bool, 'a', 'a') gpv

```

```

definition game :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  'a adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf where
  game b A = run-gpv (if b then rp.random-permutation else rf.random-oracle) A
  Map.empty

abbreviation prp-game :: 'a adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf where prp-game  $\equiv$  game
  True
abbreviation prf-game :: 'a adversary  $\Rightarrow$  bool spmf where prf-game  $\equiv$  game
  False

definition advantage :: 'a adversary  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  advantage A = |spmf (prp-game A) True - spmf (prf-game A) True|

lemma advantage-nonneg: 0  $\leq$  advantage A by(simp add: advantage-def)

lemma advantage-le-1: advantage A  $\leq$  1
  by(auto simp add: advantage-def intro!: abs-leI)(metis diff-0-right diff-left-mono
order-trans pmf-le-1 pmf-nonneg) +

context includes I.lifting begin
lift-definition I :: ('a, 'a) I is  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } A \text{ else } \{\})$  .
lemma outs-I-I [simp]: outs-I I = A by transfer auto
lemma responses-I-I [simp]: responses-I I x = (if x  $\in$  A then A else {}) by
transfer simp
lifting-update I.lifting
lifting-forget I.lifting
end

lemma rp-rf:
assumes bound: interaction-any-bounded-by A q
  and lossless: lossless-gpv I A
  and WT: I  $\vdash g$  A  $\checkmark$ 
shows advantage A  $\leq$  q * q / card A
  including lifting-syntax
proof -
  let ?run =  $\lambda b.$  exec-gpv (if b then rp.random-permutation else rf.random-oracle)
  A Map.empty
  define rp-bad :: bool  $\times$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  (bool  $\times$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'a))) spmf
    where rp-bad =  $(\lambda(\text{bad}, \sigma). x. \text{case } \sigma x \text{ of Some } y \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf} (y, (\text{bad}, \sigma))$ 
    | None  $\Rightarrow \text{bind-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set } A) (\lambda y. \text{if } y \in \text{ran } \sigma \text{ then map-spmf} (\lambda y'. (y', (\text{True}, \sigma(x \mapsto y')))) (\text{spmf-of-set} (A - \text{ran } \sigma)) \text{ else return-spmf} (y, (\text{bad}, (\sigma(x \mapsto y))))))$ 
    have rp-bad-simps: rp-bad (bad,  $\sigma$ ) x = (case  $\sigma$  x of Some y  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf (y, (bad,  $\sigma$ ))
    | None  $\Rightarrow \text{bind-spmf} (\text{spmf-of-set } A) (\lambda y. \text{if } y \in \text{ran } \sigma \text{ then map-spmf} (\lambda y'. (y', (\text{True}, \sigma(x \mapsto y')))) (\text{spmf-of-set} (A - \text{ran } \sigma)) \text{ else return-spmf} (y, (\text{bad}, (\sigma(x \mapsto y))))))$ 
    for bad  $\sigma$  x by(simp add: rp-bad-def)

let ?S = rel-prod2 op =

```

```

define init :: bool × ('a → 'a) where init = (False, Map.empty)
have rp: rp.random-permutation = (λσ x. case σ x of Some y ⇒ return-spmf
(y, σ)
| None ⇒ bind-spmf (bind-spmf (spmf-of-set A) (λy. if y ∈ ran σ then
spmf-of-set (A - ran σ) else return-spmf y)) (λy. return-spmf (y, σ(x ↦ y))))
by(subst rp-resample)(auto simp add: finite-A rp.random-permutation-def[abs-def])
have [transfer-rule]: (?S ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod op = ?S))
rp.random-permutation rp-bad
  unfolding rp rp-bad-def
  by(auto simp add: rel-fun-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf split: option.split intro!
rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
  have [transfer-rule]: ?S Map.empty init by(simp add: init-def)
  have spmf (prp-game A) True = spmf (run-gpv rp-bad A init) True
    unfolding vimage-def game-def if-True by transfer-prover
  moreover {
    define collision :: ('a → 'a) ⇒ bool where collision m ↔ ¬ inj-on m (dom
m) for m
    have [simp]: ¬ collision Map.empty by(simp add: collision-def)
    have [simp]: [ collision m; m x = None ] ==> collision (m(x := y)) for m x y
      by(auto simp add: collision-def fun-upd-idem dom-minus fun-upd-image dest:
inj-on-fun-updD)
    have collision-map-updI: [ m x = None; y ∈ ran m ] ==> collision (m(x ↦
y)) for m x y
      by(auto simp add: collision-def ran-def intro: rev-image-eqI)
    have collision-map-upd-iff: ¬ collision m ==> collision (m(x ↦ y)) ↔ y ∈
ran m ∧ m x ≠ Some y for m x y
      by(auto simp add: collision-def ran-def fun-upd-idem intro: inj-on-fun-updI
rev-image-eqI dest: inj-on-eq-iff)

let ?bad1 = collision and ?bad2 = fst
  and ?X = λσ1 (bad, σ2). σ1 = σ2 ∧ ¬ collision σ1 ∧ ¬ bad
  and ?I1 = λσ1. dom σ1 ⊆ A ∧ ran σ1 ⊆ A
  and ?I2 = λ(bad, σ2). dom σ2 ⊆ A ∧ ran σ2 ⊆ A
let ?X-bad = λσ1 s2. ?I1 σ1 ∧ ?I2 s2
have [simp]: I ⊢ c rf.random-oracle s1 √ if ran s1 ⊆ A for s1 using that
  by(intro WT-calleeI)(auto simp add: rf.random-oracle-def[abs-def] finite-A
nonempty-A ran-def split: option.split-asm)
have [simp]: callee-invariant-on rf.random-oracle ?I1 I
  by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: rf.random-oracle-def finite-A split: op-
tion.split-asm)
then interpret rf: callee-invariant-on rf.random-oracle ?I1 I .
have [simp]: I ⊢ c rp-bad s2 √ if ran (snd s2) ⊆ A for s2 using that
  by(intro WT-calleeI)(auto simp add: rp-bad-def finite-A split: prod.split-asm
option.split-asm if-split-asm intro: ranI)
have [simp]: callee-invariant-on rf.random-oracle (λσ1. ?bad1 σ1 ∧ ?I1 σ1)
I
  by(unfold-locales)(clarify simp add: rf.random-oracle-def finite-A split:
option.split-asm) +
have [simp]: callee-invariant-on rp-bad (λs2. ?I2 s2) I

```

```

by(unfold-locales)(auto 4 3 simp add: rp-bad-simps finite-A split: option.splits
if-split-asm iff del: domIff)
  have [simp]: callee-invariant-on rp-bad ( $\lambda s2. ?bad2 s2 \wedge ?I2 s2$ )  $\mathcal{I}$ 
    by(unfold-locales)(auto 4 3 simp add: rp-bad-simps finite-A split: option.splits
if-split-asm iff del: domIff)
      have [simp]:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ rp-bad } (\text{bad}, \sigma 2) \vee \text{if ran } \sigma 2 \subseteq A \text{ for bad } \sigma 2 \text{ using that}$ 
        by(intro WTcalleeI)(auto simp add: rp-bad-def finite-A nonempty-A ran-def
split: option.split-asm if-split-asm)
        have [simp]: lossless-spmf (rp-bad (b,  $\sigma 2$ ) x) if  $x \in A$  dom  $\sigma 2 \subseteq A$  ran  $\sigma 2 \subseteq$ 
 $A$  for b  $\sigma 2$  x
          using finite-A that unfolding rp-bad-def
          by(clarsimp simp add: nonempty-A dom-subset-ran-iff eq-None-iff-not-dom
split: option.split)
          have rel-spmf ( $\lambda(b1, \sigma 1) (b2, state2)$ ). ( $?bad1 \sigma 1 \longleftrightarrow ?bad2 state2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{if } ?bad2 state2 \text{ then } ?X\text{-bad } \sigma 1 state2 \text{ else } b1 = b2 \wedge ?X \sigma 1 state2$ )
            ((if False then rp.random-permutation else rf.random-oracle) s1 x) (rp-bad
s2 x)
          if  $?X s1 s2 x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} ?I1 s1 ?I2 s2$  for s1 s2 x using that finite-A
          by(auto split!: option.split simp add: rf.random-oracle-def rp-bad-def rel-spmf-return-spmf1
collision-map-updI dom-subset-ran-iff eq-None-iff-not-dom collision-map-upd-iff in-
tro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
          with - - have rel-spmf
            ( $\lambda(b1, \sigma 1) (b2, state2)$ . ( $?bad1 \sigma 1 \longleftrightarrow ?bad2 state2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\text{if } ?bad2 state2 \text{ then } ?X\text{-bad } \sigma 1 state2 \text{ else } b1 = b2 \wedge ?X \sigma 1 state2$ )
            (?run False) (exec-gpv rp-bad A init)
            by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-invariant[where  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$  and  $?I1.0 = ?I1$ 
and  $?I2.0 = ?I2$ ])(auto simp add: init-def WT lossless finite-A nonempty-A)
            then have | spmf (map-spmf fst (?run False)) True - spmf (run-gpv rp-bad A
init) True|  $\leq$  spmf (map-spmf (?bad1 o snd) (?run False)) True
              unfolding spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def
              by(intro fundamental-lemma[where  $?bad2.0 = \lambda(-, s2). ?bad2 s2$ ])(auto simp
add: split-def elim: rel-spmf-mono)
            also have ennreal ...  $\leq$  ennreal (q / card A) * (enat q) unfolding if-False
using bound - - - - - WT
            by(rule rf.interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-bad-count[where count= $\lambda s.$  card
(dom s)])
              (auto simp add: rf.random-oracle-def finite-A nonempty-A card-insert-if
finite-subset[OF - finite-A] map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] spmf.map-comp
o-def collision-map-upd-iff map-mem-spmf-of-set card-gt-0-iff card-mono field-simps
Int-absorb2 intro: card-ran-le-dom[OF finite-subset, OF - finite-A, THEN order-trans]
split: option.splits)
            hence spmf (map-spmf (?bad1 o snd) (?run False)) True  $\leq$  q * q / card A
            by(simp add: ennreal-of-nat-eq-real-of-nat ennreal-times-divide ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
            finally have | spmf (run-gpv rp-bad A init) True - spmf (run-gpv rf.random-oracle
A Map.empty) True|  $\leq$  q * q / card A
              by simp }
            ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: advantage-def game-def)
qed

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

2.4 Extending the input length of a PRF using a universal hash function

This example is taken from [4, §4.2].

```
theory PRF-UHF imports
  CryptHOL.GPV-Bisim
  Pseudo-Random-Function
begin

locale hash =
  fixes seed-gen :: 'seed spmf
  and hash :: 'seed ⇒ 'domain ⇒ 'range
begin

definition game-hash :: 'domain ⇒ 'domain ⇒ bool spmf
where
  game-hash w w' = do {
    seed ← seed-gen;
    return-spmf (hash seed w = hash seed w' ∧ w ≠ w')
  }

definition game-hash-set :: 'domain set ⇒ bool spmf
where
  game-hash-set W = do {
    seed ← seed-gen;
    return-spmf (¬ inj-on (hash seed) W)
  }

definition ε-uh :: real
where ε-uh = (SUP w w'. spmf (game-hash w w') True)

lemma ε-uh-nonneg : ε-uh ≥ 0
by(auto 4 3 intro!: cSUP-upper2 bdd-aboveI2[where M=1] cSUP-least pmf-le-1
pmf-nonneg simp add: ε-uh-def)

lemma hash-ineq-card:
  assumes finite W
  shows spmf (game-hash-set W) True ≤ ε-uh * card W * card W
proof -
  let ?M = measure (measure-spmf seed-gen)
  have bound: ?M {x. hash x w = hash x w' ∧ w ≠ w'} ≤ ε-uh for w w'
  proof -
    have ?M {x. hash x w = hash x w' ∧ w ≠ w'} = spmf (game-hash w w') True
    by(simp add: game-hash-def spmf-conv-measure-spmf map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric]
measure-map-spmf vimage-def)
```

```

also have ... ≤ ε-uh unfolding ε-uh-def
  by(auto intro!: cSUP-upper2 bdd-aboveI[where M=1] cSUP-least simp add:
pmf-le-1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

have spmf (game-hash-set W) True = ?M {x. ∃xa∈W. ∃y∈W. hash x xa =
hash x y ∧ xa ≠ y}
  by(auto simp add: game-hash-set-def inj-on-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric]
spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def)
also have {x. ∃xa∈W. ∃y∈W. hash x xa = hash x y ∧ xa ≠ y} = (∪(w, w')
∈ W × W. {x. hash x w = hash x w' ∧ w ≠ w'})
  by(auto)
also have ?M ... ≤ (∑(w, w')∈W × W. ?M {x. hash x w = hash x w' ∧ w
≠ w'})
  by(auto intro!: measure-spmf.finite-measure-subadditive-finite simp add: split-def
assms)
also have ... ≤ (∑(w, w')∈W × W. ε-uh) by(rule sum-mono)(clarsimp simp
add: bound)
also have ... = ε-uh * card(W) * card(W) by(simp add: card-cartesian-product)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

locale prf-hash =
fixes f :: 'key ⇒ 'α ⇒ 'γ
and h :: 'seed ⇒ 'β ⇒ 'α
and key-gen :: 'key spmf
and seed-gen :: 'seed spmf
and range-f :: 'γ set
assumes lossless-seed-gen: lossless-spmf seed-gen
and range-f-finite: finite range-f
and range-f-nonempty: range-f ≠ {}
begin

definition rand :: 'γ spmf
where rand = spmf-of-set range-f

lemma lossless-rand [simp]: lossless-spmf rand
by(simp add: rand-def range-f-finite range-f-nonempty)

definition key-seed-gen :: ('key * 'seed) spmf
where
key-seed-gen = do {
  k ← key-gen;
  s :: 'seed ← seed-gen;
  return-spmf (k, s)
}

```

```

interpretation prf: prf key-gen f rand .
interpretation hash: hash seed-gen h.

fun f' :: 'key × 'seed ⇒ 'β ⇒ 'γ
where f' (key, seed) x = f key (h seed x)

interpretation prf': prf key-seed-gen f' rand .

definition reduction-oracle :: 'seed ⇒ unit ⇒ 'β ⇒ ('γ × unit, 'α, 'γ) gpv
where reduction-oracle seed x b = Pause (h seed b) (λx. Done (x, ()))

definition prf'-reduction :: ('β, 'γ) prf'.adversary ⇒ ('α, 'γ) prf.adversary
where
  prf'-reduction A = do {
    seed ← lift-spmf seed-gen;
    (b, σ) ← inline (reduction-oracle seed) A ();
    Done b
  }

theorem prf-prf'-advantage:
  assumes prf'.lossless A
  and bounded: prf'.ibounded-by A q
  shows prf'.advantage A ≤ prf.advantage (prf'-reduction A) + hash.ε-uh * q *
  q
  including lifting-syntax
proof –
  let ?A = prf'-reduction A

  { def cr ≡ λ- :: unit × unit. λ- :: unit. True
    have [transfer-rule]: cr (((), ())) () by(simp add: cr-def)
    have prf.game-0 ?A = prf'.game-0 A
    unfolding prf'.game-0-def prf.game-0-def prf'-reduction-def unfolding
    key-seed-gen-def
      by(simp add: exec-gpv-bind split-def exec-gpv-inline reduction-oracle-def bind-map-spmf
      prf.prf-oracle-def prf'.prf-oracle-def[abs-def])
        (transfer-prover) }
    note hop1 = this[symmetric]

def semi-forgetful-RO ≡ λseed :: 'seed. λ(σ :: 'α → 'β × 'γ, b :: bool). λx.
  case σ (h seed x) of Some (a, y) ⇒ return-spmf (y, (σ, a ≠ x ∨ b))
  | None ⇒ bind-spmf rand (λy. return-spmf (y, (σ(h seed x ↦ (x, y)), b)))

def game-semi-forgetful ≡ do {
  seed :: 'seed ← seed-gen;
  (b, rep) ← exec-gpv (semi-forgetful-RO seed) A (Map.empty, False);
  return-spmf (b, rep)
}

```

```

have bad-semi-forgetful [simp]: callee-invariant (semi-forgetful-RO seed) snd for
seed
  by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def split: option.split-asm)
  have lossless-semi-forgetful [simp]: lossless-spmf (semi-forgetful-RO seed s1 x)
for seed s1 x
  by(simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def split-def split: option.split)

{ def cr ≡ λ(- :: unit, σ) (σ' :: 'α ⇒ ('β × 'γ) option, - :: bool). σ = map-option
  snd ∘ σ'
  def initial ≡ (Map.empty :: 'α ⇒ ('β × 'γ) option, False)
  have [transfer-rule]: cr ((), Map.empty) initial by(simp add: cr-def initial-def
  fun-eq-iff)
  have [transfer-rule]: (op ==> cr ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod
  op = cr))
    (λy p ya. do {y ← prf.random-oracle (snd p) (h y ya); return-spmf (fst y,
  (), snd y) })
    semi-forgetful-RO
  by(auto simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def cr-def prf.random-oracle-def rel-fun-def
  fun-eq-iff split: option.split intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refI)
  have prf.game-1 ?A = map-spmf fst game-semi-forgetful
    unfolding prf.game-1-def prf'-reduction-def game-semi-forgetful-def
    by(simp add: exec-gpv-bind exec-gpv-inline split-def bind-map-spmf map-spmf-bind-spmf
  o-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf reduction-oracle-def initial-def[symmetric])
    (transfer-prover)
  note hop2 = this

def game-semi-forgetful-bad ≡ do {
  seed :: 'seed ← seed-gen;
  x ← exec-gpv (semi-forgetful-RO seed) A (Map.empty, False);
  return-spmf (snd x)
}
have game-semi-forgetful-bad : map-spmf snd game-semi-forgetful = game-semi-forgetful-bad
  unfolding game-semi-forgetful-bad-def game-semi-forgetful-def
  by(simp add: map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def)

have bad-random-oracle-A [simp]: callee-invariant prf.random-oracle (λσ. ¬ inj-on
(h seed) (dom σ)) for seed
  by unfold-locales(auto simp add: prf.random-oracle-def split: option.split-asm)

def invar ≡ λseed (σ1, b) (σ2 :: 'β ⇒ 'γ option). ¬ b ∧ dom σ1 = h seed ` dom
σ2 ∧
  (forall x ∈ dom σ2. σ1 (h seed x) = map-option (Pair x) (σ2 x))

have rel-spmf-oracle-adv:
  rel-spmf (λ(x, s1) (y, s2). snd s1 ≠ inj-on (h seed) (dom s2) ∧ (inj-on (h
seed) (dom s2) → x = y ∧ invar seed s1 s2))
  (exec-gpv (semi-forgetful-RO seed) A (Map.empty, False))
  (exec-gpv prf.random-oracle A Map.empty)
  if seed: seed ∈ set-spmf seed-gen for seed

```

```

proof -
  have invar-initial [simp]: invar seed (Map.empty, False) Map.empty by(simp add: invar-def)
    have invarD-inj: inj-on (h seed) (dom s2) if invar seed bs1 s2 for bs1 s2
      using that by(auto intro!: inj-onI simp add: invar-def)(metis domI domIff option.map-sel prod.inject)
let ?R =  $\lambda(a, s1) (b, s2 :: \beta \Rightarrow \gamma \text{ option}).$ 
  snd s1 = ( $\neg \text{inj-on} (\text{h seed}) (\text{dom s2})$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\neg \neg \text{inj-on} (\text{h seed}) (\text{dom s2}) \longrightarrow a = b \wedge \text{invar seed s1 s2}$ )
have step: rel-spmf ?R (semi-forgetful-RO seed σ1b x) (prf.random-oracle s2 x)
  if X: invar seed σ1b s2 for s2 σ1b x
proof -
  obtain σ1 b where [simp]: σ1b = (σ1, b) by(cases σ1b)
  from X have not-b:  $\neg b$ 
    and dom: dom σ1 = h seed ` dom s2
    and eq:  $\forall x \in \text{dom s2}. \sigma1 (\text{h seed } x) = \text{map-option} (\text{Pair } x) (\text{s2 } x)$ 
    by(simp-all add: invar-def)
  from X have inj: inj-on (h seed) (dom s2) by(rule invarD-inj)
  have not-in-image: h seed x  $\notin$  h seed ` (dom s2 - {x}) if σ1 (h seed x) = None
  proof (rule notI)
    assume h seed x  $\in$  h seed ` (dom s2 - {x})
    then obtain y where y  $\in$  dom s2 and hx-hy: h seed x = h seed y by (auto)
    then have σ1 (h seed y) = None using that by (auto)
    then have h seed y  $\notin$  h seed ` dom s2 using dom by (auto)
    then have y  $\notin$  dom s2 by (auto)
    then show False using y  $\in$  dom s2 by (auto)
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases σ1 (h seed x))
    case σ1: None
    hence s2: s2 x = None using dom by (auto)
    have insert (h seed x) (dom σ1) = insert (h seed x) (h seed ` dom s2)
    by(simp add: dom)
    then have invar-update: invar seed (σ1(h seed x ↦ (x, bs)), False) (s2(x ↦ bs)) for bs
      using inj not-b not-in-image σ1 dom
      by(auto simp add: invar-def domIff eq) (metis domI domIff imageI)
      with σ1 s2 show ?thesis using inj not-b not-in-image
        by(auto simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def prf.random-oracle-def intro: rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
    next
      case σ1: (Some by)

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases s2 x)
  case s2: (Some z)
    with eq σ1 have by = (x, z) by(auto simp add: domIff)
    thus ?thesis using σ1 inj not-b s2 X
      by(simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def prf.random-oracle-def split-beta)
next
  case s2: None
  from σ1 dom obtain y where y: y ∈ dom s2 and *: h seed x = h seed y
    by(metis domIff imageE option.distinct(1))
  from y obtain z where z: s2 y = Some z by auto
  from eq z σ1 have by: by = (y, z) by(auto simp add: * domIff)
  from y s2 have xny: x ≠ y by auto
  with y * have h seed x ∈ h seed ‘(dom s2 – {x}) by auto
  then show ?thesis using σ1 s2 not-b by xny inj
    by(simp add: semi-forgetful-RO-def prf.random-oracle-def split-beta)(rule
rel-spmf-bindI2; simp)
qed
qed
qed
from invar-initial - step show ?thesis
  by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-full[where ?bad1.0 = snd and ?bad2.0 =
λσ. ¬ inj-on (h seed) (dom σ)])
    (simp-all add: assms)
qed

def game-A ≡ do {
  seed :: 'seed ← seed-gen;
  (b, σ) ← exec-gpv prf.random-oracle A Map.empty;
  return-spmf (b, ¬ inj-on (h seed) (dom σ))
}

let ?bad1 = λx. snd (snd x) and ?bad2 = snd
have hop3: rel-spmf (λx xa. (?bad1 x ↔ ?bad2 xa) ∧ (¬ ?bad2 xa → fst x
↔ fst xa)) game-semi-forgetful game-A
  unfolding game-semi-forgetful-def game-A-def
  by(clarsimp simp add: restrict-bind-spmf split-def map-spmf-bind-spmf restrict-return-spmf
o-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI simp del: bind-return-spmf)
    (rule rel-spmf-bindI[OF rel-spmf-oracle-adv]; auto)
have bad1-bad2: spmf (map-spmf (snd ∘ snd) game-semi-forgetful) True = spmf
  (map-spmf snd game-A) True
  using fundamental-lemma-bad[OF hop3] by(simp add: measure-map-spmf spmf-conv-measure-spmf
vimage-def)
have bound-bad1-event: |spmf (map-spmf fst game-semi-forgetful) True – spmf
  (map-spmf fst game-A) True| ≤ spmf (map-spmf (snd ∘ snd) game-semi-forgetful)
  True
  using fundamental-lemma[OF hop3] by(simp add: measure-map-spmf spmf-conv-measure-spmf
vimage-def)

```

```

then have bound-bad2-event : | $\text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf fst game-semi-forgetful}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf fst game-A}) \text{ True}| \leq \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf snd game-A}) \text{ True}
using bad1-bad2 by (simp)

def game-B  $\equiv$  do {
   $(b, \sigma) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv prf.random-oracle } \mathcal{A} \text{ Map.empty};$ 
  hash.game-hash-set (dom  $\sigma$ )
}

have game-A-game-B:  $\text{map-spmf snd game-A} = \text{game-B}$ 
unfolding game-B-def game-A-def hash.game-hash-set-def including monad-normalisation
by(simp add: map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def)

have game-B-bound :  $\text{spmf game-B True} \leq \text{hash.}\varepsilon\text{-uh} * q * q$  unfolding game-B-def
proof(rule spmf-bind-leI, clarify)
  fix  $b \sigma$ 
  assume  $*: (b, \sigma) \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{exec-gpv prf.random-oracle } \mathcal{A} \text{ Map.empty})$ 
  have finite (dom  $\sigma$ ) by(rule prf.finite.exec-gpv-invariant[OF *]) simp-all
  then have spmf (hash.game-hash-set (dom  $\sigma$ )) True  $\leq \text{hash.}\varepsilon\text{-uh} * (\text{card}(\text{dom } \sigma) * \text{card}(\text{dom } \sigma))$ 
    using hash.hash-ineq-card[of dom  $\sigma$ ] by simp
  also have p1:  $\text{card}(\text{dom } \sigma) \leq q + \text{card}(\text{dom}(\text{Map.empty} :: \beta \Rightarrow \gamma \text{ option}))$ 
    by(rule prf.card-dom-random-oracle[OF bounded *]) simp
  then have card (dom  $\sigma) * \text{card}(\text{dom } \sigma) \leq q * q$  using mult-le-mono by auto
  finally show spmf (hash.game-hash-set (dom  $\sigma$ )) True  $\leq \text{hash.}\varepsilon\text{-uh} * q * q$ 
    by(simp add: hash.ε-uh-nonneg mult-left-mono)
qed(simp add: hash.ε-uh-nonneg)

have hop4:  $\text{prf'.game-1 } \mathcal{A} = \text{map-spmf fst game-A}$ 
  by(simp add: game-A-def prf'.game-1-def map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def
bind-spmf-const lossless-seed-gen lossless-weight-spmfD)

have prf'.advantage  $\mathcal{A} \leq |\text{spmf}(\text{prf.game-0 } ?\mathcal{A}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf}(\text{prf'.game-1 } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True}|$ 
  using hop1 by(simp add: prf'.advantage-def)
  also have ...  $\leq \text{prf.advantage } ?\mathcal{A} + |\text{spmf}(\text{prf.game-1 } ?\mathcal{A}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf}(\text{prf'.game-1 } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True}|$ 
    by(simp add: prf.advantage-def)
  also have  $|\text{spmf}(\text{prf.game-1 } ?\mathcal{A}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf}(\text{prf'.game-1 } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True}| \leq |\text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf fst game-semi-forgetful}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf}(\text{prf'.game-1 } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True}|$ 
    using hop2 by simp
  also have ...  $\leq \text{hash.}\varepsilon\text{-uh} * q * q$ 
    using game-A-game-B game-B-bound bound-bad2-event hop4 by(simp)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp add: add-left-mono)
qed

end$ 
```

end

2.5 IND-CPA from PRF

```

theory PRF-IND-CPA imports
  CryptHOL.GPV-Bisim
  CryptHOL.List-Bits
  Pseudo-Random-Function
  IND-CPA
begin

Formalises the construction from [3].
declare [[simproc del: let-simp]]

type-synonym key = bool list
type-synonym plain = bool list
type-synonym cipher = bool list * bool list

locale otp =
  fixes f :: key  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  bool list
  and len :: nat
  assumes length-f:  $\bigwedge xs\ ys. \llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{len}; \text{length } ys = \text{len} \rrbracket \implies \text{length } (f\ xs\ ys) = \text{len}$ 
begin

definition key-gen :: bool list spmf
where key-gen = spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len)

definition valid-plain :: plain  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where valid-plain plain  $\longleftrightarrow$  length plain = len

definition encrypt :: key  $\Rightarrow$  plain  $\Rightarrow$  cipher spmf
where
  encrypt key plain = do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
    return-spmf (r, xor-list plain (f key r))
  }

fun decrypt :: key  $\Rightarrow$  cipher  $\Rightarrow$  plain option
where decrypt key (r, c) = Some (xor-list (f key r) c)

lemma encrypt-decrypt-correct:
   $\llbracket \text{length } key = \text{len}; \text{length } plain = \text{len} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{encrypt } key\ plain \geqslant (\lambda \text{cipher}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{decrypt } key\ cipher)) = \text{return-spmf } (\text{Some } plain)$ 
by(simp add: encrypt-def zip-map2 o-def split-def bind-eq-return-spmf length-f in-nlists-UNIV xor-list-left-commute)

interpretation ind-cpa: ind-cpa key-gen encrypt decrypt valid-plain .

```

```

interpretation prf: prf key-gen f spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len) .

definition prf-encrypt-oracle :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  plain  $\Rightarrow$  (cipher  $\times$  unit, plain, plain) gpv
where
  prf-encrypt-oracle x plain = do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  lift-spmf (spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len));
    Pause r ( $\lambda$ pad. Done ((r, xor-list plain pad), ()))
  }

lemma interaction-bounded-by-prf-encrypt-oracle [interaction-bound]:
  interaction-any-bounded-by (prf-encrypt-oracle  $\sigma$  plain) 1
unfolding prf-encrypt-oracle-def by simp

lemma lossless-prf-encryprt-oracle [simp]: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -top (prf-encrypt-oracle s x)
by(simp add: prf-encrypt-oracle-def)

definition prf-adversary :: (plain, cipher, 'state) ind-cpa.adversary  $\Rightarrow$  (plain, plain) prf.adversary
where
  prf-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  = do {
    let ( $\mathcal{A}1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}2$ ) =  $\mathcal{A}$ ;
    ((( $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ),  $\sigma$ ), n)  $\leftarrow$  inline prf-encrypt-oracle  $\mathcal{A}1$  ();
    if valid-plain  $p_1$   $\wedge$  valid-plain  $p_2$  then do {
      b  $\leftarrow$  lift-spmf coin-spmf;
      let pb = (if b then  $p_1$  else  $p_2$ );
      r  $\leftarrow$  lift-spmf (spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len));
      pad  $\leftarrow$  Pause r Done;
      let c = (r, xor-list pb pad);
      ( $b'$ , -)  $\leftarrow$  inline prf-encrypt-oracle ( $\mathcal{A}2$  c  $\sigma$ ) n;
      Done ( $b' = b$ )
    } else lift-spmf coin-spmf
  }
}

theorem prf-encrypt-advantage:
assumes ind-cpa.ibounded-by  $\mathcal{A}$  q
and lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full (fst  $\mathcal{A}$ )
and  $\bigwedge$  cipher  $\sigma$ . lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full (snd  $\mathcal{A}$  cipher  $\sigma$ )
shows ind-cpa.advantage  $\mathcal{A}$   $\leq$  prf.advantage (prf-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ) + q / 2 ^ len
proof -
  note [split del] = if-split
  and [cong del] = if-weak-cong
  and [simp] =
    bind-spmf-const map-spmf-bind-spmf bind-map-spmf
    exec-gpv-bind exec-gpv-inline
    rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-spmf-reflI
  obtain  $\mathcal{A}1$   $\mathcal{A}2$  where  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}1, \mathcal{A}2)$  by(cases  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  from <ind-cpa.ibounded-by - ->
  obtain q1 q2 :: nat

```

```

where q1: interaction-any-bounded-by A1 q1
and q2:  $\bigwedge \text{cipher } \sigma. \text{interaction-any-bounded-by } (\mathcal{A}2 \text{ cipher } \sigma) q2$ 
and  $q1 + q2 \leq q$ 
unfolding  $\mathcal{A}$  by(rule ind-cpa.ibounded-byE)(auto simp add: iadd-le-enat-iff)
from  $\mathcal{A}$  assms have lossless1: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full A1
and lossless2:  $\bigwedge \text{cipher } \sigma. \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full } (\mathcal{A}2 \text{ cipher } \sigma)$  by simp-all
have weight1:  $\bigwedge \text{oracle } s. (\bigwedge s x. \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle } s x))$ 
 $\implies \text{weight-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv oracle } A1 s) = 1$ 
by(rule lossless-weight-spmfD)(rule lossless-exec-gpv[OF lossless1], simp-all)
have weight2:  $\bigwedge \text{oracle } s \text{ cipher } \sigma. (\bigwedge s x. \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle } s x))$ 
 $\implies \text{weight-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv oracle } (\mathcal{A}2 \text{ cipher } \sigma) s) = 1$ 
by(rule lossless-weight-spmfD)(rule lossless-exec-gpv[OF lossless2], simp-all)

let ?oracle1 =  $\lambda \text{key } (s', s) y. \text{map-spmf } (\lambda((x, s'), s). (x, (), ())) (\text{exec-gpv}$ 
(prf.prf-oracle key) (prf-encrypt-oracle () y) ())
have bisim1:  $\bigwedge \text{key}. \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(x, -) (y, -). x = y)$ 
(exec-gpv (ind-cpa.encrypt-oracle key) A1 ())
(exec-gpv (?oracle1 key) A1 (((), ())))
using TrueI
by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim)(auto simp add: encrypt-def prf-encrypt-oracle-def
ind-cpa.encrypt-oracle-def prf.prf-oracle-def o-def)
have bisim2:  $\bigwedge \text{key cipher } \sigma. \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(x, -) (y, -). x = y)$ 
(exec-gpv (ind-cpa.encrypt-oracle key) (A2 cipher  $\sigma$ ) ())
(exec-gpv (?oracle1 key) (A2 cipher  $\sigma$ ) (((), ()))
using TrueI
by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim)(auto simp add: encrypt-def prf-encrypt-oracle-def
ind-cpa.encrypt-oracle-def prf.prf-oracle-def o-def)

have ind-cpa-0: rel-spmf op = (ind-cpa.ind-cpa  $\mathcal{A}$ ) (prf.game-0 (prf-adversary
 $\mathcal{A}$ ))
unfolding IND-CPA.ind-cpa.ind-cpa-def  $\mathcal{A}$  key-gen-def Let-def prf-adversary-def
Pseudo-Random-Function.prf.game-0-def
apply(simp)
apply(rewrite in bind-spmf -  $\sqsupseteq$  bind-commute-spmf)
apply(rule rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
apply(rule rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim1])
apply(clarify simp add: if-distributes bind-coin-spmf-eq-const')
apply(auto intro: rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim2] intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI simp
add: encrypt-def prf.prf-oracle-def cong del: if-cong)
done

def rf-encrypt  $\equiv \lambda s \text{ plain}. \text{bind-spmf } (\text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists } \text{UNIV } \text{len})) (\lambda r :: \text{bool}$ 
list.
bind-spmf (prf.random-oracle s r) ( $\lambda(\text{pad}, s').$ 
return-spmf ((r, xor-list plain pad), s'))
)
interpret rf-finite: callee-invariant-on rf-encrypt  $\lambda s. \text{finite } (\text{dom } s)$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full
by unfold-locales(auto simp add: rf-encrypt-def dest: prf.finite.callee-invariant)
have lossless-rf-encrypt [simp]:  $\bigwedge s \text{ plain}. \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{rf-encrypt } s \text{ plain})$ 

```

```

by(auto simp add: rf-encrypt-def)

def game2 ≡ do {
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    (cipher, s2) ← rf-encrypt s1 pb;
    (b', s3) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s2;
    return-spmf (b' = b)
  } else coin-spmf
}

let ?oracle2 = λ(s', s). map-spmf (λ((x, s'), s). (x, (), s)) (exec-gpv prf.random-oracle
(prf-encrypt-oracle () y) s)
let ?I = λ(x, -, s). (y, s'). x = y ∧ s = s'
have bisim1: rel-spmf ?I (exec-gpv ?oracle2 A1 (((), Map.empty))) (exec-gpv
rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty)
  by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim[where X=λ(-, s) s'. s = s'])
  (auto simp add: rf-encrypt-def prf-encrypt-oracle-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refI)
have bisim2: ∩ cipher σ s. rel-spmf ?I (exec-gpv ?oracle2 (A2 cipher σ) (((), s)))
(exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s)
  by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim[where X=λ(-, s) s'. s = s'])
  (auto simp add: prf-encrypt-oracle-def rf-encrypt-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refI)
have game1-2 [unfolded spmf-rel-eq]: rel-spmf op = (prf.game-1 (prf-adversary
A)) game2
  unfolding prf.game-1-def game2-def prf-adversary-def
  by(rewrite in if - then ▷ else - rf-encrypt-def)
  (auto simp add: Let-def A if-distrib intro!: rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim2] rel-spmf-bind-refI
rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim1])

def game2-a ≡ do {
  r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  let bad = r ∈ dom s1;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    (pad, s2) ← prf.random-oracle s1 r;
    let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
    (b', s3) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s2;
    return-spmf (b' = b, bad)
  } else coin-spmf ≈ (λb. return-spmf (b, bad))
}
def game2-b ≡ do {
  r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  let bad = r ∈ dom s1;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {

```

```

 $b \leftarrow \text{coin-spmf};$ 
 $\text{let } pb = (\text{if } b \text{ then } p0 \text{ else } p1);$ 
 $pad \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists } UNIV \text{ len});$ 
 $\text{let } cipher = (r, \text{xor-list } pb \text{ pad});$ 
 $(b', s3) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv rf-encrypt } (\mathcal{A}2 \text{ cipher } \sigma) (s1(r \mapsto pad));$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (b' = b, \text{bad})$ 
 $\} \text{ else coin-spmf } \ggg (\lambda b. \text{return-spmf } (b, \text{bad}))$ 
 $\}$ 

have game2 = do {
  r  $\leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists } UNIV \text{ len});$ 
   $((p0, p1), \sigma), s1 \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv rf-encrypt } \mathcal{A}1 \text{ Map.empty};$ 
  if valid-plain p0  $\wedge$  valid-plain p1 then do {
    b  $\leftarrow \text{coin-spmf};$ 
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    (pad, s2)  $\leftarrow \text{prf.random-oracle } s1 \text{ r};$ 
    let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
    (b', s3)  $\leftarrow \text{exec-gpv rf-encrypt } (\mathcal{A}2 \text{ cipher } \sigma) s2;$ 
    return-spmf (b' = b)
  } else coin-spmf
}
including monad-normalisation by(simp add: game2-def split-def rf-encrypt-def
Let-def)
also have ... = map-spmf fst game2-a unfolding game2-a-def
  by(clar simp simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf Let-def cond-application-beta
if-distrib split-def cong: if-cong)
finally have game2-2a: game2 = ... .

have map-spmf snd game2-a = map-spmf snd game2-b unfolding game2-a-def
game2-b-def
  by(auto simp add: o-def Let-def split-def if-distribs weight2 split: option.split
intro: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl])
moreover
  have rel-spmf op = (map-spmf fst (game2-a 1 (snd -` {False}))) (map-spmf fst
(game2-b 1 (snd -` {False})))
    unfolding game2-a-def game2-b-def
    by(clar simp simp add: restrict-bind-spmf o-def Let-def if-distribs split-def restrict-return-spmf
prf.random-oracle-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI split: option.splits)
    hence spmf game2-a (True, False) = spmf game2-b (True, False)
      unfolding spmf-rel-eq by(subst (1 2) spmf-map-restrict[symmetric]) simp
    ultimately
      have game2a-2b: |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-a) True - spmf (map-spmf fst
game2-b) True|  $\leq \text{spmf } (\text{map-spmf snd game2-a}) \text{ True}$ 
        by(subst (1 2) spmf-conv-measure-spmf)(rule identical-until-bad; simp add:
spmf.map-id[unfolded id-def] spmf-conv-measure-spmf)

def game2-a-bad  $\equiv$  do {
  r  $\leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists } UNIV \text{ len});$ 
   $((p0, p1), \sigma), s1 \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv rf-encrypt } \mathcal{A}1 \text{ Map.empty};$ 

```

```

    return-spmf (r ∈ dom s1)
}
have game2a-bad: map-spmf snd game2-a = game2-a-bad
  unfolding game2-a-def game2-a-bad-def
  by(auto intro!: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl] simp add: o-def weight2 Let-def split-def
split: if-split)
have card: ⋀B :: bool list set. card (B ∩ nlists UNIV len) ≤ card (nlists UNIV
len :: bool list set)
  by(rule card-mono) simp-all
then have spmf game2-a-bad True = ∫+ x. card (dom (snd x) ∩ nlists UNIV
len) / 2 ^ len ∂measure-spmf (exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty)
  unfolding game2-a-bad-def
  by(rewrite bind-commute-spmf)(simp add: ennreal-spmf-bind split-def map-mem-spmf-of-set[unfolded
map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf] card-nlists)
also { fix x s
  assume *: (x, s) ∈ set-spmf (exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty)
  hence finite (dom s) by(rule rf-finite.exec-gpv-invariant) simp-all
  hence 1: card (dom s ∩ nlists UNIV len) ≤ card (dom s) by(intro card-mono)
simp-all
  moreover from q1 *
  have card (dom s) ≤ q1 + card (dom (Map.empty :: (plain, plain) prf.dict))
    by(rule rf-finite.interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count)
    (auto simp add: rf-encrypt-def eSuc-enat prf.random-oracle-def card-insert-if
split: option.split-asm if-split)
  ultimately have card (dom s ∩ nlists UNIV len) ≤ q1 by(simp) }
  then have ... ≤ ∫+ x. q1 / 2 ^ len ∂measure-spmf (exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1
Map.empty)
    by(intro nn-integral-mono-AE)(clarsimp simp add: field-simps)
  also have ... ≤ q1 / 2 ^ len
    by(simp add: measure-spmf.emeasure-eq-measure field-simps mult-left-le weight1)
  finally have game2a-bad-bound: spmf game2-a-bad True ≤ q1 / 2 ^ len by simp

def rf-encrypt-bad ≡ λsecret (s :: (plain, plain) prf.dict, bad) plain. bind-spmf
(spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len)) (λr.
bind-spmf (prf.random-oracle s r) (λ(pad, s').
return-spmf ((r, xor-list plain pad), (s', bad ∨ r = secret))))
have rf-encrypt-bad-sticky [simp]: ⋀s. callee-invariant (rf-encrypt-bad s) snd
  by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: rf-encrypt-bad-def)
have lossless-rf-encrypt [simp]: ⋀challenge s plain. lossless-spmf (rf-encrypt-bad
challenge s plain)
  by(clarsimp simp add: rf-encrypt-bad-def prf.random-oracle-def split: option.split)

def game2-c ≡ do {
  r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    pad ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);

```

```

let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
  (b', (s2, bad)) ← exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad r) (A2 cipher σ) (s1(r ↦ pad),
False);
    return-spmf (b' = b, bad)
} else coin-spmf ≫= (λb. return-spmf (b, False))
}

have bisim2c-bad: ∧cipher σ s x r. rel-spmf (λ(x, -) (y, -). x = y)
  (exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) (s(x ↦ r)))
  (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad x) (A2 cipher σ) (s(x ↦ r), False))
by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim[where X=λs (s', -). s = s'])
  (auto simp add: rf-encrypt-bad-def rf-encrypt-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI)

have game2b-c [unfolded spmf-rel-eq]: rel-spmf op = (map-spmf fst game2-b)
  (map-spmf fst game2-c)
by(auto simp add: game2-b-def game2-c-def o-def split-def Let-def if-distrib
intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim2c-bad])

def game2-d ≡ do {
  r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    pad ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
    let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
    (b', (s2, bad)) ← exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad r) (A2 cipher σ) (s1, False);
    return-spmf (b' = b, bad)
  } else coin-spmf ≫= (λb. return-spmf (b, False))
}

{ fix cipher σ and x :: plain and s r
  let ?I = (λ(x, s, bad) (y, s', bad'). (bad ↔ bad') ∧ (¬ bad' → x ↔ y))
  let ?X = λ(s, bad) (s', bad'). bad = bad' ∧ (∀z. z ≠ x → s z = s' z)
  have ∧s1 s2 x'. ?X s1 s2 ⇒ rel-spmf (λ(a, s1') (b, s2'). snd s1' = snd s2'
  ∧ (¬ snd s2' → a = b ∧ ?X s1' s2'))
    (rf-encrypt-bad x s1 x') (rf-encrypt-bad x s2 x')
  by(case-tac x = x')(clar simp simp add: rf-encrypt-bad-def prf.random-oracle-def
rel-spmf-return-spmf1 rel-spmf-return-spmf2 Let-def split-def bind-UNION intro!:
rel-spmf-bind-reflI split: option.split)+

with - - have rel-spmf ?I
  (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad x) (A2 cipher σ) (s(x ↦ r), False))
  (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad x) (A2 cipher σ) (s, False))
by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-full)(auto simp add: lossless2) }

note bisim-bad = this
have game2c-2d-bad [unfolded spmf-rel-eq]: rel-spmf op = (map-spmf snd game2-c)
  (map-spmf snd game2-d)
by(auto simp add: game2-c-def game2-d-def o-def Let-def split-def if-distrib

```

```

intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim-bad])
moreover
have rel-spmf op = (map-spmf fst (game2-c 1 (snd -` {False}))) (map-spmf fst
(game2-d 1 (snd -` {False})))
  unfolding game2-c-def game2-d-def
  by(clarsimp simp add: restrict-bind-spmf o-def Let-def if-distrib split-def restrict-return-spmf
intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim-bad])
hence spmf game2-c (True, False) = spmf game2-d (True, False)
  unfolding spmf-rel-eq by(subst (1 2) spmf-map-restrict[symmetric]) simp
ultimately have game2c-2d: | spmf (map-spmf fst game2-c) True - spmf (map-spmf
fst game2-d) True| ≤ spmf (map-spmf snd game2-c) True
  apply(subst (1 2) spmf-conv-measure-spmf)
  apply(intro identical-until-bad)
  apply(simp-all add: spmf.map-id[unfolded id-def] spmf-conv-measure-spmf)
done
{ fix cipher σ and challenge :: plain and s
have card (nlists UNIV len ∩ (λx. x = challenge) -` {True}) ≤ card {challenge}
  by(rule card-mono) auto
then have spmf (map-spmf (snd ∘ snd) (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad challenge)
(λ2 cipher σ) (s, False))) True ≤ (1 / 2 ^ len) * q2
  by(intro oi-True.interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-bad[OF q2])(simp-all add:
rf-encrypt-bad-def o-def split-beta map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] spmf-map
measure-spmf-of-set field-simps card-nlists)
hence (ʃ+ x. ennreal (indicator {True} x) ∂measure-spmf (map-spmf (snd ∘
snd) (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad challenge) (λ2 cipher σ) (s, False)))) ≤ (1 / 2 ^
len) * q2
  by(simp only: ennreal-indicator nn-integral-indicator sets-measure-spmf sets-count-space
Pow-UNIV UNIV-I emeasure-spmf-single) simp }
then have spmf (map-spmf snd game2-d) True ≤
 ʃ+ (r :: plain). ʃ+ (((p0, p1), σ), s). (if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1
then
 ʃ+ b . ʃ+ (pad :: plain). q2 / 2 ^ len ∂measure-spmf (spmf-of-set
(nlists UNIV len)) ∂measure-spmf coin-spmf
else 0)
  ∂measure-spmf (exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty) ∂measure-spmf
(spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len))
  unfolding game2-d-def
  by(simp add: ennreal-spmf-bind o-def split-def Let-def if-distrib if-distrib[where
f=λx. ennreal (spmф x -)] indicator-single-Some nn-integral-mono if-mono-cong
del: nn-integral-const cong: if-cong)
also have ... ≤ ʃ+ (r :: plain). ʃ+ (((p0, p1), σ), s). (if valid-plain p0 ∧
valid-plain p1 then ennreal (q2 / 2 ^ len) else q2 / 2 ^ len)
  ∂measure-spmf (exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty) ∂measure-spmf
(spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len))
  unfolding split-def
  by(intro nn-integral-mono if-mono-cong)(auto simp add: measure-spmf.emeasure-eq-measure)
also have ... ≤ q2 / 2 ^ len by(simp add: split-def weight1 measure-spmf.emeasure-eq-measure)
finally have game2-d-bad: spmf (map-spmf snd game2-d) True ≤ q2 / 2 ^ len
by simp

```

```

def game3 ≡ do {
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
    pad ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
    let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
    (b', s2) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s1;
    return-spmf (b' = b)
  } else coin-spmf
}
have bisim2d-3:  $\bigwedge \text{cipher } \sigma \text{ } s \text{ } r. \text{ rel-spmf } (\lambda(x, -)(y, -). x = y)$ 
  (exec-gpv (rf-encrypt-bad r) (A2 cipher σ) (s, False))
  (exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s)
  by(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim[where X=λ(s1, -) s2. s1 = s2])(auto simp add:
  rf-encrypt-bad-def rf-encrypt-def intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI)
  have game2d-3: rel-spmf op = (map-spmf fst game2-d) game3
  unfolding game2-d-def game3-def Let-def including monad-normalisation
  by(clar simp simp add: o-def split-def if-distrib cong: if-cong intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI
  rel-spmf-bindI[OF bisim2d-3])

have |spmf game2 True - 1 / 2| ≤
  |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-a) True - spmf (map-spmf fst game2-b) True| +
  |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-b) True - 1 / 2|
  unfolding game2-2a by(rule abs-diff-triangle-ineq2)
  also have ... ≤ q1 / 2 ^ len + |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-b) True - 1 / 2|
  using game2a-2b game2a-bad-bound unfolding game2a-bad by(intro add-right-mono)
  simp
  also have |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-b) True - 1 / 2| ≤
  |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-c) True - spmf (map-spmf fst game2-d) True| +
  |spmf (map-spmf fst game2-d) True - 1 / 2|
  unfolding game2b-c by(rule abs-diff-triangle-ineq2)
  also (add-left-mono-trans) have ... ≤ q2 / 2 ^ len + |spmf (map-spmf fst
  game2-d) True - 1 / 2|
  using game2c-2d game2-d-bad unfolding game2c-2d-bad by(intro add-right-mono)
  simp
  finally (add-left-mono-trans)
  have game2: |spmf game2 True - 1 / 2| ≤ q1 / 2 ^ len + q2 / 2 ^ len + |spmf
  game3 True - 1 / 2|
  using game2d-3 by(simp add: field-simps spmf-rel-eq)

have game3 = do {
  (((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
  if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
    b ← coin-spmf;
    let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
    r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
  }
}

```

```

pad ← map-spmf (xor-list pb) (spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len));
let cipher = (r, xor-list pb pad);
(b', s2) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s1;
return-spmf (b' = b)
} else coin-spmf
}
by(simp add: valid-plain-def game3-def Let-def one-time-pad del: bind-map-spmf
map-spmf-of-set-inj-on cong: bind-spmf-cong-simp if-cong split: if-split)
also have ... = do {
(((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
b ← coin-spmf;
let pb = (if b then p0 else p1);
r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
pad ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
let cipher = (r, pad);
(b', -) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s1;
return-spmf (b' = b)
} else coin-spmf
}
by(simp add: game3-def Let-def valid-plain-def in-nlists-UNIV cong: bind-spmf-cong-simp
if-cong split: if-split)
also have ... = do {
(((p0, p1), σ), s1) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt A1 Map.empty;
if valid-plain p0 ∧ valid-plain p1 then do {
r ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
pad ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV len);
let cipher = (r, pad);
(b', -) ← exec-gpv rf-encrypt (A2 cipher σ) s1;
map-spmf (op = b') coin-spmf
} else coin-spmf
}
including monad-normalisation by(simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf split-def
Let-def)
also have ... = coin-spmf
by(simp add: map-eq-const-coin-spmf Let-def split-def weight2 weight1)
finally have game3: game3 = coin-spmf .

have ind-cpa.advantage A ≤ prf.advantage (prf-adversary A) + |spmf (prf.game-1
(prf-adversary A)) True - 1 / 2|
unfolding ind-cpa.advantage-def prf.advantage-def ind-cpa-0[unfolded spmf-rel-eq]
by(rule abs-diff-triangle-ineq2)
also have |spmf (prf.game-1 (prf-adversary A)) True - 1 / 2| ≤ q1 / 2 ^ len
+ q2 / 2 ^ len
using game1-2 game2 game3 by(simp add: spmf-of-set)
also have ... = (q1 + q2) / 2 ^ len by(simp add: field-simps)
also have ... ≤ q / 2 ^ len using ‹q1 + q2 ≤ q› by(simp add: divide-right-mono)
finally show ?thesis by(simp add: field-simps)
qed

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-prf-adversary:
  fixes q :: nat
  assumes ind-cpa.ibounded-by  $\mathcal{A}$  q
  shows prf.ibounded-by (prf-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ) (1 + q)
proof -
  fix  $\eta$ 
  from assms have ind-cpa.ibounded-by  $\mathcal{A}$  q by blast
  then obtain q1 q2 where q:  $q_1 + q_2 \leq q$ 
    and [interaction-bound]: interaction-any-bounded-by (fst  $\mathcal{A}$ ) q1
       $\wedge_{x \in \sigma} \text{interaction-any-bounded-by}(\text{snd } \mathcal{A} x \sigma) q_2$ 
    unfolding ind-cpa.ibounded-by-def by(auto simp add: split-beta iadd-le-enat-iff)
    show prf.ibounded-by (prf-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ ) (1 + q) using q
      unfolding prf-adversary-def Let-def split-def
      by -(interaction-bound, auto simp add: iadd-SUP-le-iff SUP-le-iff add.assoc[symmetric]
        one-enat-def)
  qed

lemma lossless-prf-adversary: ind-cpa.lossless  $\mathcal{A} \implies$  prf.lossless (prf-adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  by(fastforce simp add: prf-adversary-def Let-def split-def ind-cpa.lossless-def intro:
  lossless-inline)

end

locale otp- $\eta$  =
  fixes f :: security  $\Rightarrow$  key  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  bool list
  and len :: security  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes length-f:  $\bigwedge \eta \text{ xs ys. } [\text{length xs} = \text{len } \eta; \text{length ys} = \text{len } \eta] \implies \text{length}(f \eta \text{ xs ys}) = \text{len } \eta$ 
  and negligible-len [negligible-intros]: negligible ( $\lambda \eta. 1 / 2^{\text{len } \eta}$ )
begin

  interpretation otp f  $\eta$  len  $\eta$  for  $\eta$  by(unfold-locales)(rule length-f)
  interpretation ind-cpa: ind-cpa key-gen  $\eta$  encrypt  $\eta$  decrypt  $\eta$  valid-plain  $\eta$  for  $\eta$  .
  interpretation prf: prf key-gen  $\eta$  f  $\eta$  spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV (len  $\eta$ )) for  $\eta$  .

lemma prf-encrypt-secure-for:
  assumes [negligible-intros]: negligible ( $\lambda \eta. \text{prf.advantage } \eta (\text{prf-adversary } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta))$ )
  and q:  $\bigwedge \eta. \text{ind-cpa.ibounded-by}(\mathcal{A} \eta) (q \eta)$  and [negligible-intros]: polynomial q
  and lossless:  $\bigwedge \eta. \text{ind-cpa.lossless}(\mathcal{A} \eta)$ 
  shows negligible ( $\lambda \eta. \text{ind-cpa.advantage } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta)$ )
proof(rule negligible-mono)
  show negligible ( $\lambda \eta. \text{prf.advantage } \eta (\text{prf-adversary } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta)) + q \eta / 2^{\text{len } \eta}$ )
    by(intro negligible-intros)
  { fix  $\eta$ 
    from <ind-cpa.ibounded-by - -> have ind-cpa.ibounded-by ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ ) (q  $\eta$ ) by blast
  }

```

```

moreover from lossless have ind-cpa.lossless ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ ) by blast
hence lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full ( $\text{fst } (\mathcal{A} \eta)$ )  $\wedge$  cipher  $\sigma$ . lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full ( $\text{snd } (\mathcal{A} \eta)$ 
cipher  $\sigma$ )
by(auto simp add: ind-cpa.lossless-def)
ultimately have ind-cpa.advantage  $\eta$  ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ )  $\leq$  prf.advantage  $\eta$  (prf-adversary
 $\eta$  ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ ))  $+ q \eta / 2^{\text{len } \eta}$ 
by(rule prf-encrypt-advantage)
hence eventually  $(\lambda \eta. |\text{ind-cpa.advantage } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta)|) \leq 1 * |\text{prf.advantage } \eta$ 
(prf-adversary  $\eta$  ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ ))  $+ q \eta / 2^{\text{len } \eta})$  at-top
by(simp add: always-eventually ind-cpa.advantage-nonneg prf.advantage-nonneg)
then show  $(\lambda \eta. \text{ind-cpa.advantage } \eta (\mathcal{A} \eta)) \in O(\lambda \eta. \text{prf.advantage } \eta$  (prf-adversary
 $\eta$  ( $\mathcal{A} \eta$ ))  $+ q \eta / 2^{\text{len } \eta})$ 
by(intro bigoI[where c=1]) simp
qed

end

end

```

2.6 IND-CCA from a PRF and an unpredictable function

```

theory PRF-UPF-IND-CCA
imports

```

Pseudo-Random-Function
CryptHOL.List-Bits
Unpredictable-Function
IND-CCA2-sym
CryptHOL.Negligible

```

begin

```

Formalisation of Shoup's construction of an IND-CCA secure cipher from a PRF and an unpredictable function [4, §7].

```

type-synonym bitstring = bool list

```

```

locale simple-cipher =
PRF: prf prf-key-gen prf-fun spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV prf-clen) +
UPF: upf upf-key-gen upf-fun
for prf-key-gen :: 'prf-key spmf
and prf-fun :: 'prf-key  $\Rightarrow$  bitstring  $\Rightarrow$  bitstring
and prf-domain :: bitstring set
and prf-range :: bitstring set
and prf-dlen :: nat
and prf-clen :: nat
and upf-key-gen :: 'upf-key spmf
and upf-fun :: 'upf-key  $\Rightarrow$  bitstring  $\Rightarrow$  'hash
+
assumes prf-domain-finite: finite prf-domain
assumes prf-domain-nonempty: prf-domain  $\neq \{\}$ 
assumes prf-domain-length:  $x \in \text{prf-domain} \implies \text{length } x = \text{prf-dlen}$ 

```

```

assumes prf-codomain-length:
   $\llbracket \text{key-prf} \in \text{set-spmf prf-key-gen}; m \in \text{prf-domain} \rrbracket \implies \text{length}(\text{prf-fun key-prf } m) = \text{prf-clen}$ 
assumes prf-key-gen-lossless: lossless-spmf prf-key-gen
assumes upf-key-gen-lossless: lossless-spmf upf-key-gen
begin

type-synonym 'hash' cipher-text = bitstring  $\times$  bitstring  $\times$  'hash'

definition key-gen :: ('prf-key  $\times$  'upf-key) spmf where
  key-gen = do {
    k-prf  $\leftarrow$  prf-key-gen;
    k-upf :: 'upf-key  $\leftarrow$  upf-key-gen;
    return-spmf (k-prf, k-upf)
  }

lemma lossless-key-gen [simp]: lossless-spmf key-gen
  by (simp add: key-gen-def prf-key-gen-lossless upf-key-gen-lossless)

fun encrypt :: ('prf-key  $\times$  'upf-key)  $\Rightarrow$  bitstring  $\Rightarrow$  'hash cipher-text spmf
where
  encrypt (k-prf, k-upf) m = do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set prf-domain;
    let c = prf-fun k-prf x  $\llbracket \oplus \rrbracket$  m;
    let t = upf-fun k-upf (x @ c);
    return-spmf ((x, c, t))
  }

lemma lossless-encrypt [simp]: lossless-spmf (encrypt k m)
  by (cases k) (simp add: Let-def prf-domain-nonempty prf-domain-finite split:
  bool.split)

fun decrypt :: ('prf-key  $\times$  'upf-key)  $\Rightarrow$  'hash cipher-text  $\Rightarrow$  bitstring option
where
  decrypt (k-prf, k-upf) (x, c, t) =
    if upf-fun k-upf (x @ c) = t  $\wedge$  length x = prf-dlen then
      Some (prf-fun k-prf x  $\llbracket \oplus \rrbracket$  c)
    else
      None
  )

lemma cipher-correct:
   $\llbracket k \in \text{set-spmf key-gen}; \text{length } m = \text{prf-clen} \rrbracket \implies \text{encrypt } k \ m \gg (\lambda c. \text{return-spmf} (\text{decrypt } k \ c)) = \text{return-spmf} (\text{Some } m)$ 
  by (cases k) (simp add: prf-domain-nonempty prf-domain-finite prf-domain-length
  prf-codomain-length key-gen-def bind-eq-return-spmf Let-def)

declare encrypt.simps[simp del]

```

sublocale *ind-cca*: *ind-cca key-gen encrypt decrypt* $\lambda m. \text{length } m = \text{prf-clen}$.
interpretation *ind-cca'*: *ind-cca key-gen encrypt* $\lambda \dashv \dashv. \text{None } \lambda m. \text{length } m = \text{prf-clen}$.

definition *intercept-upf-enc*
 $:: \text{'prf-key} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set} \Rightarrow \text{bitstring} \times \text{bitstring}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'hash cipher-text option} \times (\text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set}),$
 $\text{bitstring} + (\text{bitstring} \times \text{'hash}), \text{'hash} + \text{unit}) \text{ gpv}$

where
intercept-upf-enc $k b = (\lambda(L, D) (m1, m0).$
 $(\text{case } (\text{length } m1 = \text{prf-clen} \wedge \text{length } m0 = \text{prf-clen}) \text{ of}$
 $\text{False} \Rightarrow \text{Done } (\text{None}, L, D)$
 $\mid \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$
 $x \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf } (\text{spmf-of-set prf-domain});$
 $\text{let } c = \text{prf-fun } k x [\oplus] (\text{if } b \text{ then } m1 \text{ else } m0);$
 $t \leftarrow \text{Pause } (\text{Inl } (x @ c)) \text{ Done};$
 $\text{Done } ((\text{Some } (x, c, \text{projl } t)), (\text{insert } (x, c, \text{projl } t) L, D))$
 $\}))$

definition *intercept-upf-dec*
 $:: \text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set} \Rightarrow \text{'hash cipher-text}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{bitstring option} \times (\text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set}),$
 $\text{bitstring} + (\text{bitstring} \times \text{'hash}), \text{'hash} + \text{unit}) \text{ gpv}$

where
intercept-upf-dec $= (\lambda(L, D) (x, c, t).$
 $\text{if } (x, c, t) \in L \vee \text{length } x \neq \text{prf-dlen} \text{ then } \text{Done } (\text{None}, (L, D)) \text{ else do } \{$
 $\text{Pause } (\text{Inr } (x @ c, t)) \text{ Done};$
 $\text{Done } (\text{None}, (L, \text{insert } (x, c, t) D))$
 $\})$

definition *intercept-upf* ::
 $'\text{prf-key} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set} \Rightarrow \text{bitstring} \times \text{bitstring} + \text{'hash cipher-text}$
 $\Rightarrow ((\text{'hash cipher-text option} + \text{bitstring option}) \times (\text{'hash cipher-text set} \times \text{'hash cipher-text set}),$
 $\text{bitstring} + (\text{bitstring} \times \text{'hash}), \text{'hash} + \text{unit}) \text{ gpv}$

where
intercept-upf $k b = \text{plus-intercept } (\text{intercept-upf-enc } k b) \text{ intercept-upf-dec}$

lemma *intercept-upf-simps* [*simp*]:
intercept-upf $k b (L, D) (\text{Inr } (x, c, t)) =$
 $(\text{if } (x, c, t) \in L \vee \text{length } x \neq \text{prf-dlen} \text{ then } \text{Done } (\text{Inr None}, (L, D)) \text{ else do } \{$
 $\text{Pause } (\text{Inr } (x @ c, t)) \text{ Done};$
 $\text{Done } (\text{Inr None}, (L, \text{insert } (x, c, t) D))$
 $\})$
intercept-upf $k b (L, D) (\text{Inl } (m1, m0)) =$
 $(\text{case } (\text{length } m1 = \text{prf-clen} \wedge \text{length } m0 = \text{prf-clen}) \text{ of}$
 $\text{False} \Rightarrow \text{Done } (\text{Inl None}, L, D)$

```

| True  $\Rightarrow$  do {
     $x \leftarrow lift-spmf (spmf-of-set prf-domain);$ 
    let  $c = prf\text{-fun } k x [\oplus] (if b \text{ then } m1 \text{ else } m0);$ 
     $t \leftarrow Pause (Inl (x @ c)) Done;$ 
     $Done (Inl (Some (x, c, projl t)), (insert (x, c, projl t) L, D))$ 
})
by(simp-all add: intercept-upf-def intercept-upf-dec-def intercept-upf-enc-def o-def
map-gpv-bind-gpv gpv.map-id Let-def split!: bool.split)

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-upf-enc-Inr [interaction-bound]:
  interaction-bounded-by (Not  $\circ$  isl) (intercept-upf-enc k b LD mm) 0
unfolding intercept-upf-enc-def case-prod-app
by(interaction-bound, clarsimp simp add: SUP-constant bot-enat-def split: prod.split)

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-upf-dec-Inr [interaction-bound]:
  interaction-bounded-by (Not  $\circ$  isl) (intercept-upf-dec LD c) 1
unfolding intercept-upf-dec-def case-prod-app
by(interaction-bound, clarsimp simp add: SUP-constant split: prod.split)

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-intercept-upf-Inr [interaction-bound]:
  interaction-bounded-by (Not  $\circ$  isl) (intercept-upf k b LD x) 1
unfolding intercept-upf-def
by interaction-bound(simp add: split-def one-enat-def SUP-le-iff split: sum.split)

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-intercept-upf-Inl [interaction-bound]:
  isl x  $\implies$  interaction-bounded-by (Not  $\circ$  isl) (intercept-upf k b LD x) 0
unfolding intercept-upf-def case-prod-app
by interaction-bound(auto split: sum.split)

```

```

lemma lossless-intercept-upf-enc [simp]: lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) (intercept-upf-enc k b LD mm)
by(simp add: intercept-upf-enc-def split-beta prf-domain-finite prf-domain-nonempty
Let-def split: bool.split)

```

```

lemma lossless-intercept-upf-dec [simp]: lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) (intercept-upf-dec LD mm)
by(simp add: intercept-upf-dec-def split-beta)

```

```

lemma lossless-intercept-upf [simp]: lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) (intercept-upf k b LD x)
by(cases x)(simp-all add: intercept-upf-def)

```

```

lemma results-gpv-intercept-upf [simp]: results-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) (intercept-upf k b LD x)  $\subseteq$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) x  $\times$  UNIV
by(cases x)(auto simp add: intercept-upf-def)

```

```

definition reduction-upf :: (bitstring, 'hash cipher-text) ind-cca.adversary
   $\Rightarrow$  (bitstring, 'hash) UPF.adversary

```

```

where reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A} = \text{do } \{$ 
   $k \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf prf-key-gen};$ 
   $b \leftarrow \text{lift-spmf coin-spmf};$ 
   $(-, (L, D)) \leftarrow \text{inline } (\text{intercept-upf } k \ b) \ \mathcal{A} \ (\{\}, \{\});$ 
   $\text{Done } () \}$ 

lemma lossless-reduction-upf [simp]:
  lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ )  $\mathcal{A} \implies$  lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ ) (reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  by(auto simp add: reduction-upf-def prf-key-gen-lossless intro: lossless-inline del: subsetI)

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma round-1:
  assumes lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ )  $\mathcal{A}$ 
  shows | $\text{spmf } (\text{ind-cca.game } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True} - \text{spmf } (\text{ind-cca'.game } \mathcal{A}) \text{ True}| \leq \text{UPF.advantage } (\text{reduction-upf } \mathcal{A})
  proof -
    define oracle-decrypt0' where oracle-decrypt0'  $\equiv (\lambda \text{key } (\text{bad}, L) \ (x', c', t') .$ 
    return-spmf (
      if  $(x', c', t') \in L \vee \text{length } x' \neq \text{prf-dlen}$  then (None, (bad, L))
      else (decrypt key  $(x', c', t')$ , (bad  $\vee$  upf-fun (snd key)  $(x' @ c') = t', L)$ ))
    have oracle-decrypt0'-simps:
      oracle-decrypt0' key (bad, L)  $(x', c', t') = \text{return-spmf } ($ 
        if  $(x', c', t') \in L \vee \text{length } x' \neq \text{prf-dlen}$  then (None, (bad, L))
        else (decrypt key  $(x', c', t')$ , (bad  $\vee$  upf-fun (snd key)  $(x' @ c') = t', L)$ ))
      for key L bad x' c' t' by(simp add: oracle-decrypt0'-def)
      have lossless-oracle-decrypt0' [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-decrypt0' k Lbad c)
      for k Lbad c
        by(simp add: oracle-decrypt0'-def split-def)
        have callee-invariant-oracle-decrypt0' [simp]: callee-invariant (oracle-decrypt0'
        k) fst for k
          by (unfold-locales) (auto simp add: oracle-decrypt0'-def split: if-split-asm)

      def oracle-decrypt1'  $\equiv \lambda(\text{key} :: \text{'prf-key} \times \text{'upf-key}) \ (bad, L) \ (x', c', t').$ 
      return-spmf (None :: bitstring option,
        (bad  $\vee$  upf-fun (snd key)  $(x' @ c') = t' \wedge (x', c', t') \notin L \wedge \text{length } x' = \text{prf-dlen}$ ), L)
      have oracle-decrypt1'-simps:
        oracle-decrypt1' key (bad, L)  $(x', c', t') =$ 
        return-spmf (None,
          (bad  $\vee$  upf-fun (snd key)  $(x' @ c') = t' \wedge (x', c', t') \notin L \wedge \text{length } x' = \text{prf-dlen}$ , L))
        for key L bad x' c' t' by(simp add: oracle-decrypt1'-def)
        have lossless-oracle-decrypt1' [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-decrypt1' k Lbad c)
        for k Lbad c
          by(simp add: oracle-decrypt1'-def split-def)
          have callee-invariant-oracle-decrypt1' [simp]: callee-invariant (oracle-decrypt1'$ 
```

```

k) fst for k
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp add: oracle-decrypt1'-def)

def game01'  $\equiv \lambda(\text{decrypt} :: \text{'prf-key} \times \text{'upf-key} \Rightarrow (\text{bitstring} \times \text{bitstring} \times \text{'hash}, \text{bitstring option, bool} \times (\text{bitstring} \times \text{bitstring} \times \text{'hash}) \text{ set}) \text{ callee}) \mathcal{A}.$  do {
  key  $\leftarrow \text{key-gen};$ 
  b  $\leftarrow \text{coin-spmf};$ 
   $(b', (\text{bad}', L')) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv } (\dagger(\text{ind-cca.oracle-encrypt } \text{key } b) \oplus_O \text{decrypt } \text{key}) \mathcal{A}$ 
  ( $\text{False}, \{\}$ );
   $\text{return-spmf } (b = b', \text{bad}')$ 
let ?game0' = game01' oracle-decrypt0'
let ?game1' = game01' oracle-decrypt1'

have game0'-eq: ind-cca.game  $\mathcal{A} = \text{map-spmf fst } (\text{?game0}' \mathcal{A})$  (is ?game0)
and game1'-eq: ind-cca'.game  $\mathcal{A} = \text{map-spmf fst } (\text{?game1}' \mathcal{A})$  (is ?game1)
proof -
  let ?S = rel-prod2 op =
  def initial  $\equiv (\text{False}, \{\} :: \text{'hash cipher-text set})$ 
  have [transfer-rule]: ?S {} initial by(simp add: initial-def)

  have [transfer-rule]:
   $(op == \Rightarrow ?S == \Rightarrow op == \Rightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } op = ?S))$ 
  ind-cca.oracle-decrypt oracle-decrypt0'
  unfolding ind-cca.oracle-decrypt-def[abs-def] oracle-decrypt0'-def[abs-def]
  by(simp add: rel-spmf-return-spmf1 rel-fun-def)

  have [transfer-rule]:
   $(op == \Rightarrow ?S == \Rightarrow op == \Rightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } op = ?S))$ 
  ind-cca'.oracle-decrypt oracle-decrypt1'
  unfolding ind-cca'.oracle-decrypt-def[abs-def] oracle-decrypt1'-def[abs-def]
  by (simp add: rel-spmf-return-spmf1 rel-fun-def)

  note [transfer-rule] = extend-state-oracle-transfer
  show ?game0 ?game1 unfolding game01'-def ind-cca.game-def ind-cca'.game-def
  initial-def[symmetric]
    by (simp-all add: map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def) transfer-prover+
  qed

  have *: rel-spmf  $(\lambda(b'1, (\text{bad}1, L1)) (b'2, (\text{bad}2, L2)). \text{bad}1 = \text{bad}2 \wedge (\neg \text{bad}2 \rightarrow b'1 = b'2))$ 
     $(\text{exec-gpv } (\dagger(\text{ind-cca.oracle-encrypt } k b) \oplus_O \text{oracle-decrypt1}' k) \mathcal{A} (\text{False}, \{\}))$ 
     $(\text{exec-gpv } (\dagger(\text{ind-cca.oracle-encrypt } k b) \oplus_O \text{oracle-decrypt0}' k) \mathcal{A} (\text{False}, \{\}))$ 
    for k b
    by (cases k; rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad[where X=op = and ?bad1.0=fst
    and ?bad2.0=fst and I = I-full  $\oplus_I$  I-full])
    (auto intro: rel-spmf-reflI callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle-const' simp add:
    spmf-rel-map1 spmf-rel-map2 oracle-decrypt0'-simps oracle-decrypt1'-simps assms

```

split: plus-oracle-split

— We cannot get rid of the losslessness assumption on \mathcal{A} in this step, because if it were not, then the bad event might still occur, but the adversary does not terminate in the case of *game01' oracle-decrypt1'*. Thus, the reduction does not terminate either, but it cannot detect whether the bad event has happened. So the advantage in the UPF game could be lower than the probability of the bad event, if the adversary is not lossless.

```

have | measure (measure-spmf (?game1'  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). b} – measure (measure-spmf
(?game0'  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). b}
  ≤ measure (measure-spmf (?game1'  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). bad}
  by (rule fundamental-lemma[where?bad2.0=snd])(auto intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI
rel-spmf-bindI[OF *] simp add: game01'-def)
also have ... = spmf (map-spmf snd (?game1'  $\mathcal{A}$ )) True
  by (simp add: spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf split-def vimage-def)
also have map-spmf snd (?game1'  $\mathcal{A}$ ) = UPF.game (reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ )
proof –
  note [split del] = if-split
  have map-spmf ( $\lambda x.$  fst (snd  $x$ )) (exec-gpv ( $\dagger$ (ind-cca.oracle-encrypt (k-prf,
k-upf) b)  $\oplus_O$  oracle-decrypt1' (k-prf, k-upf))  $\mathcal{A}$  (False, {})) =
    map-spmf ( $\lambda x.$  fst (snd  $x$ )) (exec-gpv (UPF.oracle k-upf) (inline (intercept-upf
k-prf b)  $\mathcal{A}$  ({}, {})) (False, {}))
    (is map-spmf ?fl ?lhs = map-spmf ?fr ?rhs is map-spmf - (exec-gpv ?oracle-normal
- ?init-normal) = -)
    for k-prf k-upf b
  proof(rule map-spmf-eq-map-spmfI)
    def [simp]: oracle-intercept ≡  $\lambda(s', s).$  map-spmf ( $\lambda((x, s'), s).$  (x, s', s))
      (exec-gpv (UPF.oracle k-upf) (intercept-upf k-prf b s' y) s)
    let ?I = ( $\lambda((L, D), (flg, Li)).$ 
      ( $\forall(x, c, t) \in L.$  upf-fun k-upf (x @ c) = t  $\wedge$  length x = prf-dlen)  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall e \in Li.$   $\exists(x, c, -) \in L.$  e = x @ c)  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\exists(x, c, t) \in D.$  upf-fun k-upf (x @ c) = t)  $\longleftrightarrow$  flg))
  interpret callee-invariant-on oracle-intercept ?I I-full
  apply(unfold-locales)
  subgoal for s x y s'
    apply(cases s; cases s'; cases x)
    apply(clarsimp simp add: set-spmf-of-set-finite[OF prf-domain-finite]
      UPF.oracle-hash-def prf-domain-length exec-gpv-bind Let-def split:
      bool.splits)
    apply(force simp add: exec-gpv-bind UPF.oracle-flag-def split: if-split-asm)
    done
  subgoal by simp
  done

  def S ≡ ( $\lambda(bad, L1).$  ((L2, D), -). bad = ( $\exists(x, c, t) \in D.$  upf-fun k-upf (x @
c) = t)  $\wedge$  L1 = L2)  $\dagger$  ( $\lambda(-, True)$ )  $\otimes$  ?I
    :: bool × 'hash cipher-text set ⇒ ('hash cipher-text set × 'hash cipher-text
set) × bool × bitstring set ⇒ bool
  def initial ≡ (({}, {}), (False, {})) :: ('hash cipher-text set × 'hash cipher-text
set) × bool × bitstring set

```

```

have [transfer-rule]:  $S \text{ ?init-normal initial by}(\text{simp add: } S\text{-def initial-def})$ 
  have [transfer-rule]:  $(S \implies op = \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } op = S))$ 
? $\text{oracle-normal oracle-intercept}$ 
  unfolding  $S\text{-def}$ 
  by(rule callee-invariant-restrict-relp, unfold-locales)
  ( $\text{auto simp add: rel-fun-def bind-spmf-of-set prf-domain-finite prf-domain-nonempty}$ 
 $\text{bind-spmf-pmf-assoc bind-assoc-pmf bind-return-pmf spmf-rel-map exec-gpv-bind Let-def}$ 
 $\text{ind-cca.oracle-encrypt-def oracle-decrypt1'-def encrypt.simps UPF.oracle-hash-def}$ 
 $\text{UPF.oracle-flag-def bind-map-spmf o-def split: plus-oracle-split bool.split if-split in-}$ 
 $\text{tro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-pmf-bind-reflI}$ )
  have  $\text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } op = S) \text{ ?lhs } (\text{exec-gpv oracle-intercept } \mathcal{A} \text{ initial})$ 
    by(transfer-prover)
  then show  $\text{rel-spmf } (\lambda x y. \text{?fl } x = \text{?fr } y) \text{ ?lhs } ?rhs$ 
    by(auto simp add:  $S\text{-def exec-gpv-inline spmf-rel-map initial-def elim: }$ 
 $\text{rel-spmf-mono}$ )
  qed
  then show ?thesis including monad-normalisation
  by(auto simp add: reduction-upf-def UPF.game-def game01'-def key-gen-def
map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf split-def exec-gpv-bind intro!: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl])
  qed
  finally show ?thesis using game0'-eq game1'-eq
  by (auto simp add: spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def
fst-def UPF.advantage-def)
  qed

```

```

definition oracle-encrypt2 :: 
  ('prf-key × 'upf-key) ⇒ bool ⇒ (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict ⇒ bitstring ×
  bitstring
  ⇒ ('hash cipher-text option × (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict) spmf
where
  oracle-encrypt2 =  $(\lambda(k\text{-prf}, k\text{-upf}) b D (msg1, msg0). (\text{case } (\text{length } msg1 =$ 
 $\text{prf-clen} \wedge \text{length } msg0 = \text{prf-clen}) \text{ of }$ 
   $\text{False} \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } (\text{None}, D)$ 
   $| \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$ 
     $x \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set prf-domain};$ 
     $P \leftarrow \text{spmf-of-set } (\text{nlists UNIV prf-clen});$ 
     $\text{let } p = (\text{case } D x \text{ of Some } r \Rightarrow r | \text{None} \Rightarrow P);$ 
     $\text{let } c = p [\oplus] (\text{if } b \text{ then } msg1 \text{ else } msg0);$ 
     $\text{let } t = \text{upf-fun } k\text{-upf } (x @ c);$ 
     $\text{return-spmf } (\text{Some } (x, c, t), D(x \mapsto p))$ 
   $\}))$ 

```

```

definition oracle-decrypt2:: ('prf-key × 'upf-key) ⇒ ('hash cipher-text, bitstring
option, 'state) callee
where oracle-decrypt2 =  $(\lambda \text{key } D \text{ cipher. return-spmf } (\text{None}, D))$ 

```

```

lemma lossless-oracle-decrypt2 [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-decrypt2 k Dbad c)
  by(simp add: oracle-decrypt2-def split-def)

```

```

lemma callee-invariant-oracle-decrypt2 [simp]: callee-invariant (oracle-decrypt2 key)
  fst
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp add: oracle-decrypt2-def split: if-split-asm)

lemma oracle-decrypt2-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-prod P U ==> S ==> rel-prod op = (rel-prod op = H) ==> rel-spmf
  (rel-prod op = S))
    oracle-decrypt2 oracle-decrypt2
  unfolding oracle-decrypt2-def split-def relator-eq[symmetric] by transfer-prover

definition game2 :: (bitstring, 'hash cipher-text) ind-cca.adversary => bool spmf
where
  game2  $\mathcal{A}$  ≡ do {
    key ← key-gen;
    b ← coin-spmf;
    (b', D) ← exec-gpv
    (oracle-encrypt2 key b ⊕O oracle-decrypt2 key)  $\mathcal{A}$  Map-empty;
    return-spmf (b = b')
  }

fun intercept-prf :: 
  'upf-key => bool => unit => (bitstring × bitstring) + 'hash cipher-text
  => (('hash cipher-text option + bitstring option) × unit, bitstring, bitstring) gpv
where
  intercept-prf - - - (Inr -) = Done (Inr None, ())
  | intercept-prf k b - (Inl (m1, m0)) = (case (length m1) = prf-clen ∧ (length m0)
  = prf-clen of
    False => Done (Inl None, ())
    | True => do {
      x ← lift-spmf (spmf-of-set prf-domain);
      p ← Pause x Done;
      let c = p [⊕] (if b then m1 else m0);
      let t = upf-fun k (x @ c);
      Done (Inl (Some (x, c, t)), ())
    })
  }

definition reduction-prf
  :: (bitstring, 'hash cipher-text) ind-cca.adversary => (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.adversary
where
  reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$  = do {
    k ← lift-spmf upf-key-gen;
    b ← lift-spmf coin-spmf;
    (b', -) ← inline (intercept-prf k b)  $\mathcal{A}$  ();
    Done (b' = b)
  }

lemma round-2: |spmf (ind-cca'.game  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True - spmf (game2  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True| =
  PRF.advantage (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ )

```

```

proof -
def oracle-encrypt1''  $\equiv$   $(\lambda(k\text{-}prf, k\text{-}upf) b (- :: unit) (msg1, msg0).$ 
  case length msg1 = prf-clen  $\wedge$  length msg0 = prf-clen of
    False  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf (None, ())
  | True  $\Rightarrow$  do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  spmf-of-set prf-domain;
    let p = prf-fun k-prf x;
    let c = p [ $\oplus$ ] (if b then msg1 else msg0);
    let t = upf-fun k-upf (x @ c);
    return-spmf (Some (x, c, t), ())
  }
def game1''  $\equiv$  do {
  key  $\leftarrow$  key-gen;
  b  $\leftarrow$  coin-spmf;
  (b', D)  $\leftarrow$  exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt1'' key b  $\oplus_O$  oracle-decrypt2 key) A ();
  return-spmf (b = b')
}

have ind-cca'.game A = game1''
proof -
def S  $\equiv$   $\lambda(L :: \text{'hash cipher-text set}) (D :: unit). \text{True}$ 
have [transfer-rule]: S {} () by (simp add: S-def)
have [transfer-rule]:
  (op ==> op ==> S ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod op = S))
  ind-cca'.oracle-encrypt oracle-encrypt1''
unfolding ind-cca'.oracle-encrypt-def[abs-def] oracle-encrypt1''-def[abs-def]
  by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def Let-def S-def encrypt.simps prf-domain-finite
  prf-domain-nonempty intro: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-pmf-bind-reflI split: bool.split)
have [transfer-rule]:
  (op ==> S ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod op = S))
  ind-cca'.oracle-decrypt oracle-decrypt2
unfolding ind-cca'.oracle-decrypt-def[abs-def] oracle-decrypt2-def[abs-def]
  by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def)
show ?thesis unfolding ind-cca'.game-def game1''-def by transfer-prover
qed

also have ... = PRF.game-0 (reduction-prf A)
proof -
{ fix k-prf k-upf b
  def oracle-normal  $\equiv$  oracle-encrypt1'' (k-prf, k-upf) b  $\oplus_O$  oracle-decrypt2
  (k-prf, k-upf)
  def oracle-intercept  $\equiv$   $\lambda(s', s :: unit) y. \text{map-spmf } (\lambda((x, s'), s). (x, s', s))$ 
  (exec-gpv (PRF.prf-oracle k-prf) (intercept-prf k-upf b s' y) ())
  def initial  $\equiv$  ()
  def S  $\equiv$   $\lambda(s2 :: unit, - :: unit) (s1 :: unit). \text{True}$ 
  have [transfer-rule]: S (( ), ()) initial by (simp add: S-def initial-def)
  have [transfer-rule]: (S ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod op = S))
  oracle-intercept oracle-normal
  unfolding oracle-normal-def oracle-intercept-def
  by (auto split: bool.split plus-oracle-split simp add: S-def rel-fun-def exec-gpv-bind)

```

```

PRF.prf-oracle-def oracle-encrypt1 "-def Let-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf oracle-decrypt2-def
intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI rel-spmf-reflI)
  have map-spmf ( $\lambda x. b = fst x$ ) (exec-gpv oracle-normal  $\mathcal{A}$  initial) =
    map-spmf ( $\lambda x. b = fst (fst x)$ ) (exec-gpv (PRF.prf-oracle k-prf)) (inline
    (intercept-prf k-upf b)  $\mathcal{A}$  () ())
    by(transfer fixing: b  $\mathcal{A}$  prf-fun k-prf prf-domain prf-clen upf-fun k-upf)
      (auto simp add: map-spmf-eq-map-spmf-iff exec-gpv-inline spmf-rel-map
      oracle-intercept-def split-def intro: rel-spmf-reflI) }
  then show ?thesis unfolding game1 "-def PRF.game-0-def key-gen-def reduction-prf-def
  by (auto simp add: exec-gpv-bind-lift-spmf exec-gpv-bind map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf
  split-def eq-commute intro: bind-spmf-cong[OF refl])
  qed
  also have game2  $\mathcal{A}$  = PRF.game-1 (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ )
  proof -
    note [split del] = if-split
    { fix k-upf b k-prf
      def oracle2  $\equiv$  oracle-encrypt2 (k-prf, k-upf)  $b \oplus_O oracle-decrypt2$  (k-prf,
      k-upf)
      def oracle-intercept  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(s', s)$  y. map-spmf ( $\lambda((x, s'), s)$ . (x, s', s))) (exec-gpv
      PRF.random-oracle (intercept-prf k-upf b s' y) s))
      def S  $\equiv$   $\lambda(s2 :: unit, s2') (s1 :: (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict). s2' = s1$ 

      have [transfer-rule]: S () Map.empty Map.empty by(simp add: S-def)
      have [transfer-rule]: (S ==> op ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod op = S))
      oracle-intercept oracle2
      unfolding oracle2-def oracle-intercept-def
      by(auto split: bool.split plus-oracle-split option.split simp add: S-def rel-fun-def
      exec-gpv-bind PRF.random-oracle-def oracle-encrypt2-def Let-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf
      oracle-decrypt2-def rel-spmf-return-spmf1 fun-upd-idem intro: rel-spmf-bind-reflI
      rel-spmf-reflI)

      have [symmetric]: map-spmf ( $\lambda x. b = fst (fst x)$ ) (exec-gpv (PRF.random-oracle)
      inline (intercept-prf k-upf b)  $\mathcal{A}$  ()) Map.empty =
        map-spmf ( $\lambda x. b = fst x$ ) (exec-gpv oracle2  $\mathcal{A}$  Map.empty)
        by(transfer fixing: b prf-clen prf-domain upf-fun k-upf  $\mathcal{A}$  k-prf)
          (simp add: exec-gpv-inline map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] spmf.map-comp
          o-def split-def oracle-intercept-def) }
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding game2-def PRF.game-1-def key-gen-def reduction-prf-def
      by (clarsimp simp add: exec-gpv-bind-lift-spmf exec-gpv-bind map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf
      split-def bind-spmf-const prf-key-gen-lossless lossless-weight-spmfD eq-commute)
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: PRF.advantage-def)
    qed
  definition oracle-encrypt3 ::

    ('prf-key  $\times$  'upf-key)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  (bool  $\times$  (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    bitstring  $\times$  bitstring  $\Rightarrow$  ('hash cipher-text option  $\times$  (bool  $\times$  (bitstring, bitstring))

```

```

 $PRF.dict)) \ spmf$ 
where
 $\text{oracle-encrypt3} = (\lambda(k\text{-}\mathit{prf}, k\text{-}\mathit{upf}) b (bad, D) (msg1, msg0).$ 
 $(\text{case } (\text{length } msg1 = \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen} \wedge \text{length } msg0 = \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen}) \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \text{False} \Rightarrow \text{return-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{None}, (bad, D))$ 
 $\quad | \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad x \leftarrow \mathit{spmf}\text{-of-set } \mathit{prf}\text{-domain};$ 
 $\quad \quad P \leftarrow \mathit{spmf}\text{-of-set } (\text{nlists } \text{UNIV } \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen});$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{let } (p, F) = (\text{case } D x \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow (P, \text{True}) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (P, \text{False}));$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{let } c = p [\oplus] (\text{if } b \text{ then } msg1 \text{ else } msg0);$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{let } t = \mathit{upf}\text{-fun } k\text{-}\mathit{upf} (x @ c);$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{return-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{Some } (x, c, t), (bad \vee F, D(x \mapsto p)))$ 
 $\quad \})$ 

lemma  $\text{lossless-oracle-encrypt3} [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\text{lossless-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{oracle-encrypt3 } k \ b \ D \ m10)$ 
by ( $\text{cases } m10$ ) ( $\text{simp add: oracle-encrypt3-def prf-domain-nonempty prf-domain-finite split-def Let-def split: bool.splits}$ )

lemma  $\text{callee-invariant-oracle-encrypt3} [\text{simp}]: \text{callee-invariant } (\text{oracle-encrypt3 }$ 
 $\text{key } b) \text{ fst}$ 
by ( $\text{unfold-locales}$ ) ( $\text{auto simp add: oracle-encrypt3-def split-def Let-def split: bool.splits}$ )

definition  $\text{game3} :: (\text{bitstring}, \text{'hash cipher-text}) \text{ ind-cca.adversary} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times$ 
 $\text{bool}) \text{ spmf}$ 
where
 $\text{game3 } \mathcal{A} \equiv \text{do } \{$ 
 $\quad \text{key} \leftarrow \text{key-gen};$ 
 $\quad b \leftarrow \text{coin-}\mathit{spmf};$ 
 $\quad (b', (bad, D)) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv } (\text{oracle-encrypt3 } \text{key } b \oplus_O \text{oracle-decrypt2 } \text{key}) \ \mathcal{A}$ 
 $\quad (\text{False}, \text{Map-empty});$ 
 $\quad \text{return-}\mathit{spmf} (b = b', bad)$ 
 $\}$ 

lemma  $\text{round-3}:$ 
assumes  $\text{lossless-gpv } (\mathcal{I}\text{-full } \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}\text{-full}) \ \mathcal{A}$ 
shows  $|\text{measure } (\text{measure-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{game3 } \mathcal{A})) \{(b, bad). b\} - \text{spmf } (\text{game2 } \mathcal{A})$ 
 $\text{True}|$ 
 $\leq \text{measure } (\text{measure-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{game3 } \mathcal{A})) \{(b, bad). bad\}$ 
proof -
 $\text{def } \text{oracle-encrypt2}' \equiv \lambda(k\text{-}\mathit{prf} :: \text{'prf-key}, k\text{-}\mathit{upf}) b (bad, D) (msg1, msg0).$ 
 $\text{case } (\text{length } msg1 = \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen} \wedge \text{length } msg0 = \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen}) \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \text{False} \Rightarrow \text{return-}\mathit{spmf} (\text{None}, (bad, D))$ 
 $\quad | \text{True} \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad x \leftarrow \mathit{spmf}\text{-of-set } \mathit{prf}\text{-domain};$ 
 $\quad \quad P \leftarrow \mathit{spmf}\text{-of-set } (\text{nlists } \text{UNIV } \mathit{prf}\text{-}\mathit{clen});$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{let } (p, F) = (\text{case } D x \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow (r, \text{True}) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (P, \text{False}));$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{let } c = p [\oplus] (\text{if } b \text{ then } msg1 \text{ else } msg0);$ 

```

```

let t = upf-fun k-upf (x @ c);
  return-spmf (Some (x, c, t), (bad ∨ F, D(x ↪ p)))
}

have [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-encrypt2' key b D msg10) for key b D msg10
by (cases msg10) (simp add: oracle-encrypt2'-def prf-domain-nonempty prf-domain-finite
  split-def Let-def split: bool.split)
have [simp]: callee-invariant (oracle-encrypt2' key b) fst for key b
by (unfold-locales) (auto simp add: oracle-encrypt2'-def split-def Let-def split:
  bool.splits)

def game2' ≡ λA. do {
  key ← key-gen;
  b ← coin-spmf;
  (b', (bad, D)) ← exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt2' key b ⊕O oracle-decrypt2 key) A
  (False, Map-empty);
  return-spmf (b = b', bad)}

have game2'-eq: game2 A = map-spmf fst (game2' A)
proof -
  def S ≡ λ(D1 :: (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict) (bad :: bool, D2). D1 = D2
  have [transfer-rule, simp]: S Map-empty (b, Map-empty) for b by (simp add:
    S-def)

  have [transfer-rule]: (op ===> op ===> S ==> op ===> rel-spmf
    (rel-prod op = S))
    oracle-encrypt2 oracle-encrypt2'
    unfolding oracle-encrypt2-def[abs-def] oracle-encrypt2'-def[abs-def]
    by (auto simp add: rel-fun-def Let-def split-def S-def
      intro!: rel-spmf-bind-reflI split: bool.split option.split)
  have [transfer-rule]: (op ===> S ==> op ===> rel-spmf (rel-prod
    op = S))
    oracle-decrypt2 oracle-decrypt2
    by(auto simp add: rel-fun-def oracle-decrypt2-def)

  show ?thesis unfolding game2-def game2'-def
  by (simp add: map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def Map-empty-def[symmetric]
  del: Map-empty-def)
    transfer-prover
  qed

  moreover have *: rel-spmf (λ(b'1, bad1, L1) (b'2, bad2, L2). (bad1 ↔ bad2)
  ∧ (¬ bad2 → b'1 ↔ b'2))
  (exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt3 key b ⊕O oracle-decrypt2 key) A (False, Map-empty))
  (exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt2' key b ⊕O oracle-decrypt2 key) A (False, Map-empty))
  for key b
  apply(rule exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad[where X=op = and X-bad = λ- -. True
  and ?bad1.0=fst and ?bad2.0=fst and I=I-full ⊕I I-full])
  apply(simp-all add: assms)
  apply(auto simp add: assms spmf-rel-map Let-def oracle-encrypt2'-def oracle-encrypt3-def)

```

```

split: plus-oracle-split prod.split bool.split option.split intro!: rel-spmf-bind-refI rel-spmf-refI)
  done
  have |measure (measure-spmf (game3 A)) {(b, bad). b} – measure (measure-spmf
  (game2' A)) {(b, bad). b}| ≤
    measure (measure-spmf (game3 A)) {(b, bad). bad}
  unfolding game2'-def game3-def
  by(rule fundamental-lemma[where ?bad2.0=snd])(intro rel-spmf-bind-refI rel-spmf-bindI[OF
*]; clarsimp)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf
vimage-def fst-def)
qed

lemma round-4:
  assumes lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full) A
  shows map-spmf fst (game3 A) = coin-spmf
proof –
  def oracle-encrypt4 ≡  $\lambda(k\text{-prf} :: \text{'prf-key}, k\text{-upf}) (s :: \text{unit}) (msg1 :: \text{bitstring},$ 
 $msg0 :: \text{bitstring}).$ 
  case length msg1 = prf-clen  $\wedge$  length msg0 = prf-clen of
    False ⇒ return-spmf (None, s)
  | True ⇒ do {
    x ← spmf-of-set prf-domain;
    P ← spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV prf-clen);
    let c = P;
    let t = upf-fun k-upf (x @ c);
    return-spmf (Some (x, c, t), s) }

  have [simp]: lossless-spmf (oracle-encrypt4 k s msg10) for k s msg10
  by (cases msg10) (simp add: oracle-encrypt4-def prf-domain-finite prf-domain-nonempty
split-def Let-def split: bool.splits)

  def game4 ≡  $\lambda A.$  do {
    key ← key-gen;
     $(b', -) \leftarrow \text{exec-gpv } (\text{oracle-encrypt4 } key \oplus_O \text{oracle-decrypt2 } key) A ()$ ;
    map-spmf (op = b') coin-spmf}

  have map-spmf fst (game3 A) = game4 A
  proof –
    note [split del] = if-split
    def S ≡  $\lambda(- :: \text{unit}) (- :: \text{bool} \times (\text{bitstring}, \text{bitstring})) \text{PRF.dict}.$  True
    def initial3 ≡ (False, Map.empty :: (bitstring, bitstring) PRF.dict)
    have [transfer-rule]: S () initial3 by(simp add: S-def)
    have [transfer-rule]: (op ==> op ==> S ==> op ==> rel-spmf
(rel-prod op = S))
       $(\lambda key b. \text{oracle-encrypt4 } key)$  oracle-encrypt3
    proof(intro rel-funI; hypsubst)
      fix key unit msg10 b Dbad
      have map-spmffst (oracle-encrypt4 key () msg10) = map-spmffst (oracle-encrypt3
key b Dbad msg10)

```

```

unfolding oracle-encrypt3-def oracle-encrypt4-def
apply (clar simp simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf Let-def split: bool.split
prod.split; rule conjI; clar simp)
apply (rewrite in  $\square = \text{- one-time-pad}[\text{symmetric}, \text{where } xs=\text{if } b \text{ then } fst msg10 \text{ else } snd msg10]$ )
apply (simp split: if-split)
apply (simp add: bind-map-spmf o-def option.case-distrib case-option-collapse
xor-list-commute split-def cong del: option.case-cong-weak if-weak-cong)
done
then show rel-spmf (rel-prod op = S) (oracle-encrypt4 key unit msg10)
(oracle-encrypt3 key b Dbad msg10)
by (auto simp add: spmf-rel-eq[symmetric] spmf-rel-map S-def elim: rel-spmf-mono)
qed

show ?thesis
unfolding game3-def game4-def including monad-normalisation
by (simp add: map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf
initial3-def[symmetric] eq-commute)
transfer-prover
qed
also have ... = coin-spmf
by (simp add: map-eq-const-coin-spmf game4-def bind-spmf-const split-def lossless-exec-gpv[OF
assms] lossless-weight-spmfD)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma game3-bad:
assumes interaction-bounded-by isl A q
shows measure (measure-spmf (game3 A)) {(b, bad). bad}  $\leq q / \text{card prf-domain}$ 
* q
proof -
have measure (measure-spmf (game3 A)) {(b, bad). bad} = spmf (map-spmf
snd (game3 A)) True
by (simp add: spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def snd-def)
also
have spmf (map-spmf (fst o snd)) (exec-gpv (oracle-encrypt3 k b  $\oplus_O$  oracle-decrypt2
k) A (False, Map.empty))) True  $\leq q / \text{card prf-domain} * q$ 
(is spmf (map-spmf - (exec-gpv ?oracle - -)) -  $\leq$  -)
if k: k  $\in$  set-spmf key-gen for k b
proof(rule callee-invariant-on.interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-bad-count)
obtain k-prf k-upf where k: k = (k-prf, k-upf) by (cases k)
let ?I =  $\lambda(\text{bad}, D). \text{finite}(\text{dom } D) \wedge \text{dom } D \subseteq \text{prf-domain}$ 
have callee-invariant (oracle-encrypt3 k b) ?I
by unfold-locales (clar simp simp add: prf-domain-finite oracle-encrypt3-def
Let-def split-def split: bool.splits) +
moreover have callee-invariant (oracle-decrypt2 k) ?I
by unfold-locales (clar simp simp add: prf-domain-finite oracle-decrypt2-def) +
ultimately show callee-invariant ?oracle ?I by simp

```

```

let ?count = λ(bad, D). card (dom D)
show ∀s x y s'. [(y, s') ∈ set-spmf (?oracle s x); ?I s; isl x] ⇒ ?count s' ≤ Suc (?count s)
by(clarsimp simp add: isl-def oracle-encrypt3-def split-def Let-def card-insert-if-split: bool.splits)
show [(y, s') ∈ set-spmf (?oracle s x); ?I s; ¬ isl x] ⇒ ?count s' ≤ ?count s
for s x y s'
by(cases x)(simp-all add: oracle-decrypt2-def)
show spmf (map-spmf (fst ∘ snd) (?oracle s' x)) True ≤ q / card prf-domain
if I: ?I s' and bad: ¬ fst s' and count: ?count s' < q + ?count (False, Map.empty)
and x: isl x
for s' x
proof -
obtain bad D where s' [simp]: s' = (bad, D) by(cases s')
from x obtain m1 m0 where x [simp]: x = Inl (m1, m0) by(auto elim: islE)
have *: (case D x of None ⇒ False | Some x ⇒ True) ←→ x ∈ dom D for x
by(auto split: option.split)
show ?thesis
proof(cases length m1 = prf-clen ∧ length m0 = prf-clen)
case True
with bad
have spmf (map-spmf (fst ∘ snd) (?oracle s' x)) True = pmf (bernoulli-pmf (card (dom D ∩ prf-domain) / card prf-domain)) True
by(simp add: spmf.map-comp o-def oracle-encrypt3-def k * bool.case-distrib[where h=λp. spmf (map-spmf - p) -] option.case-distrib[where h=snd] map-spmf-bind-spmf Let-def split-beta bind-spmf-const cong: bool.case-cong option.case-cong split del: if-split split: bool.split)
(simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf[symmetric] map-mem-spmf-of-set prf-domain-finite prf-domain-nonempty)
also have ... = card (dom D ∩ prf-domain) / card prf-domain
by(rule pmf-bernoulli-True)(auto simp add: field-simps prf-domain-finite prf-domain-nonempty card-gt-0-iff card-mono)
also have dom D ∩ prf-domain = dom D using I by auto
also have card (dom D) ≤ q using count by simp
finally show ?thesis by(simp add: divide-right-mono o-def)
next
case False
thus ?thesis using bad
by(auto simp add: spmf.map-comp o-def oracle-encrypt3-def k split: bool.split)
qed
qed
qed(auto split: plus-oracle-split-asm simp add: oracle-decrypt2-def assms)
then have spmf (map-spmf snd (game3 A)) True ≤ q / card prf-domain * q
by(auto 4 3 simp add: game3-def map-spmf-bind-spmf o-def split-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf intro: spmf-bind-leI)
finally show ?thesis .

```

qed

theorem security:

```

assumes lossless: lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full)  $\mathcal{A}$ 
and bound: interaction-bounded-by isl  $\mathcal{A}$   $q$ 
shows ind-cca.advantage  $\mathcal{A} \leq$ 
    PRF.advantage (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) + UPF.advantage (reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) +
    real  $q$  / real (card prf-domain) * real  $q$  (is ?LHS  $\leq$  -)

proof -
  have ?LHS  $\leq$  |spmf (ind-cca.game  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True - spmf (ind-cca'.game  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True| +
  |spmf (ind-cca'.game  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True - 1 / 2|
    (is -  $\leq$  ?round1 + ?rest) using abs-triangle-ineq by(simp add: ind-cca.advantage-def)
    also have ?round1  $\leq$  UPF.advantage (reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ )
      using lossless by(rule round-1)
    also have ?rest  $\leq$  |spmf (ind-cca'.game  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True - spmf (game2  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True| +
    |spmf (game2  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True - 1 / 2|
      (is -  $\leq$  ?round2 + ?rest) using abs-triangle-ineq by simp
    also have ?round2 = PRF.advantage (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) by(rule round-2)
    also have ?rest  $\leq$  |measure (measure-spmf (game3  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). b} - spmf
    (game2  $\mathcal{A}$ ) True| +
      |measure (measure-spmf (game3  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). b} - 1 / 2|
      (is -  $\leq$  ?round3 + -) using abs-triangle-ineq by simp
    also have ?round3  $\leq$  measure (measure-spmf (game3  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). bad}
      using round-3[OF lossless].
    also have ...  $\leq$   $q$  / card prf-domain *  $q$  using bound by(rule game3-bad)
    also have measure (measure-spmf (game3  $\mathcal{A}$ )) {(b, bad). b} = spmf coin-spmf
    True
      using round-4[OF lossless, symmetric]
      by(simp add: spmf-conv-measure-spmf measure-map-spmf vimage-def fst-def)
    also have |... - 1 / 2| = 0 by(simp add: spmf-of-set)
    finally show ?thesis by(simp)
qed

```

theorem security1:

```

assumes lossless: lossless-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -full  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full)  $\mathcal{A}$ 
assumes q: interaction-bounded-by isl  $\mathcal{A}$   $q$ 
and q': interaction-bounded-by (Not o isl)  $\mathcal{A}$   $q'$ 
shows ind-cca.advantage  $\mathcal{A} \leq$ 
    PRF.advantage (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) +
    UPF.advantage1 (guessing-many-one.reduction q' ( $\lambda$ . reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) ()) *
    q' +
    real  $q$  * real  $q$  / real (card prf-domain)

proof -
  have ind-cca.advantage  $\mathcal{A} \leq$ 
    PRF.advantage (reduction-prf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) + UPF.advantage (reduction-upf  $\mathcal{A}$ ) +
    real  $q$  / real (card prf-domain) * real  $q$ 
    using lossless q by(rule security)
  also note q'[interaction-bound]

```

```

have interaction-bounded-by (Not ∘ isl) (reduction-upf A) q'
  unfolding reduction-upf-def by(interaction-bound)(simp-all add: SUP-le-iff)
then have UPF.advantage (reduction-upf A) ≤ UPF.advantage1 (guessing-many-one.reduction
q' (λ-. reduction-upf A) ()) * q'
  by(rule UPF.advantage-advantage1)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp)
qed

end

end

locale simple-cipher' =
  fixes prf-key-gen :: security ⇒ 'prf-key spmf
  and prf-fun :: security ⇒ 'prf-key ⇒ bitstring ⇒ bitstring
  and prf-domain :: security ⇒ bitstring set
  and prf-range :: security ⇒ bitstring set
  and prf-dlen :: security ⇒ nat
  and prf-clen :: security ⇒ nat
  and upf-key-gen :: security ⇒ 'upf-key spmf
  and upf-fun :: security ⇒ 'upf-key ⇒ bitstring ⇒ 'hash
  assumes simple-cipher: ⋀η. simple-cipher (prf-key-gen η) (prf-fun η) (prf-domain
η) (prf-dlen η) (prf-clen η) (upf-key-gen η)
begin

sublocale simple-cipher
  prf-key-gen η prf-fun η prf-domain η prf-range η prf-dlen η prf-clen η upf-key-gen
  η upf-fun η
  for η
  by(rule simple-cipher)

theorem security-asymptotic:
  fixes q q' :: security ⇒ nat
  assumes lossless: ⋀η. lossless-gpv (I-full ⊕_I I-full) (A η)
  and bound: ⋀η. interaction-bounded-by isl (A η) (q η)
  and bound': ⋀η. interaction-bounded-by (Not ∘ isl) (A η) (q' η)
  and [negligible-intros]:
    polynomial q' polynomial q
    negligible (λη. PRF.advantage η (reduction-prf η (A η)))
    negligible (λη. UPF.advantage1 η (guessing-many-one.reduction (q' η) (λ-.
reduction-upf η (A η)) ()))
    negligible (λη. 1 / card (prf-domain η))
    shows negligible (λη. ind-cca.advantage η (A η))
proof -
  have negligible (λη. PRF.advantage η (reduction-prf η (A η))) +
    UPF.advantage1 η (guessing-many-one.reduction (q' η) (λ-. reduction-upf η
(A η)) ()) * q' η +
    real (q η) / real (card (prf-domain η)) * real (q η)
  by(rule negligible-intros) +

```

```

thus ?thesis by(rule negligible-le)(simp add: security1[OF lossless_bound_bound']
ind-cca.advantage-nonneg)
qed

end

theory Cryptographic-Constructions imports
  Elgamal
  Hashed-Elgamal
  RP-RF
  PRF-UHF
  PRF-IND-CPA
  PRF-UPF-IND-CCA
begin

end

theory Game-Based-Crypto imports
  Security-Spec
  Cryptographic-Constructions
begin

end

```

References

- [1] M. Bellare, A. Boldyreva, and S. Micali. Public-key encryption in a multi-user setting: Security proofs and improvements. In B. Preneel, editor, *Advances in Cryptology (EUROCRYPT 2000)*, volume 1807 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 259–274. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [2] M. Bellare and P. Rogaway. The security of triple encryption and a framework for code-based game-playing proofs. In *EUROCRYPT 2006*, volume 4004 of *LNCS*, pages 409–426. Springer, 2006.
- [3] A. Petcher and G. Morrisett. The foundational cryptography framework. In *POST 2015*, volume 9036 of *LNCS*, pages 53–72. Springer, 2015.
- [4] V. Shoup. Sequences of games: A tool for taming complexity in security proofs. *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, Report 2004/332, 2004.